

## Deleterious synergistic effects of acute heart failure and diabetes mellitus in patients with acute coronary syndrome: Data from the FAST-MI Registries

Jean-Guillaume Dillinger, Guy Achkouty, Franck Albert, Grégoire Muller, Jean-Noël Labèque, Louis Moisson, Jean-François Morelle, Yves Cottin, Theo

Pezel, Pascal Lim, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Guillaume Dillinger, Guy Achkouty, Franck Albert, Grégoire Muller, Jean-Noël Labèque, et al.. Deleterious synergistic effects of acute heart failure and diabetes mellitus in patients with acute coronary syndrome: Data from the FAST-MI Registries. Archives of cardiovascular diseases, 2022, 115 (5), pp.264-275. 10.1016/j.acvd.2022.02.004 . hal-04466633

## HAL Id: hal-04466633 https://ut3-toulouseinp.hal.science/hal-04466633v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Deleterious synergistic effects of acute heart failure and diabetes mellitus in patients with acute coronary syndrome: Data from the FAST-MI Registries

Abbreviated title: Acute heart failure and diabetes mellitus in patients with ACS

Jean-Guillaume Dillinger<sup>a</sup>, Guy Achkouty<sup>a</sup>, Franck Albert<sup>b</sup>, Grégoire Muller<sup>c</sup>, Jean-Noël Labèque<sup>d</sup>, Louis Moisson<sup>a</sup>, Jean-François Morelle<sup>e</sup>, Yves Cottin<sup>f</sup>, Theo Pezel<sup>a</sup>, Pascal Lim<sup>g</sup>, Nadia Aissaoui<sup>h</sup>, François Schiele<sup>i</sup>, Jean Ferrières<sup>i</sup>, Denis Angoulvant<sup>k</sup>, Patrick Henry<sup>a</sup>, Etienne Puymirat<sup>I</sup>, Tabassome Simon<sup>m</sup>, Nicolas Danchin<sup>I,\*</sup>, forf the FAST-MI investigators

<sup>a</sup> Department of Cardiology, Inserm U942, Lariboisiere Hospital, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Université de Paris, 75010 Paris, France

<sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiology, Les Hôpitaux de Chartres, 28630 Le Coudray, France

<sup>c</sup> Médecine Intensive Réanimation, Centre Hospitalier Régional d'Orléans, 45100 Orléans, France

<sup>d</sup> Department of Cardiology, Centre Hospitalier de la Côte Basque, 64100 Bayonne, France

e Department of Cardiology, Clinique St Martin, 14000 Caen, France

<sup>t</sup> Department of Cardiology, University Hospital of Dijon Bourgogne, 21079 Dijon, France

<sup>9</sup> Department of Cardiology, Henri Mondor University Hospital, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, 94000 Creteil, France

<sup>h</sup> Médecine Intensive Reanimation, APHP Centre, Hôpital Cochin, Université de Paris, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>i</sup> Department of Cardiology, Hôpital Jean Minjoz, Université de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, 25000 Besançon, France

<sup>i</sup> Department of Cardiology, Rangueil University Hospital, 31400 Toulouse, France; Department of epidemiology, INSERM UMR 1027, 31000 Toulouse, France

<sup>k</sup> Department of Cardiology, CRHU Tours, 37044 Tours, France; EA4245 T2i, Tours University, 37000 Tours, France

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cardiology, INSERM U-970, European Hospital of Georges Pompidou, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Université de Paris, 75015 Paris, France <sup>m</sup> Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Clinical Research Platform of East of Paris (URCEST-CRCEST-CRB), Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, 75011 Paris, France; Sorbonne Université, 75006 Paris, France; Unité INSERM U-1148, FACT, 75018 Paris, France

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP-HP
20 rue Leblanc, 75015 Paris, France.
Phone: +33 156 09 3714; Fax: +33 156 09 2572. *E-mail address:* nicolasdanchin@yahoo.fr (N. Danchin).

Word count: 6785 (total), 3327 (main text)

#### Summary

*Background.* – Diabetes mellitus (DM) predisposes patients to acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and acute heart failure (AHF).

*Aims.* – To assess correlates of AHF occurring at the early stage of AMI and synergism between early AHF and DM on 5-year mortality.

*Methods.* – FAST-MI 2005 and 2010 included 7839 consecutive patients admitted for AMI. *Results.* – Overall, 2151 patients (27.4%) had a history of diabetes mellitus (DM), of whom 629 (29.2%) were on insulin. Patients with versus without DM were older (mean age: 70.0 vs 64.7 years; *P* < 0.001), with more comorbidities and more severe coronary artery disease. Early AHF (pulmonary oedema or cardiogenic shock) was the most frequent in-hospital complication (12.5%) and was twice as frequent in patients with versus without DM (20.2% vs 9.6%; adjusted odds ratio 1.66, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.43–1.94; *P* < 0.001). Among in-hospital survivors, patients with DM without AHF and those with AHF without DM had 50% increases in 5-year mortality (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 1.50, 95% CI 1.32–1.69 and aHR 1.46, 95% CI 1.23–1.74; both *P* < 0.001) versus patients without DM or AHF; with the risk among those with DM and AHF being doubled (aHR 1.97, 95% CI 1.66–2.34; *P* < 0.0001).

*Conclusion.* – Early AHF is the most frequent complication of AMI and is twice as common in patients with versus without DM. After adjustment, early AHF and DM are associated with reduced 5-year survival with synergistic effects in patients with both conditions.

Clinical Trial Registration. https://clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00673036 and NCT01237418).

#### Résumé

*Contexte.* – Le diabète (DM) est un facteur de risque majeur d'infarctus aigu du myocarde (IDM et d'insuffisance cardiaque aiguë (ICA.

*Objectifs. –* Cette étude a évalué les facteurs pronostiques à la phase aigüe de l'IDM et la synergie entre l'ICA et le DM sur la mortalité à 5 ans.

*Méthodes.* – Les registres français sur l'IDM (FAST-MI) 2005 et 2010 ont inclus 7839 patients consécutifs admis pour un IDM.

*Résultats.* – Globalement, 2151 patients (27.4 %) présentaient un DM, parmi eux, 629 (29.2 %) étaient traités par insuline à l'admission. Les patients avec un DM étaient plus âgés (70.0 vs 64.7 ans;

P < 0.001), avec plus de comorbidités et une maladie coronarienne plus sévère. L'ICA (œdème pulmonaire ou choc cardiogénique) était la complication hospitalière la plus fréquente (12,5 %) et était deux fois plus fréquente chez les patients diabétiques (20,2 % vs 9,6 % ; odds ratio ajusté 1,66, intervalle de confiance [IC] à 95% 1,43–1,94 ; P < 0,001). Chez les patients après sortie d'hospitalisation, par rapport aux patients sans DM ni ICA, ceux avec DM sans ICA et ceux avec ICA sans DM avaient une augmentation de 50 % de la mortalité à 5 ans (risque relatif ajusté 1,50, IC à 95 % 1,32–1,69 ; P < 0,001 et risque relatif ajusté de 1,46, IC à 95 % 1,23–1,74 ; P < 0,001) tandis que le risque de mortalité à 5 ans était doublé chez les patients atteints de DM et d'ICA (risque relatif ajusté de 1,97, IC à 95 % 1,66–2,34 ; P < 0,0001).

