



**HAL**  
open science

# Fake science : panorama des méconduites et contre-feux pour déjouer les pièges

Guillaume Cabanac

► **To cite this version:**

Guillaume Cabanac. Fake science : panorama des méconduites et contre-feux pour déjouer les pièges. Doctorat. Grand séminaire de l'Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France. 2023. hal-04225515v5

**HAL Id: hal-04225515**

**<https://ut3-toulouseinp.hal.science/hal-04225515v5>**

Submitted on 17 Dec 2023 (v5), last revised 20 Nov 2024 (v9)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Grand séminaire à l'Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse  
19 décembre 2023



<https://hal.science/hal-04225515v5>

# Fake science :

## Panorama des méconduites et contre-feux pour déjouer les pièges

**Guillaume Cabanac**



The NanoBubbles project has received Synergy grant funding from the European Research Council (ERC), within the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme, grant agreement no. 951393.

**MENACES**

Hijacked

Journals

Fake

Conferences



Gold APC aka Author Pays  
(Author Processing Charges)



Predatory

Grey

×

Publishers

Publish or Perish

Paper mills

Authorship

Article

Reviewers

... for sale

Crooked (Guest) Editors

Plethoric Special Issues

Conflicts of Interests







# ÉVALUATION POST PUBLICATION – POST PUBLICATION PEER REVIEW

Organised Scepticism

Digital Hygiene

Replication Crisis

Correction/Erratum

'Honest' Error

Retraction

Falsification


Fraud

Fabrication

Questioned Articles

Plagiarism


Domino Effect: Feet of Clay




# La science : accumulation des connaissances

If I have seen further it is by standing on the shoulders of Giants.


- Isaac Newton



la science forme le mur de la connaissance



1965




↑ temps qui passe : sédimentation



# Processus d'auto-correction

publication erronée = édifice fragilisé



*American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings* 100 (May 2010): 573–578

## Growth in a Time of Debt

By CARMEN M. REINHART AND KENNETH S. ROGOFF



⇒ politiques d'austérité

May 5, 2013

### Errata:

“Growth in A Time of Debt”  
Carmen M. Reinhart and Kenneth S. Rogoff  
*Harvard University*




publication corrigée ou rétractée

# Le serment des scientifiques

## A NOTE ON SCIENCE AND DEMOCRACY

by ROBERT K. MERTON



Évaluation par les pairs

## Four Norms of Science

### 1. COMMUNALISM

→ All scientists should have common ownership of scientific goods (intellectual property), to promote collective collaboration; SECRECY is the OPPOSITE of this norm! *scientists should SHARE!*

### 2. UNIVERSALISM

→ Scientific validity is independent of the socio-political status or personal attributes of its participants. *"The intensity of a conviction that a hypothesis is true has no bearing over whether it is true or not." - Sir Peter Medawar*

### 3. DISINTERESTEDNESS (a mouthful!)

→ Scientific institutions act for the benefit of a common scientific enterprise, rather than for the personal gain of individuals within them. *This is why a LOT of people are freaked out by pharmaceutical companies, for example.*

### 4. ORGANIZED SKEPTICISM (Yow!)

→ Scientific claims should be exposed to critical scrutiny before being accepted, both in methodology and institutional codes of conduct. *All scientific claims are pending, awaiting the critical scrutiny of other scientists.*

Robert K. Merton

(7/2/1910 - 2/13/1983)

American Sociologist

Awarded the National Medal of Science!





# Le champ (de bataille) scientifique

## La spécificité du champ scientifique et les conditions sociales du progrès de la raison

PIERRE BOURDIEU

*Sociologie et sociétés*

71 (1975): 91–118.



Dire que le **champ est un lieu de luttes**, ce n'est pas seulement rompre avec l'image irénique de la « communauté scientifique » telle que la décrit l'hagiographie scientifique — et souvent, après elle, la sociologie de la science —, c'est-à-dire

La sociologie de la science repose sur le postulat que la vérité du produit, — s'agirait-il de ce produit très particulier qu'est la vérité scientifique —, réside dans une espèce particulière de **conditions sociales de production**; c'est-à-dire, plus précisément, dans un état déterminé de la structure et du fonctionnement du champ scientifique. L'univers « pur » de la science la plus « pure » est un champ social comme un autre, avec ses **rapports de forces et ses monopoles**, ses luttes et ses stratégies, ses intérêts et ses profits, mais où tous ces *invariants* revêtent des formes spécifiques.

knowledge in his field ». **Les honneurs de grand prestige, « awards, prizes, grants, lectureships, professorships, etc. », sont les signes de reconnaissance réservés aux « great men »** (B. G. Glaser, « Comparative Failure in Science », *Science*, mars 6, 1964, vol. 143, n° 3610, pp. 1012-1014).

Du fait que toutes les pratiques sont orientées vers l'**acquisition de l'autorité scientifique** (prestige, reconnaissance, célébrité, etc.), enjeu intrinsèquement *double*, ce que l'on appelle communément « l'intérêt » pour une activité scientifique (une discipline, un secteur de cette discipline, une méthode, etc.) est toujours à double face ; et de même les stratégies qui tendent à assurer la satisfaction de cet intérêt.

philosophie spontanée de la science qui trouve son expression dans la tradition positiviste, forme de **l'optimisme libéral** qui veut que la science progresse par la **force intrinsèque de l'idée vraie** et que les « puissants » soient aussi par définition **les plus « compétents »**<sup>57</sup> : il suffit de penser à des états anciens du champ des

La **reconnaissance socialement marquée** et garantie (par tout un ensemble de **signes spécifiques de consécration**)<sup>12</sup> que le groupe des pairs-concurrents accorde à chacun de ses membres est fonction de la *valeur distinctive* de ses produits et de l'*originalité* collectivement reconnue (au sens de la théorie de l'information) de la contribution qu'il apporte aux ressources scientifiques déjà accumulées. Le fait que

# Massification et mondialisation






Fig.17 Growth in articles\* by authors affiliated with national research institutions, 2017 to 2020 (Source: SCImago Journal and Country Rank, 2021)




STM Global Brief 2021



# Un business et des oligopoles


Total STM Market Value (Source: Outsell, Inc. 2021)



STM Global Brief 2021

Fig.13


Growth in Number of Journals 2000-2020  
(Source: Ulrich's Web, 2021)



STM Global Brief 2021

## Elsevier top of the league


Global market share of journal articles by leading publishers, 2013 (%)



Source: company

## Film "Paywall : the Business of Scholarship"

### CORPORATE PROFIT MARGINS



# Les éditeurs prédateurs et leurs revues bidon

## Predatory journals



### The definition

The consensus definition reached was: “Predatory journals and publishers are entities that prioritize self-interest at the expense of scholarship and are characterized by false or misleading information, deviation from best editorial and publication practices, a lack of transparency, and/or the use of aggressive and indiscriminate solicitation practices.”

210 | Nature | Vol 576 | 12 December 2019

NATURE | VOL 534 | 16 JUNE 2016

### Ban predators from the scientific record

Predatory journals are threatening the credibility of science. By faking or neglecting peer review, they pollute the scholarly record with fringe or junk science and activist research. I suggest that every publishing stakeholder could contribute to reining in these journals.

...

**Jeffrey Beall** *Auraria Library,  
University of Colorado Denver,  
USA.*  
[jeffrey.beall@ucdenver.edu](mailto:jeffrey.beall@ucdenver.edu)

Nature | Vol 598 | 28 October 2021

## Predatory publishers' latest scam: bootlegged and rebranded papers

Kyle Siler, Philippe Vincent-Lamarre, Cassidy R. Sugimoto & Vincent Larivière






# Manquements à l'intégrité scientifique

Un court panorama de cas contemporains...

... ça n'arrive pas qu'aux autres labos/personnes...



Focus sur des mesures préventives concrètes

# 1. Usurpation d'identité



<https://retractionwatch.com>

## French ocean institute goes public about authors who forged their researchers' names

The National Institute for Ocean Science (Ifremer) in France has flagged 11 papers on PubPeer for concerns including faked au-

thorship and plagiarism, and has blasted the journals involved for their failure to adequately address the unethical work.



RÉPUBLIQUE  
FRANÇAISE

Liberté  
Égalité  
Fraternité



In some cases, for example the *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*, editors have removed the names of the forged authors without informing readers.

A name that appears on nine of the articles is Bertrand Chapron, a researcher at Ifremer. **Chapron had nothing to do with the articles** – a fact his institution has been pointing out to the journals involved, without satisfaction, while noting that two of his putative co-authors have highly suspect affiliations.

### Prévention :

- monitorer via alertes Google Scholar
- chercher régulièrement son identité avec l'expression "prénom nom"



## 2. Plagiat *in extenso* d'un article

The screenshot shows the Taylor & Francis Online interface. The article title is "Effects of microgravity and hypergravity on aging and longevity of insects" by Hak Ryl Kim, published in 2000. The page includes a search bar, navigation links, and a sidebar with statistics: 383 Views, 2 CrossRef citations to date, and 6 Altmetric. A "Related research" section is visible on the right, featuring a tweet from Guillaume Cabanac (@gcabanac) dated June 2022. The tweet discusses the article as a "complete plagiarism" of a 1999 paper by a CNRS researcher, noting that the article remains online and cited without retraction or a caveat.

Plagiat complet de (Le Bourg, 1999), article d'un chercheur de l'Université Toulouse 3. Il n'a été rétracté en ligne qu'en 2022 !

Prévention :

- analyser les Google Scholar Alerts
- signaler les plagiat aux éditeurs

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented June 2022

Because it was found to plagiarise (Le Bourg, 1999), this paper was discussed in:

Butler, D. (2008). Entire-paper plagiarism caught by software. In *Nature* (Vol. 455, Issue 7214, pp. 715–715). Springer Science and Business Media LLC.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/455715a>

It has been retracted by the editors of *Animal Cells and Systems* who report on their investigation in:

Rhee, M., Chung, C. H., & Nam, S. H. (2009). Response to the publisher's note. In *Experimental Gerontology* (Vol. 44, Issue 4, pp. 305–305). Elsevier BV.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2009.01.001>

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/95A46C763AC2D8A440515DDACC1C29>

# 3a. Plagiat massif d'articles

## Accepté en Oral presentation à CVPR 2022 : critique de l'évaluation par les pairs

	Title	Acronym	Source	Rank
	IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition	CVPR	CORE2021	A*

Method	MSE	SIM	LPIPS						
1234567	0.196	0.175	0.362	0.469	0.466	0.238	0.512	0.771	0.607
1234567	0.077	0.081	0.171	0.241	0.211	0.177	0.288	0.248	0.415
1234567	0.096	0.112	0.267	0.408	0.338	0.422	0.174	0.671	0.617
1234567	0.097	0.101	0.201	0.281	0.231	0.201	0.281	0.281	0.281
1234567	0.434	0.011	0.087	0.440	0.341	0.287	0.321	0.482	0.482
1234567	0.041	0.037	0.121	0.618	0.588	0.588	0.763	0.238	0.447
1234567	0.041	0.046	0.208	0.272	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281

Method	MSE	SIM	LPIPS						
1234567	0.077	0.081	0.171	0.241	0.211	0.177	0.288	0.248	0.415
1234567	0.096	0.112	0.267	0.408	0.338	0.422	0.174	0.671	0.617
1234567	0.097	0.101	0.201	0.281	0.231	0.201	0.281	0.281	0.281
1234567	0.434	0.011	0.087	0.440	0.341	0.287	0.321	0.482	0.482
1234567	0.041	0.037	0.121	0.618	0.588	0.588	0.763	0.238	0.447
1234567	0.041	0.046	0.208	0.272	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281

Method	MSE	SIM	LPIPS						
1234567	0.077	0.081	0.171	0.241	0.211	0.177	0.288	0.248	0.415
1234567	0.096	0.112	0.267	0.408	0.338	0.422	0.174	0.671	0.617
1234567	0.097	0.101	0.201	0.281	0.231	0.201	0.281	0.281	0.281
1234567	0.434	0.011	0.087	0.440	0.341	0.287	0.321	0.482	0.482
1234567	0.041	0.037	0.121	0.618	0.588	0.588	0.763	0.238	0.447
1234567	0.041	0.046	0.208	0.272	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281





# 3b. Plagiat d'articles

## Visualiser les « emprunts » : [SimTexter](#) et [Text Compare](#)

The screenshot displays the SimTexter web application interface. At the top left, the logo reads 'similarity texter A TEXT-COMPARISON TOOL'. The interface is split into two main columns. The left column, titled 'mon article', contains the text of a scientific article. The right column, titled 'plagiat suspecté', contains the text of a suspected plagiarized article. The text in both columns is highlighted in yellow to show similarities. The article text includes sections like 'Salivary Diagnostics for Managing Cellular Oxidative Stress', 'Introduction', 'In people and animals, cells are in homeostatic equilibrium...', 'Some Primary Oxidative Stress Biomarkers', and 'Measuring Biomarker Enzymes of Oxidative Stress'. The suspected plagiarized text is a shorter version of the same article, with many words and phrases highlighted in yellow to match the original. The interface also shows a 'TEXT: Plain text input' field and a 'OUTPUT' section.



# 4. Plagiat du site d'une conférence scientifique

Association présidée par Laure VIEU (CNRS-IRIT).

The International Association for Ontology and its Applications

**FOIS 2023 conference**

Formal Ontology in Information Systems Conference for 2023, hosted by IAOA and the GRIIS in Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

General information

13th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS 2023), July 17-20, 2023 (Sherbrooke, QC, Canada) and Sept 18-20, 2023 (Online)

**FOIS 2023 CALL FOR PAPER**

13th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS 2023), July 17-20, 2023 (Sherbrooke, QC, Canada) and Sept 18-20, 2023 (Online) <http://fois2023.griis.ca> For any questions, please email: [fois2023@gmail.com](mailto:fois2023@gmail.com) Definition and scope The FOIS [...]

[Read more »](#)

Conférence prédatrice détectée

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology

Search Conferences

Conferences Disciplines Committees Publications Support Login Register

210 | Nature | Vol 576 | 12 December 2019

Conferences / Formal Ontology in Information Systems

**International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems**

Formal Ontology in Information Systems Conference aims to bring together leading academic scientists, researchers and research scholars to exchange and share their experiences and research results on all aspects of Formal Ontology in Information Systems Conference. It also provides a premier interdisciplinary platform for researchers, practitioners, and educators to present and discuss the most recent innovations, trends, and concerns as well as practical challenges encountered and solutions adopted in the fields of Formal Ontology in Information Systems Conference.