*Conclusion*. – L'insuffisance cardiaque aiguë est la complication la plus fréquente de l'infarctus aigu du myocarde durant l'hospitalisation et est deux fois plus fréquente chez les patients diabétiques. Après ajustement, l'insuffisance cardiaque aiguë et le diabète sont associés à une survie réduite à 5 ans avec un effet synergique chez les patients atteints des deux affections.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Acute heart failure; Acute myocardial infarction; Diabetes mellitus; Long-term outcomes

#### **MOTS-CLÉS**

Insuffisance cardiaque aiguë ; Infarctus aigu du myocarde ; Diabète ; Résultats à long terme

*Abbreviations:* AHF, acute heart failure; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; DM<sub>i</sub>, diabetes mellitus with insulin; DM<sub>ni</sub>, diabetes mellitus without insulin; FAST-MI, French registries of Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; HF, heart failure;

HR, hazard ratio; IQR, interquartile range; MI, myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, OR, odds ratio; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SD, standard deviation; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.

#### Background

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) has increased in the general population and may reach 350 million people by 2030 [1]. Between 1980 and 2014, the number of people with DM quadrupled and the age-standardized prevalence among adults increased significantly during that time [2]. Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death in the world and with improved control over other cardiovascular risk factors, the burden of cardiovascular disease attributable to DM is increasing [3]. Cardiovascular mortality is 2–4-fold higher among patients with diagnosed DM than among those without diagnosed DM [4,5].

Among patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI), cardiovascular mortality has decreased from 1995 to 2015 in parallel with greater use of myocardial revascularization and recommended medications in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) [6]. Patients with DM, however, remain at higher risk for subsequent events, including acute heart failure (AHF) and death, independently of other comorbidities [7,8]. Several clinical and pathophysiological mechanisms may play roles in the unfavourable prognosis of DM, including insulin resistance, hyperglycaemia, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation and greater platelet activation, but also increased delays in presentation and diagnosis, less reperfusion therapy and a greater burden of atherosclerotic disease [9]. Randomized studies have confirmed the worse prognosis of AMI in patients with DM [10,11].

In addition to AMI and other atherosclerosis-related events, AHF is a major contributor to cardiovascular death in patients with type 2 DM [12]. In the Framingham study [13], risk of AHF was 2.4-fold in men and 5.0-fold in women for those with versus without DM. Multiple factors, including age, ischaemic heart disease and peripheral artery disease, as well as DM-specific risk factors, such as poor glycaemic control and insulin resistance, have been associated with heart failure (HF) in patients with DM [14-17]. The pathogenesis of AHF is complex and multifactorial, associating metabolic perturbations, functional alterations, structural changes and neurohormonal dysregulation. Special consideration should be also taken in glycaemic management as some antidiabetic drugs increase the risk of AHF [18]. Moreover, recent studies have shown that inhibitors of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 reduced hospitalization for AHF in patients with type 2 DM [19-21].

Therefore, we decided to explore the synergistic effect of early AHF and DM in patients admitted for AMI. Using the French registries of Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation Myocardial

6

Infarction (FAST-MI) 2005 and 2010, we assessed correlates of the occurrence of AHF at the acute stage of AMI, and the synergism between early AHF and DM on 5-year mortality in patients admitted for NSTEMI or STEMI.

#### **Methods**

#### Study design

All patients from the two nationwide French registries - FAST-MI 2005 and 2010 - were included. The methods of the FAST-MI registries have previously been described in detail [22,23]. Briefly, the two registries included consecutive adult patients with NSTEMI or STEMI over 1-month periods in 2005 and 2010. In 2005, inclusion was extended by 1 additional month for patients with a history of diabetes; in 2010, voluntary centres could prolong inclusion of any patients for up to 1 additional month. Participation in the registries was offered to all French institutions, university teaching hospitals, general and regional hospitals and private clinics with intensive care units with the capacity to receive acute coronary syndrome emergencies. Their primary objectives were to: provide an extensive description of the population of patients admitted for AMI; collect extensive data on practices for myocardial infarction (MI) management; and evaluate short- and long-term outcomes. Patients were included if they: (1) were over 18 years of age; (2) were admitted within 48 hours of symptom onset in a cardiology department for an AMI, defined as an elevation of troponins or creatinine kinase myocardial band and at least one of the following: symptoms compatible with myocardial ischaemia, development of new abnormal Q waves or ST-T changes compatible with myocardial ischaemia and (3) agreed to participate. Patients with unstable angina were not included. Those with iatrogenic AMI were excluded, as were those initially suspected of AMI in whom this diagnosis was subsequently disproved. All data were collected prospectively and were recorded on computerized case record forms by dedicated research technicians in each of the centres. In 2005 (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT00673036), 3670 patients were recruited in 223 centres, and in 2010 (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT01237418), 4169 patients were recruited in 213 centres.

#### **Baseline characteristics and follow-up**

Data on baseline characteristics – including demographics, risk factors and medical history – were prospectively collected as previously described [22,23]. Patients with DM were defined as those with a

known history of DM (with or without medications). Incident DM was defined as patients without known DM on admission but treated with antidiabetic drug(s) at discharge. All other patients were considered as not having DM. For both cohorts, centralized follow-up was organized by the French Society of Cardiology and performed by dedicated research technicians of 'Unite de recherche Clinique de l'Est Parisien'.

In-hospital outcomes (death, recurrent MI, stent thrombosis, AHF, bleeding or transfusion) and 1- and 5-year mortality were collected. Early AHF was defined as Killip class III (pulmonary oedema) or IV (cardiogenic shock) during index hospitalization using the classification of Killip and Kimball [24]. Recurrent MI was defined as recurrence of clinical symptoms or occurrence of electrocardiogram changes accompanied by a recurrent increase in cardiac markers. Stent thrombosis was defined as definite or probable according to the Academic Research Consortium definition. Bleeding was classified as major or minor according to the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) criteria. Follow-up data were collected through contact with the attending physicians and the patients or their family. If missing, vital status was assessed from the civil registries of the patient's birthplaces. Vital status at 5 years could be assessed in 97% of FAST-MI 2005 and > 95% of FAST-MI 2010 patients.

The registry was conducted in compliance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines, French law and the French data protection law. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects of Saint-Louis University Hospital, and the data file of FAST-MI was declared to the Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés. All patients gave informed consent for their participation in the studies.

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analysed for a normal distribution with the D'Agostino-Pearson test. Continuous variables are presented as means and standard deviations (SDs) if normally distributed and compared with Student's unpaired *t* test, or as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) if not, and compared with Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages and were compared by means of the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to determine whether DM was an independent correlate of AHF with adjustment on clinically relevant covariables: risk factors, previous medical history, type of MI, year of survey and medications used before the index MI. Odds ratios (ORs) and adjusted ORs are given with

8

their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For event-free survival analyses, only patients with follow-up data available were included in the analyses. Long-term survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and comparisons were made using log-rank tests. Independent predictors of events during follow-up were determined using a stepwise multivariable Cox regression analysis and adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated with 95% CIs. For adjustment, variables that were predictive of events in univariate analysis (P < 0.20) were entered in the multivariable model. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 25.0 (IBM SPSS Inc.). For all analyses, a two-sided *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Of the 7839 patients included in the registries, 2151 (27.4%) presented with DM. Of these, 629 (29.2%) were treated with insulin (DMi), with the remainder having no insulin treatment (DMni). The flowchart of the study is presented in Fig. 1. Baseline characteristics of the different groups are presented in Table 1. Patients with versus without DM were older and more likely to have risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidaemia or obesity) but less likely to be smokers or have a family history of coronary artery disease. Comorbidities (e.g. previous MI and history of HF) were also more frequent in patients with DM, as was previous treatment with various cardiovascular medications. STEMI was less frequent in patients with DM. Fewer patients with versus without DM underwent coronary angiography (81.9% vs 93.1%; *P* < 0.0001). Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was performed in 61.4% of patients with DM compared to 75.5% of those without (*P* < 0.0001), while coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) during the initial hospital stay was performed more frequently patients with versus without DM (4.5% vs 2.9%; *P* < 0.001).

Among patients with STEMI, median (IQR) time to admission was significantly longer in patients with versus without DM: 215 (120–522) versus 180 (110–385) minutes; P < 0.001; the rate of reperfusion therapy (thrombolysis or primary PCI) was also significantly lower (63.3% vs 76.4%; P < 0.001). Among patients with NSTEMI, the rate of coronary angiography was lower in patients with versus without DM (81.2% vs 87.3%; P < 0.001) and PCI was performed less often (53.0% vs 61.0%; P < 0.001).

#### AHF, DM, and in-hospital outcomes

During hospitalization, AHF occurred in 9.6% of patients without DM and 20.2% of patients with DM (crude OR 2.40, 95% CI 2.09–2.75; P < 0.0001; adjusted OR 1.66, 95% CI: 1.43–1.94; P < 0.001) (Fig. 2; Table A.1). AHF was more frequently observed in patients with DM on insulin therapy (29.1%) compared to those not receiving insulin (16.6%) (Fig. 2; Table A.1). In patients with DM, insulin therapy use before AMI was an independent correlate of AHF occurrence (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.26–2.05; P < 0.001); other independent correlates are listed in Table A.2. In the FAST-MI 2010 registry, diuretics were used within 48 hours of admission in 43.9% of patients with DM compared to 22.6% of patients with versus without DM, respectively (P < 0.001). These data were not available in the FAST-MI 2005 registry. Although various complications were more frequent in patients with DM, stroke (0.7% vs 0.6%; P = 0.62) and bleeding complications were not significantly different between the two groups (Fig. 2; Table A.1). In-hospital mortality was higher in patients with DM (6.1% vs 3.5%; P < 0.0001).

All types of in-hospital complications (ischaemic, haemorrhagic, rhythm disturbances) were more frequent in patients who had AHF, irrespective of their diabetic status. In patients without heart failure, in-hospital mortality was higher in patients with DM (2.4% vs 1.4%; P = 0.008), whereas mortality in patients with AHF was similar for patients with or without DM (20.9% vs 23.1%, respectively; P = 0.419; Table 2)

The significant difference in early AHF and in-hospital death between patients with and without DM was found in patients with STEMI or NSTEMI (AHF: 21.3% vs 11.9% in NSTEMI, 18.8% vs 8.0% in STEMI; in-hospital death: 5.2% vs 3.0% in NSTEMI; 7.3% vs 3.9% in STEMI).

#### Long-term mortality according to DM status and occurrence of AHF

Crude all-cause mortality was significantly higher in patients with versus without DM at 1 year (17.8% vs 8.7%; P < 0.0001) and 5 years (38.2% vs 19.3%; P < 0.0001) (Table A.1). The lowest mortality rates at 1 and 5 years were observed in patients without DM or AHF (5.7% and 14,7%, respectively) and highest in patients with DM and AHF (30,4% and 69,0%, respectively) as illustrated in Table 2. After adjustment for confounders, compared with patients with neither DM nor AHF, those with DM without AHF and those with AHF without DM had increases in 5-year mortality of similar magnitude

(adjusted HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.32–1.69 and adjusted HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.23–1.74, respectively; both P < 0.001), while the highest mortality risk was observed in patients with both DM and AHF (adjusted HR 1.97, 95% CI and have 1.66–2.34; P < 0.001) as illustrated in Fig. 3. A significant interaction existed between early AHF and DM for 5-year survival ( $P_{interaction} < 0.001$ ).

#### Incident versus prevalent DM

Among patients surviving the index hospital stay, the population of patients with incident DM was small (n = 183) compared to those with prevalent DM (n = 2023), but these patients had different characteristics. Those with incident versus prevalent DM were younger ( $63.6 \pm 13.1 \text{ vs} 69.7 \pm 11.7$  years; P < 0.0001), more frequently male (80.9% vs 67.7%, P < 0.001) and had less comorbidities (Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events [GRACE] score:  $140 \pm 38$  vs  $150 \pm 37$ ; P = 0.001; creatinine:  $10.0 \pm 3.5$  vs  $12.6 \pm 9.2$  mg/L; P < 0.001). Interestingly, body mass index ( $28.6 \pm 4.9$  vs  $28.7 \pm 5.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; P = 0.747) and glycaemia ( $194 \pm 83$  vs  $203 \pm 94$  mg/dL; P = 0.235) were similar in both groups, but haemoglobin A1c was higher in patients with incident DM ( $7.8 \pm 1.8\%$  vs  $7.5 \pm 1.5\%$ ; P = 0.017). During hospitalization, AHF occurred in 13.1% of patie17.1% with known DM (P = 0.172). Outcomes by DM status (incident versus prevalent) and occurrence of AHF are presented in Table A.3.