Upcoming Conferences

October 2023 in Istanbul

February 2024 in Kuala Lumpur

The World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology or WASET is a predatory publisher of open access academic journals. The publisher has been listed as a "potential, possible, or probable" predatory publisher by American library scientist Jeffrey Beal<sup>[1]</sup> and is listed as such by the Max Planck Society<sup>[2]</sup> and Stop Predatory Journals.<sup>[3]</sup> WASET's estimated annual revenue in 2017 alone was over \$4 million,<sup>[4]</sup> with other estimates ranging from \$8.9 million to \$11.9 million for the years 2014 to 2019 combined.<sup>[6]</sup>

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology	
Status	Active
Founder	Cemal Ardil
Country of origin	Turkey
Distribution	Worldwide
Publication types	Open access journals
Nonfiction topics	Science, technology, and medicine
Official website	<a href="http://www.waset.org">www.waset.org</a>

**Conferences** [ edit ]

WASET has been accused of arranging predatory conferences, in order to artificially boost the academic credentials of presenters and paper submitters.<sup>[7][8]</sup> It claims to organize several thousands of scientific conferences a year, using names that are the same or similar to real conferences organized by established scientific groups.<sup>[9][10]</sup> Legitimate conferences have publicly warned of identically named, fake WASET conferences.<sup>[11][12]</sup> In 2015, the University of Toronto released a "scam advisory" about a purported conference on their premises advertised by WASET.<sup>[13][14]</sup> In 2018 WASET advertised 49,844 conferences, many of which share similar names.<sup>[15]</sup> Hundreds of conferences may be scheduled for the same location on the same day.<sup>[16][17]</sup> For example, 116 simultaneous scientific meetings were scheduled in a hotel in Rio de Janeiro in February 2016.<sup>[18][19]</sup>

- Prévention :
- rechercher les acronyme, titre et CFP
  - vérifier les noms des membres du CP




# 5a. Plagiat déguisé par paraphrases – *tortured phrases*

IEEE Access<sup>™</sup>

Multidisciplinary | Rapid Review | Open Access Journal

Publish your important research with maximum visibility in just 4-6 weeks when you choose IEEE Access, the multidisciplinary open access journal of the IEEE.

LEARN MORE



## Prévention :

- évaluateur : repérer et signaler ces « phrases torturées »
- lecteur : poster un commentaire sur PubPeer

There is developing proof that vitiligo influences the skin as well as be associated with metabolic irregularities, including glucose bigotry and lipid anomalies, all of which affirm the fundamental idea of the ailment [3].

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/48716D07A542F8DD27377198302B06>

Cruel Wavelet Esteem, Cruel Wavelet Vitality elements. These highlights, combined with a SVM

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/44F31B252D70BF85BE487B043E371C>

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented December 2021

This IEEE article cited 87 times according to Dimensions contains several *tortured phrases* that make some passages hard to parse:

- **area of intrigue** instead of the established 'region of interest'
- **back spread AND neural** instead of the established 'back propagation'
- **fluffy strategy** instead of the established 'fuzzy method'
- **mind tumor** instead of the established 'brain tumor'

Can the authors explain why they departed from the established phrases?

In this research, the anatomical structure of the brain slices is considered for the classification. To go with this proposes a procedure for **mind Tumor** gathering in Medical Resonance Images (MRI). In this paper, CAD (Computer Aided

here. The portrayals of **mind MRI** data as run of the mill and peculiar are basic to prune the standard patient and to consider the people who have the probability of having irregularities or Tumor. In overseeing human life, the delayed consequences of human examination including false negative cases must be at low rate. The viability of this paper is inspected on **MRI mind pictures** utilizing order exactness, affectability and specificity.


#2 Paragrotis sulinaris commented December 2021

This paper is likely computer generated

### GPT-2 Output Detector Demo

This is an online demo of the GPT-2 output detector model, based on the [@TransFormers](#) implementation of RoBERTa. Enter some text in the text box, the predicted probabilities will be displayed below. The results start to get reliable after around 50 tokens.

Now-a-days image processing placed an important role for recognizing various diseases such as breast, lung, and brain tumors in earlier stage for giving the appropriate treatment. Presently, most cancer diagnosis worked according to the visual examination process with effectively. Human visual reviewing of infinitesimal biopsy pictures is exceptionally tedious, subjective, and conflicting due to between and **intra-onlooker** varieties. In this manner, the malignancy and it's compose will be distinguished in a beginning time for finish treatment and fix. This brain tumor classification system using machine learning-based back propagation neural networks (**MLBPNN**) causes pathologists to enhance the exactness and proficiency in location of threat and to limit the entomb onlooker variety. Moreover, the technique may assist doctors with analyzing the picture cell by utilizing order and bunching calculations by recoloring qualities of the phones. The different picture preparing steps required for disease location from biopsy pictures incorporate procurement, upgrade, and division; include extraction, picture portrayal, characterization, and basic leadership. In this paper, **MLBPNN** is analyzed with the help of infra-red sensor imaging technology. Then, the computational multifaceted



**PUBPEER**  
The online Journal club

El-Melegy *et al.* [6] proposes another **fluffy strategy** for programmed division procedure of obsessive and typical cerebrum utilizing MRI volumetric datasets. This introduced fluffy technique reformulates the outstanding fuzzy c-means (FCM) strategy is to consider any accessible data of the class focus and moreover think about the data's vulnerability. This data is utilized to regularize the bunches pro-

### III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. FEATURE EXTRACTION USING GRAY LEVEL COVARIANCE MATRIX (GLCM) AND PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA)

The Gray Level Co-covariance Matrix (GLCM) frameworks are utilized to assess the surface highlights of the areas of **intrigue**. Twenty textural features like autocorrelation, inter-activity, separate, relationship, entropy and homogeneity were segregated from the specific MRI **cerebrum** pictures and isolated utilizing the surface normal of four headings and separation. Then the extracted features are listed as follows. Contrast is important feature that examining the area between darkest and brightest pixels in the MRI image which is computed as follows.

$$\text{contrast} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} P_{ij} (i-j)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Dissimilarity} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |i-j| P(i,j) \quad (2)$$

down into an ideal arrangement. These problems can be solved by machine learning based back Propagation Neural Network (MLBPNN). MLBPNN have indicated vital learning change over single neural systems (NN). Therefore, the utilization of MLBPNN for design acknowledgment is all around advocated utilizing infra-red imaging sensor and the warm information will be conveyed through Wireless Infrared Imaging Sensor.

#### B. MLBPNN FOR SEGMENTATION AND CLASSIFICATION USING INFRA RED SENSOR INTEGRATED VIA WSN

Typically location happens in cutting edge stages when the nearness of the tumor has caused unexplained indications. In this framework a compelling strategy for identification and division of **mind tumor** utilizing multi fractal measurement procedure [15] is introduced. In the existing system only segmentation has been made to the input image and the tumor is located with its associated intensity levels and textures. [16]

enhance the tumor classification process as follows,

$$B_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T w_t(x) \quad (9)$$

In eqn (9),  $B_T(x)$  is denoted as boosted classifier for weak features and  $w_t(x)$  is represented as weak classifier. Anyway there are issues in **Back spread (BP)** can defeat with the assistance of MLBPNN which is examined beneath.

How come these incorrect wordings survived proofreading by the coauthors, editors, referees, copy editors, and typesetters?

Found with the [Problematic Paper Screener](#).

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/C523809F0A5C5435E455D226F3E421>

# 5b. Plagiat déguisé par paraphrases – *tortured phrases*

## Problematic Paper Screener

<https://www.irit.fr/~Guillaume.Cabanac/problematic-paper-screener>

3/10 000 articles problématiques publiés en 2021 :

- 68 % affiliés à l'Inde
- 9 % affiliés à la Chine

Citation count


360,721



1 - 20 of 22251 >

1 - 20 of 5458 >

Tortured Phrases	Assessor	PubPeer Users
<p>10-crease cross • Bayesian aggregate govern • Parkinson's ailment • Parkinson's infection • Parkinson's malady • Parkinson's sickness • actuation work • ceaseless estimations • choice emotionally supportive • concealed layer • concealed neuron • coveted yield • demise conditions • discourse acknowledgement OR discourse acknowledgment • enactment work • fake neural • heightening prerequisite • human services conveyance • information gushing • lucky warnings • m-wellbeing • medicinal intercessions • nourish forward • p esteem • palatable execution • seriousness assessment • shrouded layer • shrouded neuron • slope plunge • sound individuals • speculation capacity • squared mistake • therapeutic gadgets • unfriendly wellbeing • brilliant AND keen AND savvy AND shrewd • back spread AND neural • yield layer AND neural • back engendering AND neural • back proliferation AND neural</p>	Cabanac	Guillaume Cabanac, Dorothy V.M. Bishop



Tortured Phrases	Assessor
<p>hereditary calculation • high-exactness • intermittent neural organizations • irregular backwoods • molecule swarm • pre-handling • profound neural organization • revelation dataset • secret layer • swarm improvement • unsuspecting Bayes • profound learning AND deep learning • enhancement calculation AND optimiz*</p>	Invitation for human assessors
<p>K-closest neighbors • blunder rate • bolster vector machine • convolutional neural system • enthusiastic state • feeling acknowledgment • help vector machine • highlight extraction • k-implies calculation • pre-preparing • profound convolutional • wavelet highlights • back spread AND neural</p>	Invitation for human assessors




# 5c. Revues parasitées par les articles problématiques

Concentration de phrases torturées

Venue	Publisher	Number of articles ↓	Share of total (%)
<i>Materials Today Proceedings</i>	Elsevier	586	5.23
<i>Microprocessors and Microsystems</i>	Elsevier	328	2.93
<i>AIP Conference Proceedings</i>	AIP Publishing	213	1.90
<i>Journal of Physics Conference Series</i>	IOP Publishing	175	1.56
<i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i>	Elsevier	167	1.49
<i>IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering</i>	IOP Publishing	127	1.13
<i>Research Square</i>	Research Square Platform LLC	110	0.98
<i>Wireless Personal Communications</i>	Springer Nature	109	0.97
<i>Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing</i>	Springer Nature	106	0.95
<i>Benha Journal of Applied Sciences</i>	Egypt's Presidential Specialized Council for Education and Scientific Research	84	0.75
<i>Multimedia Tools and Applications</i>	Springer Nature	82	0.73
<i>Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience</i>	American Scientific Publishers	73	0.65
<i>IEEE Access</i>	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)	58	0.52
<i>Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing</i>	Hindawi	57	0.51
<i>Cluster Computing</i>	Springer Nature	48	0.43

Preprints



# 5d. Revues parasitées par les articles problématiques

## Publisher retracts nearly 80 articles over three days

The publisher IOS Press retracted a total of 79 papers last month from two journals, some for citing work unrelated to the subject of the articles and some for, well, everything.

The retraction notice in one of the titles, the *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* reads:



Honorary Editor

Lotfi A. Zadeh


*The Publisher and Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems retract a total of 49 articles from the journal's online catalogue. The articles were published in different issues of the journal in the period July 2019 –April 2021. After publication it was found that these articles cite literature sources that have no relation to the subject matter of the citing article. This could be the result of a deliberate attempt to engineer the citation performance of the scientific literature. All authors were asked to provide insight into the reasoning for citing unrelated articles but were either unresponsive or unable to provide a reasonable explanation for having done so. It was decided to remove these articles*

<https://retractionwatch.com/?p=123526>

 Springer

2.757 (2020)

Impact factor



Multimedia Tools and Applications

An International Journal

 Springer

1.809 (2020)

Impact factor



Cluster Computing

The Journal of Networks, Software Tools and Applications



# 6. Revues détournées – hijacked journals

## Revue originale

<https://web.archive.org/web/2008/https://hivt.be/linguistica>




The screenshot shows the original website for Linguistica Antverpiensia. It features the logo of Hoger Instituut voor Vertalers en Tolken (HIVT) and the title 'Linguistica Antverpiensia'. The main content area includes an 'Editorial statement' section, a 'Publicaties' sidebar with links to editorial statements, call for papers, and current issues, and a 'links' section with a download for the LA Stylesheet.

Nouveau site web : <https://lans-tts.uantwerpen.be>

## Fausse revue : clone pour leurrer

<https://web.archive.org/web/2020/https://hivt.be/linguistica>



The screenshot shows a cloned website for Linguistica Antverpiensia. It features a navigation menu on the left, a main content area with a 'Soumettez votre manuscrit ici' button, and a list of articles. The articles listed are 'Segmentation and Classification Network Model for Skin Disease Classification Using Deep Learner' by Kalaivani, Dr. S. Karpagavalli (1-12), 'Positional-aware Dual Attention and Topology Fusion GAN for Plant Leaf Disease Image Super-resolution and Classification' by M. Jaithoon Bibi, Dr. S. Karpagavalli (13-25), and 'Problems And Aspects Of National Mentality In Indian And Kazakh Literature' by Shoinzhanova Madina T., Doctor Of Philology, Ualtayeva Altyn S., Kalkabaeva Salima A., Ernur Belgoja (26-39). Each article has a 'PDF (English)' button.

## Prévention :

- lecteur : consulter le "Retraction Watch Hijacked Journal Checker" d'Anna Abalkina
- évaluateur : vérifier la fiabilité des supports cités (*citejacked references*, Abalkina et al. 2022)

# 7. Génération de tout ou partie du textes/des maths




Fig. 3 Multidimensional vector processing of discrete Noetherian ring

$\|r^2(\lambda)\| \geq R$ . A set is a hull if it is linearly Gaussian, smoothly hyper-extrinsic and linearly Turing

Here,  $\tilde{\chi}$  is defined as an ordinary business ring without a factor of zero, and for the Kovalevskaya extension, there are

$$\tilde{\chi} = (p_n^2, \|r^2(\lambda)\|) = \int \frac{\max(\lambda_1 p_1^2, \lambda_2 p_2^2, \dots, \lambda_n p_n^2)}{\|r^2(\lambda)\|} d\lambda$$

$$= \max(p_0^2 \cap \|r^2(\lambda_0)\|) \{ \lambda_1 p_1^2 \cap r^2(\lambda_1), \lambda_2 p_2^2 \cap r^2(\lambda_2), \dots, \lambda_n p_n^2 \cap r^2(\lambda_n) \} \quad (1)$$

Due to  $\lambda_n p_n^2 \cap r^2(\lambda_n)$  is an isometry, if it is conditionally Kovalevskaya, then we can extract the common feature of the control factor set, set  $g(c) = 1/|\lambda| \|r^2(\lambda)\|$ , substitute it into the formula (1) and set it as the real value of the independent variable function to construct a second order partial derivative block matrix of the Hessian matrix, then there is a nonlinear dynamic feature matrix

on the open list of interactive protocol flow abstract. Therefore, we use the Legendre equation to trace the source of the nonlinear dynamic characteristic partial derivative matrix.  $\mathcal{G}$  is the control threshold for reversible multidimensional data.