Cox logistic regression was performed among hospital survivors to determine whether AHF, incident DM and prevalent DM were independent predictors of long-term mortality. After adjustment for confounders, compared with an absence of DM and AHF, incident DM without or with AHF was not associated with increased 5-year mortality (adjusted HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.67–1.64; P = 0.83 and adjusted HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.45–1.70; P = 0.68, respectively). AHF and prevalent DM were associated with increases in 5-year mortality of similar magnitude (adjusted HR 1.29, 95% CI 1.06–1.57; P = 0.01 and adjusted HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.34–1.72; P < 0.001, respectively), while the highest mortality rate was observed in patients with both prevalent DM and AHF (adjusted HR 1.69, 95% CI 1.40–2.04; P < 0.001).

#### **Discussion**

In these large registries of patients admitted for AMI, AHF was the most frequent complication during initial hospitalization, and was twice as common in patients with versus without DM. Both DM without

AHF, and occurrence of AHF without a history of DM were associated with a 50% increase in 5-year mortality, while the combination of DM and AHF was associated with twice the risk of 5-year death, compared with that of patients with neither DM nor AHF.

#### Baseline characteristics of patients with DM

History of DM was more frequent in patients with NSTEMI than in those with STEMI, in line with previous reports [25-27]. Also as previously observed, patients with versus without DM were older and had more risk factors (except for current smoking) and more comorbidities, including previous history of HF [10,11,28]. Comorbidities were particularly prevalent in patients with DM on insulin therapy before the AMI. During hospitalization, patients with DM less often underwent angiography and PCI compared with those without DM, as previously reported [10,11,29].

#### Type of in-hospital complications according to DM status

At the acute stage of AMI, AHF is the most frequent complication, being more common than death or ischaemic events. Here, early AHF (pulmonary oedema or cardiogenic shock) occurred in one in five DM patients versus one in 10 patients without DM. Previous research on HF complicating MI has been conducted in patients with STEMI [30,31], in whom Killip class  $\geq$  2 has been reported in 19.1–32.4%. In the GRACE registry, Killip class 2 or 3 was present in 13% of patients admitted for MI [32]. Likewise, Bahit et al. demonstrated that 10.6% of patients with NSTEMI had Killip class  $\geq$  2 at admission and an additional 2.9% during hospitalization [33], and DM was one of the predictors of HF at admission or during hospitalization (OR 1.6). None of these studies specifically focused on patients with DM. In our study, Killip class  $\geq$  2 was present in 20.0% of the whole population, with 25.8% in patients with DM<sub>ni</sub> and 41.2% in patients with DM<sub>i</sub>. In-hospital death and reinfarction were also more frequent in patients with DM but the rate of these events was much lower than that of the AHF.

#### Impact of early AHF on long-term mortality

Early AHF was associated with increased 5-year mortality in patients with or without DM. As described in previous studies, development of AHF during hospitalization was associated with a worse prognosis [31,32]. Interestingly, however, we found that 5-year mortality was similarly increased in patients with AHF and without DM, and in those with DM and no AHF. After taking confounders into account,

patients with DM who developed AHF had twice the risk of being dead at 5 years compared with patients with neither DM nor AHF. Of note, we observed that recommended therapy, including myocardial revascularization, was less frequently used in patients with DM. Several studies have demonstrated the impact of revascularization in patients with STEMI and NSTEMI, as supported by American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association or European Society of Cardiology guidelines [34-36]. Likewise, recommended medications should be prescribed in patients with DM as in those without [37,38].

New antidiabetic drugs that have been shown to improve cardiovascular outcomes in patients with DM were not available at the time of the surveys. It is likely that substantial progress could be achieved with the use of such medications, particularly in the case of AHF complicating the AMI. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists are effective glucose-lowering drugs and can reduce major adverse cardiovascular events and cardiovascular mortality [39]. Whereas clinical benefit could be present in patients with AMI, developing AHF remains conjectural and prospective studies are necessary. Selective inhibitors of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 that promote glucosuria in patients with type 2 DM have not been shown to result in a lower rate of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for HF [20,40,41]. Recently, dapagliflozin demonstrated a reduction in the risk of HF recurrence or death from cardiovascular causes among patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction, regardless of the presence or absence of DM [42]. Recent guidelines have upgraded the positioning of these new drugs in the armamentarium available for patients with DM [43]. Whether similar or greater benefits could be found in patients with AMI who develop AHF will have to be assessed in future studies.

#### Incident versus prevalent DM

Incident DM in the present study was uncommon and underestimated compared to previous studies, which have reported a rate of about 10% of new cases [44,45]. Moreover, incident DM was not a predictive factor of 5-year mortality in hospital survivors, unlike prevalent DM or AHF. Previous studies have shown that new hyperglycaemia increases the risk of mortality [46], but the impact of incident DM is still unclear [47]. A prospective study with a larger number of patients would be interesting to assess the risk of mortality in patients with incident versus prevalent DM.

#### **Study limitations**

13

This study has several limitations. First, the FAST-MI registries included consecutive patients with NSTEMI or STEMI admitted to intensive cardiac care units The most severe patients, particularly those with an initial cardiac arrest, may have been admitted to general intensive care units rather than to specialized cardiac care units, and may therefore not have been included. This could bias the sample towards a somewhat lower risk group. We based our definition of DM on the presence of a history of DM. However, as has been previously shown, AMI may reveal previously undiagnosed DM [48]. Secondly, AHF was assessed by clinical examination using the Killip and Kimball classification. No biomarkers (e.g. B-type natriuretic peptide or N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide) or diastolic parameters by echocardiography were used routinely to confirm the diagnosis of AHF. To be certain of the presence of in-hospital AHF, we deliberately defined early AHF as the presence of Killip class 3 or 4 during hospitalization, as Killip class 2 is more prone to subjective interpretation of clinical signs. The frequency of early AHF in the current study is, therefore, possibly underestimated. Finally, early AHF was found to be an independent factor of mortality in patients with and without DM using multivariable analysis. However, no causality can be demonstrated and unmeasured confounding variables may exist, even though the FAST-MI registries collected a considerable number of items and therefore improved the quality of adjustments.

#### Conclusions

AHF is the most frequent complication after NSTEMI or STEMI and is much more common in patients with DM. It is associated with reduced 5-year survival in patients with and without DM. The association of AHF and DM identifies a particularly high-risk subgroup in all types of AMI. At the acute stage of AMI, new management strategies are needed to avoid occurrence of AHF, particularly in patients with DM, and to improve survival in these patients at very high risk.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are indebted to the patients who agreed to participate and to all the physicians and nurses who took care of them. We acknowledge the help of ICTA (Fontaine-lès-Dijon, France) for data collection. Our gratitude also goes to the personnel of URCEST (Assistance-Publique Hôpitaux de Paris and University Paris 6). Special thanks to Vincent Bataille, PhD (ADIMEP, Toulouse) for data management, Benoît Pace (Société Française de Cardiologie) who designed the electronic case

14

report form, and to Geneviève Mulak, Pharm D. and Nicole Naccache, Pharm D. (Société Française de Cardiologie) for their help, and to Elodie Drouet, MSc, who supervised patient follow-up.

#### Sources of funding

The FAST-MI 2005 and 2010 registries are the property of the French Society of Cardiology and were funded by grants from the following companies: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli-Lilly, GSK, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi and Servier, and by a grant from the French National Health Insurance body (CNAM-TS).

#### **Disclosure of interest**

J.-G. D. Consulting and lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-

Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Sanofi; grants from Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer and Biosensors.

G. M. Fees for lecture, registration and travels reimbursement from Astra-Zeneca, Pfizer.

Y. C. Consulting and lecture fees from Servier, Novartis, BMS, MSD, Pfizer and Boehringer.

F. S. Personal fees from Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, BMS, MSD, Pfizer and Sanofi outside the submitted work.

J. F. Speaking fees from Amgen, Sanofi, Servier and MSD.

D. A. Consulting and lecture fees from Amgen, Alnylam, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi and Servier; grants from Medtronic and Boston.

P. H. Consulting and lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Sanofi and Daiichi-Sankyo; grants from Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer and Biosensors.

E. P. Fees for lectures and/or consulting from Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, Biotronik, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Lilly, MSD, The Medicines Company, Sanofi, Saint Jude Medical, Servier and Siemens.

T. S. Grants from AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli-Lilly, GSK, MSD, Novartis and Sanofi; personal fees for board membership and/or consultancy and/or lectures from AstraZeneca, BMS, Sanofi and Novartis. N. D. Research grants from Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli-Lilly, Merck, Pfizer and Sanofi; fees for lectures or consulting for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli-Lilly, MSD, Novo-Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi and Servier.

G. A., F. A., J.-N. L., L. M., J.-F. M., T. P., P. L., and N. A. report no conflicts of interest.

### **Contributorship statement**

J.-G. D.: Study planning, study analysis, drafting the manuscript and responsible for the overall content as guarantor.

G. A.: collecting data, study analysis, drafting the manuscript.

F. A. and J.-N. L.: contribution to data acquisition, analysis.

G. M.: contribution to analysis and critical revision.

L. M.: contribution to study planning and analysis.

J.-F. M.: contribution to data acquisition, analysis.

Y. C., T. P., P. L., N. A., F. S., J. F. and D. A.: contribution to data acquisition, analysis and critical revision.

P. H.: study planning, study analysis and critical revision.

E. P.: study conception and design, analysis, critical revision.

T. S.: study supervision and responsible for the overall content as guarantor.

N. D.: study conception and design, study analysis, critical revision and responsible for the overall content as guarantor.

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

The authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

#### References

[1] Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1047-53.

- [2] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. Lancet 2016;387:1513-30.
- Fox CS, Coady S, Sorlie PD, D'Agostino RB, Sr., Pencina MJ, Vasan RS, et al. Increasing cardiovascular disease burden due to diabetes mellitus: the Framingham Heart Study.
   Circulation 2007;115:1544-50.
- [4] Preis SR, Hwang SJ, Coady S, Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Sr., Savage PJ, et al. Trends in all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality among women and men with and without diabetes mellitus in the Framingham Heart Study, 1950 to 2005. Circulation 2009;119:1728-35.
- [5] Piccolo R, Franzone A, Koskinas KC, Raber L, Pilgrim T, Valgimigli M, et al. Effect of Diabetes
   Mellitus on Frequency of Adverse Events in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes
   Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Am J Cardiol 2016;118:345-52.
- [6] Puymirat E, Simon T, Cayla G, Cottin Y, Elbaz M, Coste P, et al. Acute Myocardial Infarction: Changes in Patient Characteristics, Management, and 6-Month Outcomes Over a Period of 20 Years in the FAST-MI Program (French Registry of Acute ST-Elevation or Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) 1995 to 2015. Circulation 2017;136:1908-19.
- [7] Bataille V, Ferrieres J, Danchin N, Puymirat E, Zeller M, Simon T, et al. Increased mortality risk in diabetic patients discharged from hospital with insulin therapy after an acute myocardial infarction: Data from the FAST-MI 2005 registry. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 2019;8:218-30.
- [8] Donahoe SM, Stewart GC, McCabe CH, Mohanavelu S, Murphy SA, Cannon CP, et al.Diabetes and mortality following acute coronary syndromes. JAMA 2007;298:765-75.
- [9] Low Wang CC, Hess CN, Hiatt WR, Goldfine AB. Clinical Update: Cardiovascular Disease in Diabetes Mellitus: Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease and Heart Failure in Type 2
   Diabetes Mellitus - Mechanisms, Management, and Clinical Considerations. Circulation 2016;133:2459-502.
- [10] Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, Angiolillo DJ, Meisel S, Dalby AJ, Verheugt FW, et al. Greater clinical benefit of more intensive oral antiplatelet therapy with prasugrel in patients with diabetes mellitus in the trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing

platelet inhibition with prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38. Circulation 2008;118:1626-36.

- [11] James S, Angiolillo DJ, Cornel JH, Erlinge D, Husted S, Kontny F, et al. Ticagrelor vs. clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes and diabetes: a substudy from the PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. Eur Heart J 2010;31:3006-16.
- [12] Lehrke M, Marx N. Diabetes Mellitus and Heart Failure. Am J Cardiol 2017;120:S37-S47.
- [13] Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease. The Framingham study. JAMA 1979;241:2035-8.
- [14] Erqou S, Lee CT, Suffoletto M, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, de Boer RA, van Melle JP, et al.
   Association between glycated haemoglobin and the risk of congestive heart failure in diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail 2013;15:185-93.
- Bertoni AG, Hundley WG, Massing MW, Bonds DE, Burke GL, Goff DC, Jr. Heart failure prevalence, incidence, and mortality in the elderly with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;27:699-703.
- [16] Carr AA, Kowey PR, Devereux RB, Brenner BM, Dahlof B, Ibsen H, et al. Hospitalizations for new heart failure among subjects with diabetes mellitus in the RENAAL and LIFE studies. Am J Cardiol 2005;96:1530-6.
- [17] Nichols GA, Hillier TA, Erbey JR, Brown JB. Congestive heart failure in type 2 diabetes: prevalence, incidence, and risk factors. Diabetes Care 2001;24:1614-9.
- [18] Varas-Lorenzo C, Margulis AV, Pladevall M, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, Hazell L, et al. The risk of heart failure associated with the use of noninsulin blood glucose-lowering drugs: systematic review and meta-analysis of published observational studies. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2014;14:129.
- [19] Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019;380:347-57.
- [20] Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin,Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015;373:2117-28.
- [21] Mahaffey KW, Neal B, Perkovic V, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondu N, et al. Canagliflozin for Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Events: Results From the CANVAS Program (Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study). Circulation 2018;137:323-34.