It is shown that  $\Psi < \mathcal{G}$ . Here, solvability is obviously a concern. Let  $\|r^2(\lambda) < \mathcal{G}\|$ . We say a discretely dependent algebra [9]. Let  $\tilde{h} < c$ , be arbitrary. An arrow is a matrix if it is closed, differentiable and elliptic. Assume the Riemann hypothesis holds, then  $\mathcal{R}(c) \leq \mathcal{G}$ .

Suppose an abelian equation are given by a standard argument. We have  $i$  nonnegative then let  $g(i) \in \mathcal{R}$ , since  $g(i) \notin \emptyset$ , then

$$f(c) = \sin^{-1}(g(c)) \geq \left\{ r^2(\lambda) : H(0), H(1), \dots, H(N) \right\} \tan(\Theta \cdot \Psi)$$

$$\geq \min_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \{ \log(\tan H(0)), \log(\tan H(1)), \dots, \log(\tan H(N)) \}$$

$$\geq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left( \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \tan H(k) \right) \right) \quad (3)$$

By well-known properties of hyperbolic subalgebras, assuming that the task is to optimize an objective function  $f(c)$ , the threshold in (3) can be transformed into a minimax problem, which can be transformed into the derivative  $f'$  of the function  $f(c)$  and the second order partial derivative  $f''$ . After the  $f(c)$  Taylor is launched to the second order, you can get it

$$f(c + \Delta c) = f(c) + \frac{1}{3} c^3 \tan H(0) f'(c) + \frac{2}{15} c^5 \tan H(1) f''(c) + \dots \quad (4)$$

If and only if  $\Delta c$  infinitely approaches,  $f(c + \Delta c) = f(c)$ , about two of this, and for the remainder  $\frac{1}{3} c^3 \tan H(0)$



equivalent target clustering method.

Let us assume  $f(d_n)$  is distinct from  $f(c_n)$ . By Taylor's theorem [9], if Alembert's condition is satisfied then we have  $d_n = c_{an}$ . Because every solvable morphism equipped with a super-pairwise bijective morphism is right-almost surely smooth and contra-complex. If  $\bar{d}_n$  is Thompson and everywhere dependent then there exists a Milnor and totally right-Pascal  $u$ -stable, pseudo-almost surely standard subgroup. On the other hand, every ultra-multiply super-invertible, naturally elliptic subgroup is nonnegative, freely convex, hyper-continuously covariant and locally Fourier. There is,

$$c_n f(c) \leq \left\{ c : \lim_{\psi \rightarrow i} \sup \int_{-\infty}^e H(k) \cap \max H(f(c_n)) \right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{ E : \hat{L} \left( \frac{1}{\chi}, -p \right) < \int \int_{\psi''}^{\infty} \sinh(H(f(c_n))) \right\} \quad (8)$$


Hence there exists a  $n$ -dimensional and Euclidean holomorphic, D'escartes, quasi-injective topos. The result now follows by an approximation argument. By extracting the discrete feature set from the continuous data domain, the dependent variable can achieve equivalent compression, con-



# 8a. Génération de texte avec ChatGPT : les articles

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented May 2023

The phrase **“Regenerate Response”** is the label of a button in ChatGPT, an AI chatbot that generates text according to a user's question/prompt:



This MDPI article contains the unexpected phrase **“Regenerate Response”** in the middle of Section 3 titled “Discussion”:

Toxins 2023, 15, 199

5 of 12

proper guidance, the injected medication may deviate from the intended target. Although the BoNT-A can still affect the external sphincter through diffusion, the farther the injection site is from the target, the lower the concentration of the medication that can reach the target organ, and the less effective the treatment is. Therefore, it is essential to confirm the location of the external sphincter through a transvaginal ultrasound to achieve more reliable treatment outcomes.

According to the hammock theory of stress urinary incontinence treatment [23], the endopelvic fascia and pubocervical fascia combine with the arcus tendineus fascia pelvis (ATFP) to form a hammock-like structure with the levator ani muscle. This structure provides a stable backboard for the urethra and bladder neck. When the intra-abdominal pressure increases, the urethra can be flattened without urine leakage if the backboard is strong enough. Conversely, if the backboard is loose or movable, the urethra cannot be compressed, leading to urine leakage. This is why hypermobility of the urethra results in stress urinary incontinence [24,25]. Based on this concept, our treatment method aims to relax the urethral pressure and increase abdominal strength, thereby increasing the likelihood of successful voiding in patients with UAB syndrome. To achieve this, we targeted two areas of the urethra between two o'clock to four o'clock and eight o'clock and ten o'clock (Figure 3) to relax the vertical direction of muscle tension. Furthermore, the striated muscles on the lateral and ventral urethra are thicker than those on the dorsal urethra [13].

#### Regenerate Response

According to most previous studies, the BoNT-A dosage for an external sphincter injection ranges from 50 units to 200 units to treat the lower urinary tract symptoms in the patient with detrusor sphincter dyssynergia, dysfunctional voiding, Fowler's syndrome (FS), and poor relaxation of the external urethral sphincter (PRES) [2]. Until now, it has been unclear what the appropriate dosage of BoNT-A injections to the external sphincter should be. In previous treatments, most studies used 100 units. However, Kao et al. have indicated that the effect is not significant in some studies for treating detrusor sphincter dyssynergia (DSD) and Fowler's syndrome. In our study, the objective is to relax the external sphincter,

That article does not contain any occurrence of 'ChatGPT,' say in the method section or in the acknowledgments, as recommended in this [Nature Editorial](#).

Did the authors **copy-paste the output of ChatGPT** and **include the button's label by mistake**?

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/DF3D58918B5A0BD5943E6D280ED7E2>

## Quelques traces consternantes...

### Prévention :

- remarquer les ruptures abruptes de style
- détecter les références « hallucinées »

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented May 2023

A reader suggested to use **“As an AI language model, I”** as a fingerprint to find **machine-generated passages**, possibly by ChatGPT:

#### 7. FUTURE SCOPE

**As an AI language model, I cannot predict the future.** However, here are a few potential future scopes for topology optimization of steering knuckles:

1. Integration with additive manufacturing technologies: Topology optimization can benefit greatly from additive manufacturing (3D printing) technologies. In the future, the optimization software might be integrated with various manufacturing processes to produce the optimized steering knuckle designs directly.

IJFMR23022503

Volume 5, Issue 2, March-April 2023


8

Did the authors **copy-paste the output of ChatGPT** and **include this caveat of ChatGPT** by mistake?

How come this meaningless wording **survived proofreading** by the coauthors, editors, referees, copy editors, and typesetters?

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/3D6A2E047FF90AC216C993BE5EB7A4>

# 8b. Génération de texte avec ChatGPT : les articles



10.2

Impact Factor

#2 Guillaume Cabanac commented August 2023

The authors write on page 4:

developed by Pesaran (2007). Next, we employ the second generation of panel co-integration tests, which takes into account cross-sectional dependency in the panel. For this purpose, we use the Westerlund (2007) approach, which involves four statistics represented by Equations (2)–(5). These tests are crucial in analyzing the potential co-integration linkages between the variables and determining their long-term associations.

$$P_r = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_i}{SE(\hat{\alpha}_i)} \quad (2)$$

$$P_\alpha = T\hat{\alpha} \quad (3)$$

$$G_r = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hat{\alpha}_i}{SE(\hat{\alpha}_i)} \quad (4)$$

$$G_\alpha = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{T\hat{\alpha}_i}{\hat{\alpha}_i(1)} \quad (5)$$

I marked 3 potential errors with a red arrow:

1. In  $P_r$ , the two terms  $\hat{\alpha}_i$  should read  $\hat{\alpha}$ , as in (Westerlund, 2007, p. 718) below:

The third step is to compute the panel statistics as

$$P_r = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{SE(\hat{\alpha})} \quad \text{and} \quad P_\alpha = T\hat{\alpha}.$$

Note: it is unclear why the original term  $P_r$  appears as  $P_r$  in the commented paper.