- [22] Hanssen M, Cottin Y, Khalife K, Hammer L, Goldstein P, Puymirat E, et al. French Registry on Acute ST-elevation and non ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction 2010. FAST-MI 2010. Heart 2012;98:699-705.
- [23] Cambou JP, Simon T, Mulak G, Bataille V, Danchin N. The French registry of Acute ST elevation or non-ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction (FAST-MI): study design and baseline characteristics. Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss 2007;100:524-34.
- [24] Killip T, 3rd, Kimball JT. Treatment of myocardial infarction in a coronary care unit. A two year experience with 250 patients. Am J Cardiol 1967;20:457-64.
- [25] Steg PG, Mehta SR, Pollack CV, Jr., Bode C, Cohen M, French WJ, et al. Anticoagulation with otamixaban and ischemic events in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: the TAO randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;310:1145-55.
- [26] Montalescot G, van 't Hof AW, Lapostolle F, Silvain J, Lassen JF, Bolognese L, et al. Prehospital ticagrelor in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1016-27.
- [27] Valgimigli M, Frigoli E, Leonardi S, Vranckx P, Rothenbuhler M, Tebaldi M, et al. Radial versus femoral access and bivalirudin versus unfractionated heparin in invasively managed patients with acute coronary syndrome (MATRIX): final 1-year results of a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2018;392:835-48.
- [28] Lettino M, Andell P, Zeymer U, Widimsky P, Danchin N, Bardaji A, et al. Diabetic patients with acute coronary syndromes in contemporary European registries: characteristics and outcomes. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2017;3:198-213.
- [29] Norhammar A, Malmberg K, Ryden L, Tornvall P, Stenestrand U, Wallentin L, et al. Under utilisation of evidence-based treatment partially explains for the unfavourable prognosis in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2003;24:838-44.
- [30] Wu AH, Parsons L, Every NR, Bates ER, Second National Registry of Myocardial Infarction. Hospital outcomes in patients presenting with congestive heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction: a report from the Second National Registry of Myocardial Infarction (NRMI-2). J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:1389-94.

- [31] McManus DD, Chinali M, Saczynski JS, Gore JM, Yarzebski J, Spencer FA, et al. 30-year trends in heart failure in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2011;107:353-9.
- [32] Steg PG, Dabbous OH, Feldman LJ, Cohen-Solal A, Aumont MC, Lopez-Sendon J, et al. Determinants and prognostic impact of heart failure complicating acute coronary syndromes: observations from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). Circulation 2004;109:494-9.
- [33] Bahit MC, Lopes RD, Clare RM, Newby LK, Pieper KS, Van de Werf F, et al. Heart failure complicating non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome: timing, predictors, and clinical outcomes. JACC Heart Fail 2013;1:223-9.
- [34] Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, Casey DE, Jr., Ganiats TG, Holmes DR, Jr., et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2014;130:2354-94.
- [35] Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2016;37:267-315.
- [36] Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with STsegment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2018;39:119-77.
- [37] Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Jr., Colvin MM, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. Circulation 2017;136:e137-e61.

- [38] Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J 2016;37:2129-200.
- [39] Bethel MA, Patel RA, Merrill P, Lokhnygina Y, Buse JB, Mentz RJ, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2018;6:105-13.
- [40] Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondu N, et al. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2017;377:644-57.
- [41] Kato ET, Silverman MG, Mosenzon O, Zelniker TA, Cahn A, Furtado RHM, et al. Effect of Dapagliflozin on Heart Failure and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Circulation 2019;139:2528-36.
- [42] McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1995-2008.
- [43] Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC
   Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration
   with the EASD. Eur Heart J 2020;41:255-323.
- [44] Gueniat-Ratheau E, Yao H, Debeaumarche H, Maalem B, Lairet C, Maza M, et al. [Prognostic value of HbA1c and plasma glucose on one-year mortality in non-diabetic patients after myocardial infarction]. Ann Cardiol Angeiol (Paris) 2020;69:180-91.
- [45] Lugg ST, May CJH, Nightingale P, Tuffley RPE, Al-Hourani J, De P. HbA1c screening for new onset diabetes following acute coronary syndrome: is it a worthwhile test in clinical practice? J Diabetes Metab Disord 2017;16:14.
- [46] Angeli F, Verdecchia P, Karthikeyan G, Mazzotta G, Del Pinto M, Repaci S, et al. New-onset hyperglycemia and acute coronary syndrome: a systematic overview and meta-analysis. Curr Diabetes Rev 2010;6:102-10.

- [47] Seo JY, Park JS, Seo KW, Yang HM, Lim HS, Choi BJ, et al. Impact of new-onset diabetes on clinical outcomes after ST segment-elevated myocardial infarction. Scand Cardiovasc J 2019;53:379-84.
- [48] Bjarnason TA, Kristinsdottir LB, Oskarsdottir ES, Hafthorsson SO, Olafsson I, Lund SH, et al.
   Editor's Choice- Diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and prediabetes among patients with acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 2017;6:744-9.

#### **Figure legends**

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study according to status of diabetes mellitus (DM).

**Figure 2.** In-hospital outcomes (stroke, non-fatal MI, AHF, death and TIMI major or minor bleeding) in patients without DM, in all patients with DM and in patients with DM treated without and with insulin . AHF: acute heart failure. DM: diabetes mellitus; DM<sub>i</sub>: diabetes mellitus with insulin; DM<sub>ni</sub>: diabetes mellitus without insulin; MI: myocardial infarction.

<sup>#</sup> P < 0.05 for DM versus no DM.\* P < 0.05 for DM<sub>ni</sub> versus no DM.

† P < 0.05 for DM<sub>i</sub> versus DM<sub>ni</sub>.

DM indicates diabetes mellitus MI indicates myocardial infarction TIMI indicates Thrombolysis in myocardial infarction

**Figure 3.** Five-year survival according to presence or absence of DM and/or early AHF.AHF: acute heart failure; CI: confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; HR, hazard ratio.

**Graphical abstract.** Characteristics of the study population and 5-year survival according to the presence or absence of DM and/or early AHF.