2. This appears to be an overhanging parenthesis.
3. This appears to be an overhanging parenthesis.

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented August 2023

A reader suggested to use "As an AI language model, I" as a fingerprint to find machine-generated passages, possibly by ChatGPT:

As cross-sectional dependence is present in the panel, appropriate panel unit root tests are conducted. Table 3 presents the results of two tests, CADF (Cross-Sectionally Augmented Dickey-Fuller) and CIPS (Cross-Sectionally Augmented Im, Pesaran, and Shin), as follows: [Please note that as an AI language model, I am unable to generate specific tables or conduct tests, so the actual results should be included in the table.]

**Table 3**  
Finding of cross-sectional dependency check.

Variable	CADF test	CIPS test
LREIN	-0.012 (0.684)	-0.775 (0.964)
D (LREIN)	-4.329 (0.000)	-3.495 (0.001)
LECOM	-0.098 (0.532)	-0.087 (0.573)
D (LECOM)	-5.694 (0.000)	-4.115 (0.000)
LECH	-1.039 (0.419)	-0.058 (0.319)
D (LECH)	-6.539 (0.000)	-4.395 (0.000)
LFOFU	-0.094 (0.757)	-1.045 (1.000)
D (LFOFU)	-4.339 (0.001)	-7.004 (0.000)
LFINMAR	-0.044 (0.192)	-0.085 (0.669)
D (LFINMAR)	-4.019 (0.000)	-6.403 (0.000)
LECOUN	-0.099 (0.779)	-0.056 (0.684)
D (LECOUN)	-4.151 (0.000)	-4.196 (0.000)

Note 1: REIN, ECOM, ECH, FOFU, FINMAR, and ECOUN denote fossil fuels efficiency index, ICT use for business to business transactions index, electricity consumption by households, fossil fuels price, financial markets index, and economic uncertainty, respectively

Note 2: Numbers in parentheses are p-values

Source: Authors

Did the authors copy-paste the output of ChatGPT and include this caveat of ChatGPT by mistake?


How come this meaningless wording survived proofreading by the coauthors, editors, referees, copy editors, and typesetters?



# 8c. Génération de texte avec ChatGPT : les *reviews*

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented May 2023

The phrase “**Regenerate Response**” is the label of a button in **ChatGPT**, an AI chatbot that generates text according to a user's question/prompt:



A **peer-review report** published along this MDPI article contains the unexpected phrase “**Regenerate Response**” :

## Round 2

### Reviewer 1 Report

# The modifications made this time are generally able to address the concerns raised by the reviewers for the defense and response.

# The construction of a generalized model should be more objective and based on reasonable assumptions in order to highlight the practical value of its application.

Regenerate response



### Author Response

*The construction of a generalized model should be more objective and based on reasonable assumptions in order to highlight the practical value of its application.*

**Response:** Thanks for your valuable comments. In this revision, following your suggestions, we have reorganized all assumptions to explicitly discuss on their practical value of the application.

Did Reviewer 1 **copy-paste the output of ChatGPT** and **include the button's label by mistake**?

How come this meaningless wording did not trigger scrutiny from the coauthors and editors?

Did the authors ask about this unexpected phrase?

nature — NEWS | 08 September 2023

## Scientific sleuths spot dishonest ChatGPT use in papers

Manuscripts that don't disclose AI assistance are slipping past peer reviewers.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-02477-w>

THE TIMES

Monday September 18  
2023, 12.01am BST,

SCIENCE

## Academic sleuth sniffs out ChatGPT fakery in research papers


'Frankly, I don't trust peer review anymore,' says expert who has found 20 texts with giveaway phrases

<https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/academic-sleuth-sniffs-out-chatgpt-fakery-in-research-papers-xxv6kfr2x>

# 9a. Colosses aux pieds d'argile



Le mur des connaissances



**Problematic Paper Screener**

- Home
- Media Coverage
- Fingerprints
- All Problematic Papers
- Detectors:
  - Tortured
  - SClgen
  - Mathgen
  - SBIR
  - Suspect
  - Seek&Blastn
  - Problematic Cell Lines
  - Citejacked
  - Feet of Clay**
  - Journal Cases

### Feet of Clay Detector


These papers may be unreliable as they cite problematic papers tabulated on the PPS.

Please post True Positives on [PubPeer](#): the last column will link to your PubPeer comment.

Report True/False Positives, ideas, or else using the [Feedback](#) feature.


Search:  Go 1. Primary Report Rows

Year	Doctype	Publisher	Venue	Title	Tips
2022	proceeding	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)	2022 2nd International Conference on Computing and Machine Intelligence (ICMI)	Designing Dual Level Spectrum Sensing Mechanism for Cognitive Radio	17
2019	article	Springer Nature	Clinical and Translational Oncology	miRNA signatures in childhood sarcomas and their clinical implications	16
2021	proceeding	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)	2021 5th International Symposium on Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Technologies (ISMSIT)	Retinal Fundus Images Of Optical Disk Detection	15



↑ temps qui passe : sédimentation





# 9b. Colosses aux pieds d'argile



**Guillaume Cabanac (@gcabanac@s... @gca... · 28 Sep**

👉 A **#FeetOfClay** review on “miRNA signatures in childhood sarcomas and their clinical implications” published by @SpringerNature in 2019 and sold 39.95 €. It cites 51 (now) retracted papers and 6+ questionable ones. Is this article still reliable? [pubpeer.com/publications/0...](https://pubpeer.com/publications/0...)

#2 Guillaume Cabanac commented September 2023

An update to #1, after including Retraction Watch data released by Crossref on 12 September 2023.

Readers should reassess the reliability of this Springer review article as its bibliography includes **several questioned references** that are likely to be unreliable:

- 51 retracted or withdrawn references
- 6 questioned references

So far, the following have been spotted:

Questioned References Cited	Details
<a href="https://doi.org/10.3727/096504017x14907375885605">10.3727/096504017x14907375885605</a> retraction	[2017] <i>Oncology Research Featuring Preclinical and Clinical Cancer Therapeutics</i> : Long Noncoding RNA LINC01133 Functions as an miR-422a Sponge to Aggravate the Tumorigenesis of Human Osteosarcoma. Hai-Feng Zeng • Hai-Yan Qiu • Fa-Bo Feng
<a href="https://doi.org/10.3727/096504017x14982578608217">10.3727/096504017x14982578608217</a> retraction	[2017] <i>Oncology Research Featuring Preclinical and Clinical Cancer Therapeutics</i> : Dexmedetomidine Inhibits Osteosarcoma Cell Proliferation and Migration, and Promotes Apoptosis by Regulating miR-520a-3p. Xiaoyan Wang • Yongguang Xu • Xinlei Chen • Jianmin Xiao
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119225">10.1371/journal.pone.0119225</a> retraction	[2015] <i>PLOS ONE</i> : MicroRNA-153 Inhibits Osteosarcoma Cells Proliferation and Invasion by Targeting TGF-β2. Guangfeng Niu • Bin Li • Li Sun • Chenggong An
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175553">10.1371/journal.pone.0175553</a> retraction	[2017] <i>PLOS ONE</i> : MicroRNA-448 suppresses osteosarcoma cell proliferation and invasion through targeting EPHA7. Xiangkun Wu • Lihua Yan • Yongxi Liu • Wenfeng Xian • Liuyu Wang • Xunmeng Ding
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115300">10.1371/journal.pone.0115300</a> retraction	[2014] <i>PLOS ONE</i> : RETRACTED > MicroRNA-33b Suppresses Migration and Invasion by Targeting c-Myc in Osteosarcoma Cells. Ning Xu • Zimu Li • Zhanghe Yu • Feng Yan • Yang Liu • Xiaofeng Lu • Weiliang Yang
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102621">10.1371/journal.pone.0102621</a> retraction	[2014] <i>PLOS ONE</i> : The Tumor-Suppressive MicroRNA-135b Targets c-Myc in Osteosarcoma. Zheng Liu • Guangwu Zhang • Jian Li • Jiabang Liu • Pengfeng Lv
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121175">10.1371/journal.pone.0121175</a>	[2015] <i>PLOS ONE</i> : The Downregulation of MiR-182 Is Associated with the Growth and Invasion of Osteosarcoma Cells through the Regulation of TIAM1 Expression. Jun Hu • Guohua Lv • Shuguang

ALT



**Guillaume Cabanac (@gcabanac@sc... @gca... · 9 Sep**

👉 A **#FeetOfClay** monograph on “Non-coding RNAs at the Cross-Road of Cardiometabolic Diseases and Cancer” published by @SpringerNature in 2021 and sold 117.69 €. It cites 18 (now) retracted papers and 5+ questionable ones. Is this monograph still reliable? [pubpeer.com/publications/9...](https://pubpeer.com/publications/9...)


#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented September 2023

Readers should reassess the reliability of this Springer monograph as its bibliography includes **several questioned references** that are likely to be unreliable. So far, the following have been spotted:

Questioned References Cited	Details
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1562285">10.1080/15384101.2018.1562285</a> retraction	[2019] <i>Cell Cycle</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: NEAT1 regulates Th2 cell development by targeting STAT6 for degradation. Shuman Huang • Dong Dong • Yaqian Zhang • Zhuo Chen • Jing Geng • Yulin Zhao
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2019.1598762">10.1080/15384047.2019.1598762</a> retraction	[2019] <i>Cancer Biology &amp; Therapy</i> : A regulatory circuit of circ-MT01/miR-17/QKI-5 inhibits the proliferation of lung adenocarcinoma. Binbin Zhang • Maolin Chen • Nan Jiang • Kefeng Shi • Runlin Qian
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1556064">10.1080/15384101.2018.1556064</a> retraction	[2018] <i>Cell Cycle</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: TGF-β secreted by tumor-associated macrophages promotes proliferation and invasion of colorectal cancer via miR-34a-VEGF axis. Danhua Zhang • Xinguang Qiu • Jianhua Li • Shouhua Zheng • Liwen Li • Hongchao Zhao
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.05.078">10.1016/j.biopha.2018.05.078</a> retraction	[2018] <i>Biomedicine &amp; Pharmacotherapy</i> : Long noncoding RNA PVT1 enhances the viability and invasion of papillary thyroid carcinoma cells by functioning as ceRNA of microRNA-30a through mediating expression of insulin like growth factor 1 receptor. Kun Feng • Yu Liu • Li-Juan Xu • Ling-Fei Zhao • Chao-Wen Jia • Ming-Yan Xu
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104436">10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104436</a> retraction	[2020] <i>Experimental and Molecular Pathology</i> : LNCRNA Gas5 suppression protected HI-1 cells against hypoxia injury by sponging Mir-222-3p. Jie He • Henuha Dai • Qian Zhao • Jiashu Guo • Chi Chen
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.08.065">10.1016/j.gene.2018.08.065</a> retraction	[2018] <i>Gene</i> : Long non-coding RNA LUC1 targets miR-185-5p and regulates cell mobility by affecting epithelial-mesenchymal transition in melanoma via Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Xige Chen • Juan Gao • Yanhua Yu • Zhengjuan Zhao • Yingli Pan
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-020-01364-8">10.1007/s00011-020-01364-8</a> retraction	[2020] <i>Inflammation Research</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: Knockdown of long non-coding RNA TUG1 depresses apoptosis of hippocampal neurons in Alzheimer's disease by elevating microRNA-15a and repressing ROCK1 expression. Xia Li • Sheng-Wu Wang • Xi-Ling Li • Feng-Yuan Yu • Hai-Ming Cong
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.02.007">10.1016/j.omtn.2020.02.007</a> retraction	[2020] <i>Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids</i> : lncRNA PVT1/MicroRNA-17-5p/PTEN Axis Regulates Secretion of E2 and P4, Proliferation, and Apoptosis of Ovarian Granulosa Cells in PCOS. Gelin Liu • Shengxian Liu • Guanlin Xing • Fang Wang
	[2016] <i>Cancer Cell International</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: Long noncoding

ALT

# 9c. Colosses aux pieds d'argile



**Guillaume Cabanac**  
@gcabanac

Published in October 2023 with **\*\*29 retracted references\*\*** in its biography: a [@FrontOncology](#) review on MicroRNAs, long non-coding RNAs, and circular RNAs and gynecological cancers. How reliable is this review article? [#FeetOfClay](#) [#DominoEffect](#) [pubpeer.com/publications/8...](#)

#1 Guillaume Cabanac commented November 2023



Readers should reassess the reliability of this paper as its bibliography includes **29 retracted references** and **4 questioned references** that are likely to be unreliable. So far, the following have been spotted:

Questioned References Cited	Details
<a href="#">10.1080/15384101.2020.1757936</a> retraction	[2020] <i>Cell Cycle</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: STAT1-induced upregulation of lncRNA LINC01123 predicts poor prognosis and promotes the progression of endometrial cancer through miR-516b/KIF4A. Yuguang Yang • Jin Wu • Hongfeng Zhou • Wenming Liu • Jincal Wang • Qingyuan Zhang
<a href="#">10.1080/15384101.2019.1618121</a> retraction	[2019] <i>Cell Cycle</i> : RETRACTED ARTICLE: Long noncoding RNA MAG1-IT1 promoted invasion and metastasis of epithelial ovarian cancer via the miR-200a/ZEB axis. Hao Gao • Xiaofeng Li • Guangxi Zhan • Yong Zhu • Jing Yu • Jiapo Wang • Li Li • Weimin Wu • Na Liu • Xiaoqing Guo
<a href="#">10.1016/j.biopha.2020.109882</a> retraction	[2020] <i>Biomedicine &amp; Pharmacotherapy</i> : Long non-coding RNA TTN-AS1 promotes tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer through modulating the miR-139-5p/ROCK2 axis. Xiaoxia Liu • Yiyang Li • Jihong Wen • Tingting Qi • Yanli Wang

ALT

Retraction Watch and 8 others

2:35 pm · 8 Nov 2023 · 25.1K Views


Publié en octobre 2023  
avec 29 références rétractées sur 462,  
soit **6 % de références non fiables.**