	Overall	No DM	DM	DMni	DMi	P (DM vs no	P (DM <sub>ni</sub> vs
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	( <i>n</i> = 5688)	( <i>n</i> = 2151)	( <i>n</i> = 1522)	( <i>n</i> = 629)	DM)	DM <sub>i</sub> )
Age (years)	66.1 ± 14.2	64.7 ± 14.7	70.0 ± 11.6	69.2 ± 11.7	72.1 ± 11.3	< 0.001	< 0.001
Age > 75 years	2517 (32.1)	1668 (29.3)	849 (39.5)	547 (35.9)	302 (48.0)	< 0.001	<0.001
Male	5545 (70.7)	4102 (72.1)	1443 (67.1)	1098 (72.1)	345 (54.8)	<0.001	< 0.001
Risk factors							
BMI (kg/m²)	27.0 ± 4.7	26.4 ± 4.4	28.6 ± 5.1	28.7 ± 5.1	28.5 ± 5.1	< 0.001	0.453
	n=7174	n=5248	n=1926	n=1367	n=559		
BMI $\ge$ 25 and < 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	3033 (42.3)	2207 (42.1)	826 (38.4)	601 (44.0)	225 (35.8)	<0.001	0.287
BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²	1590 (22.2)	932 (17.8)	658 (30.6)	462 (33.8)	196 (35.1)		
Hypertension	4413 (56.3)	2772 (48.7)	1641 (76.3)	1140 (74.9)	501 (79.7)	< 0.001	0.018
Dyslipidaemia	3580 (45.7)	2338 (41.1)	1242 (57.7)	855 (56.2)	387 (61.5)	< 0.001	0.022
Family history	1908 (24.3)	1505 (26.5)	403 (18.7)	303 (19.9)	100 (15.9)	< 0.001	0.030
Current smoker	2527 (32.2)	2104 (37.0)	423 (19.7)	339 (22.3)	84 (13.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Medical history							
MI	1308 (16.7)	750 (13.2)	558 (25.9)	326 (21.4)	232 (36.9)	< 0.001	< 0.001
PCI	1140 (14.5)	648 (11.4)	492 (22.9)	291 (19.1)	201 (32.0)	< 0.001	< 0.001
CABG	459 (5.9)	270 (4.7)	189 (8.8)	111 (7.3)	78 (12.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001

**Table 1** Baseline patient characteristics according to presence or absence of DM and according to DM treatment.

	Overall	No DM	DM	DM <sub>ni</sub>	DMi	P (DM vs no	P (DM₁i vs
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	( <i>n</i> = 5688)	( <i>n</i> = 2151)	( <i>n</i> = 1522)	( <i>n</i> = 629)	DM)	DM <sub>i</sub> )
Heart failure	388 (4.9)	202 (3.6)	186 (8.6)	88 (5.8)	98 (15.6)	< 0.001	< 0.001
PAD	692 (8.8)	378 (6.7)	314 (14.6)	175 (11.5)	139 (22.1)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Atrial fibrillation <sup>a</sup>	239 (5.7)	166 (5.0)	73 (8.7)	47 (7.8)	26 (11.0)	0.005	0.144
	n=4169	n=3334	n=835	n=599	n=236		
Chronic renal failure	383 (4.9)	172 (3.0)	211 (9.8)	88 (5.8)	123 (19.6)	< 0.001	< 0.001
COPD	450 (5.7)	302 (5.3)	148 (6.9)	93 (6.1)	55 (8.7)	0.008	0.028
revious treatment							
Aspirin	1844 (23.5)	1099 (19.3)	745 (34.6)	446 (29.3)	299 (47.5)	< 0.001	<0.001
Clopidogrel	994 (12.7)	531 (9.3)	463 (21.5)	253 (16.6)	210 (33.4)	< 0.001	<0.001
Prasugrel <sup>a</sup>	13 (0.3)	8 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	4 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	0.373	0.649
VKA	388 (4.9)	245 (4.3)	143 (6.7)	93 (6.1)	50 (7.9)	<0.001	0.125
Statins	2182 (27.8)	1300 (22.9)	882 (41.0)	565 (37.1)	317 (50.4)	< 0.001	<0.001
Ezetimibe	142 ()	88 (1.5)	54 (2.5)	29 (1.9)	25 (4.0)	0.004	0.005
ACEI/ARBs	2658 (33.9)	1526 (26.8)	1132 (52.6)	737 (48.4)	395 (62.8)	< 0.001	<0.001
Beta-blockers	1908 (24.3)	1159 (20.4)	749 (34.8)	477 (31.3)	272 (43.2)	<0.001	<0.001
Diuretics	1927 (24.6)	1095 (19.3)	832 (38.7)	508 (33.4)	324 (51.5)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Calcium blockers	1434 (18.3)	814 (14.3)	620 (28.8)	401 (26.3)	219 (34.8)	< 0.001	< 0.001

	Overall	No DM	DM	DM <sub>ni</sub>	DMi	P (DM vs no	P (DM <sub>ni</sub> vs
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	( <i>n</i> = 5688)	( <i>n</i> = 2151)	( <i>n</i> = 1522)	( <i>n</i> = 629)	DM)	DMi)
Nitrates	577 (7.4)	335 (5.9)	242 (11.3)	137 (9.0)	105 (16.7)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Sulfonylureas	281 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	703 (32.7)	625 (41.1)	78 (12.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Metformin	864 (11.0)	0 (0.0)	795 (37.0)	673 (44.2)	122 (19.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Insulin	629 (8.0)	0 (0.0)	629 (29.2)	0 (0.0)	629 (100.0)	<0.001	< 0.001
MI presentation							
STEMI	4250 (54.2)	3320 (58.4)	930 (43.2)	714 (46.9)	216 (34.3)	< 0.001	< 0.001
NSTEMI	3589 (45.8)	2368 (41.6)	1221 (56.8)	808 (53.1)	413 (65.7)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Parameters on admission							
SBP (mmHg)	142 ± 28	141 ± 28	146 ± 30	146 ± 29	146 ± 31	< 0.001	0.589
	n=7760	n=5633	n=2127	n=1503	n=624		
Heart rate (bpm)	80 ± 20	78 ± 20	84 ± 22	83 ± 22	86 ± 22	< 0.001	0.009
	n=7763	n=5636	n=2127	n=1503	n=624		
GRACE score	144 ± 37n	141 ± 36	153 ± 36	150 ± 36	163 ± 37	< 0.001	< 0.001
	n=7479	n=5439	n=2040	n=1437	n=603		
Cardiac arrest	110 (1.4)	88 (1.5)	22 (1.0)	19 (1.2)	3 (0.5)	0.38	0.14
Killip class ≥ 2	1542 (20.0)	901 (16.1)	641 (29.8)	385 (25.8)	256 (41.2)	< 0.001	< 0.001
LVEF (%)	52 ± 12	52 ± 12	49 ± 13	50 ± 13	48 ± 14	< 0.001	0.002

	Overall	No DM	DM	DM <sub>ni</sub>	DMi	P (DM vs no	P (DM <sub>ni</sub> vs
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	( <i>n</i> = 5688)	( <i>n</i> = 2151)	( <i>n</i> = 1522)	( <i>n</i> = 629)	DM)	DM <sub>i</sub> )
	n=6350	n=4668	n=1682	n=1207	n=475		
Coronary angiography	7043 (89.8)	5211 (91.6)	1832 (85.2)	1358 (89.2)	474 (75.4)	< 0.001	<0.001
Multivessel disease	3729 (53.3)	2562 (49.5)	1167 (64.1)	830 (61.5)	337 (71.5)	< 0.001	<0.001
	N=7000	N=5180	N=1820	N=1349	N=471		
3-vessel disease	1223 (16.3)	808 (14.7)	415 (19.3)	318 (23.6)	120 (25.5)	< 0.001	0.405
				N=1349	N=471		
PCI	5595 (71.4)	4223 (74.2)	1372 (63.8)	1042 (68.5)	330 (52.5)	< 0.001	<0.001
CABG	271 (3.5)	171 (3.0)	100 (4.6)	82 (5.4)	18 (2.9)	< 0.001	0.011
Biological data							
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	13.9 ± 1.9	14.1 ± 1.8	13.4 ± 1.9	13.7 ± 1.9	12.8 ± 2.0	< 0.001	<0.001
	N=7617	N=5543	N=2074	N=1461	N=613		
Creatinine (mg/L)	11.2 ± 7.4	10.6 ± 6.5	11.8 ± 7.9	11.8 ± 8.0	15.2 ± 11.5	< 0.001	<0.001
	N=7655	N=5565	N=2090	N=1472	N=618		
Creatinine clearance (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	73 ± 24	76 ± 23	64 ± 25	68 ± 24	55 ± 26	< 0.001	<0.001
	N=7655	N=5565	N=2090	N=1472	N=618		
Glycaemia (mmol/L)	8.4 ± 4.2	7.3 ± 3.1	11.2 ± 5.2	10.9 ± 5.1	11.9 ± 5.6	< 0.001	<0.001
	N=7046	N=5078	N=1968	N=1378	N=590		

	Overall	No DM	DM	DM <sub>ni</sub>	DMi	P (DM vs no	<i>P</i> (DM <sub>ni</sub> vs
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	( <i>n</i> = 5688)	( <i>n</i> = 2151)	( <i>n</i> = 1522)	( <i>n</i> = 629)	DM)	DM <sub>i</sub> )
A1c level (%) ( <i>n</i> = 1698)	6.6 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 1.5	7.3 ± 1.5	8.1 ± 1.5	< 0.001	< 0.001
	N=2902	N=1759	N=1143	N=831	N=312		
LDL-C (mg/dL)	119 ± 43	124 ± 43	104 ± 40	106 ± 39	98 ± 43	< 0.001	0.002
	N=5415	N=4060	N=1355	N=979	N=376		
HDL-C (mgd/L)	47 ± 15	47 ± 15	45 ± 15	45 ± 15	45 ± 17	< 0.001	0.841
	N=5434	N=4042	N=1392	N=1009	N=383		
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	146 ± 105	141 ± 96	160 ± 126	163 ± 130	152 ± 117	< 0.001	0.135
	N=5779	N=4271	N=1508	N=1080	N=428		
CRP (mg/L)	22 ± 47	19 ± 43	30 ± 52	25 ± 46	41 ± 66	< 0.001	< 0.001
	N=5567	N=4057	N=1510	N=1057	N=453		

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, number (%) or number/number with data (%). ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin-receptor blocker; BMI: body mass index; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C reactive protein; DM: diabetes mellitus; DM<sub>i</sub>: diabetes mellitus with insulin; DM<sub>ni</sub>: diabetes mellitus without insulin; FAST-MI: French registries of Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; GRACE: Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; MI: myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; VKA: PAD: peripheral arterial disease; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; SBP: systolic blood pressure; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; VKA: vitamin K antagonist:.

<sup>a</sup> Available in 4169 patients from the 2010 FAST-MI registry.

	Overall	No DM,	No DM,	DM,	DM,	Р	Р
	( <i>n</i> = 7839)	no AHF	AHF	no AHF	AHF	No DM, no AHF	DM, no AHF
		( <i>n</i> = 5142)	( <i>n</i> = 546)	( <i>n</i> = 1716)	( <i>n</i> = 435)	vs No DM, AHF	vs DM, AHF
In-hospital outcomes							
AHF	981 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	546 (100)	0 (0.0)	435 (100)	NA	NA
Recurrent MI	118 (1.5)	55 (1.1)	20 (3.7)	26 (1.5)	17 (3.9)	< 0.001	0.001
Stroke	49 (0.6)	22 (0.4)	12 (2.2)	8 (0.5)	7 (1.6)	< 0.001	0.011
Minor or major bleeding	317 (4.0)	174 (3.4)	55 (10.1)	49 (2.9)	39 (9.0)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Minor bleeding	150 (1.9)	93 (1.8)	21 (3.8)	17 (1.0)	19 (4.4)	0.001	< 0.001
Major bleeding	167 (2.1)	81 (1.6)	34 (6.2)	32 (1.9)	20 (4.6)	< 0.001	0.001
AV block	140 (1.8)	66 (1.3)	33 (6.0)	24 (1.4)	17 (3.9)	< 0.001	0.001
Ventricular fibrillation	157 (2.0)	83 (1.6)	39 (7.1)	18 (1.0)	17 (3.9)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Death	332 (4.2)	74 (1.4)	126 (23.1)	41 (2.4)	91 (20.9)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Follow-up							
1-year mortality	879 (11.2)	291 (5.7)	206 (37.7)	197 (11.5)	185 (42.5)	< 0.001	< 0.001
5-year mortality	1918 (24.5)	778 (15.1)	318 (58.2)	521 (30.4)	301 (69.2)	< 0.001	< 0.001

 Table 2
 In-hospital outcomes and 1- and 5-year mortality by DM status and occurrence of AHF (pulmonary oedema or cardiogenic shock) during index

hospital stay.

AHF: acute heart failure; AV, atrioventricular DM: diabetes mellitus; MI: myocardial infarction.

#### Acute heart failure and Diabetes Mellitus in patients with ACS data from the FAST-MI registries

7,839 patients

4,250 patients with STEMI (54.2%) 3,589 patients with NSTEMI (45.8%)

2,151 patients with DM (27.4%) 629 patients on insulin (8.0%)

#### Acute heart failure

AHF : the most frequent in-hospital complication (12.5%)

Risk of AHF is **doubled** in DM patients (20.2% vs 9.6%) and more the 1.5 times after adjustment Adjusted OR = 1.66 (95% CI ; 1.43-1.94)



Early AHF and DM are associated with reduced 5-year survival with synergistic effects in patients with both conditions

AHF : acute heart failure ; ACS : acute coronary syndrom ; CI : confidence interval ; DM : diabetes mellitus ; HR : hazard ratio ; NSTEMI : non ST-elevation myocardial infarction ; OR ; odds ratio ; STEMI : ST-elevation myocardial infarction

Dillinger et al., Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases 2022