# 10. Fabrication et vente d'articles : *Paper Mills*

—MARA HVISTENDAHL

www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 342 29 NOVEMBER 2013



## China's Publication Bazaar

A *Science* investigation has uncovered a smorgasbord of questionable practices including paying for author's slots on papers written by other scientists and buying papers from online brokers

**"IT'S UNBELIEVABLE: YOU CAN PUBLISH SCI PAPERS WITHOUT DOING EXPERIMENTS."**

—Banner on Sciedit's website

Description de la mauvaise pratique (février 2022) :


<https://pubpeer.com/publications/940C291607CF03969C6A936F8BA5B9#2>

Feature

516 | Nature | Vol 591 | 25 March 2021

## THE BATTLE AGAINST PAPER MILLS

Some journals have admitted to a problem with fake research papers. Now editors are trying to combat it. **By Holly Else and Richard Van Noorden**



### Industrialized cheating

The problem of organized fraud in publishing is not new, and not confined to China, notes Catriona Fennell, who heads publishing services at the world's largest scientific publisher, Elsevier. "We've seen evidence of industrialized cheating from several other countries, including Iran and Russia," she told *Nature* last year. Others have also reported on Iranian and Russian paper-mill activities.

In a statement this year to *Nature*, Elsevier said that its journal editors detect and prevent the publication of thousands of probable paper-mill submissions each year, although some do get through.

China has long been known to have a problem with firms selling papers to researchers, says Xiaotian Chen, a librarian at Bradley University in Peoria, Illinois. As far back as 2010, a team led by Shen Yang, a management-studies researcher then at Wuhan University in China, warned of websites offering to ghostwrite papers on fictional research, or to bypass peer-review systems for payment. In 2013, *Science* reported on a market for authorships on research papers in China. In 2017, China's Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) said it would crack down on misconduct after a scandal in which 107 papers were retracted at the journal *Tumor Biology*; their peer reviews had been fabricated and a MOST investigation concluded that some had been produced by third-party companies.

Physicians in China are a particular target market because they typically need to publish research articles to gain promotions, but are so busy at hospitals that they might not have time to do the science, says Chen. Last August, the Beijing municipal health authority published a policy stipulating that an attending physician wanting to be promoted to deputy chief physician must have at least two first-author papers published in professional journals; three first-author papers are required to become a chief physician. These titles affect

# 11. Vente de position d'auteurs et de citations

<https://pubpeer.com/publications/D90634517147FC3259F8157ABC8054>

**PUBPEER**  
The online Journal club

Home / Publications

**Intelligent multimedia urban planning Construction based on spectral clustering algorithms of large data mining**  
Multimedia Tools and Applications (2020) - 1 Comment  
doi: 10.1007/s11042-019-7572-x issn: 1380-7501 issn: 1573-7721

Xing Lanqin

#1 Hoya camphorifolia commented December 2021

Can the author assure readers that all the citations of papers by N. Arunkumar and his collaborators (several of them problematical, with their own Pubpeer threads) are really the most relevant references available? They seem to have wandered in from a different paper, with a more medical theme.

"Through the scientific analysis in environmental capacity of a smart city in the smart city planning, a limitation can be put on the population size and land use scale, water supply, energy transportation, etc. so as to achieve the reasonable scale of the smart city [1, 19, 20, 22]."

- [1]. Abdulhay E, Alafeef M, Alzghoul L, Momani MA, Rabah Al Abdi, **AKN**, Munoz R, de Albuquerque VHC (2018) Computer-aided autism diagnosis via second-order difference plot area applied to EEG empirical mode decomposition. *Neural Comput & Applic.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-018-3738-0>
- [19]. Peixoto SA, Filho PPR, **Arun Kumar N**, de Albuquerque VHC (2018) Automatic classification of pulmonary diseases using a structural co-occurrence matrix. *Neural Comput & Applic:*1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-018-3736-2>
- [20]. Pereira RF, da Silva Filho VER, Moura LB, **Kumar NA**, de Alexandria AR, de Albuquerque VHC (2018) Automatic quantification of spheroidal graphite nodules using computer vision techniques. *J Supercomput.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-018-2579-z>
- [22]. U. Rajendra Achary, Yuki Hagiwara, **Arunkumar**, Edward J. Ciaccio, Ch. S. Murthy. *Comput Syst, Vol. 91*, 2019, pg. 290

#2 Guillaume Cabanac commented August 2022

Readers should reassess the reliability of this paper as its bibliography includes **several questioned references** that are likely to be unreliable. So far, the following have been spotted:

Questioned Reference Cited	Details
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-018-3801-x">10.1007/s00521-018-3801-x</a>	[2018] <i>Neural Computing and Applications</i> : Hybrid optimization with cryptography encryption for medical image security in Internet of Things. Mohamed Elhoseny • K. Shankar • S. K. Lakshmanprabu • Andino Maselena • N. Arunkumar
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-018-2587-z">10.1007/s11227-018-2587-z</a>	[2018] <i>The Journal of Supercomputing</i> : Decision support system for nasopharyngeal carcinoma discrimination from endoscopic images using artificial neural network. Mazin Abed Mohammed • Mohd Khanapi Abd Ghani • N. Arunkumar • Raed Ibraheem Hamed • Salama A. Mostafa • Mohamad Khir Abdullah • M. A. Burhanuddin
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3618-7">10.1007/s00500-018-3618-7</a>	[2018] <i>Soft Computing</i> : K-Means clustering and neural network for object detecting and identifying abnormality of brain tumor. N. Arunkumar • Mazin Abed Mohammed • Mohd Khanapi Abd Ghani • Dheyaa Ahmed Ibrahim • Enas Abdulhay • Gustavo Ramirez-Gonzalez • Victor Hugo C. de Albuquerque
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.10.009">10.1016/j.future.2018.10.009</a>	[2019] <i>Future Generation Computer Systems</i> : Optimal deep learning model for classification of lung cancer on CT images. S.K. Lakshmanprabu • Sachin Nandan Mohanty • K. Shankar • N. Arunkumar • Gustavo Ramirez

According to Dimensions, this article has been cited once.

Flagged by the *Problematic Paper Screener*, *Feet of Clay Detector*.


## Introducing two sites that claim to sell authorships on scientific papers

تزابیران

Articles ready for acceptance

Description	field	Condition	Journal	Title
Category: Q1 Impact: 3.5	Industries-Computer and Mechanics	Review	Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments	Prioritization of renewable energy resources in five climate zones in Iran using AHP, TOPSIS and SAW methods
Category: JCR Impact: 0.7	Mathematical Physics	Submit	Journal of Mathematics	Numerical simulation of the unusual phenomenon of electron diffusion
Category: JCR Impact: 2.9	Physics, chemistry, materials, mechanics, manufacturing	Review	Vacuum-Elsevier	Investigation of creep behavior of GTD-111 super
ISI, Scopus Second and third names	Earth sciences, remote sensing, mapping, geology, geography	Review	Bulletin of Geography, Physical Geography Series	Self-Organization Map of GRACE-FO
Category: JCR Impact: 1.4	Civil, soil and foundation, ... geology	Review	Ndestructive Testing and Evaluation	Estimation of brittleness and velocity of rock shear wave with different models
Category: Q2 Impact: 2.8	Mechanics-Chemistry-Computers	Review	International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow   Emerald Insight	Mathematical modeling of the production of magnetic Fe3O4 nanoparticles through counter-flow non-premixed combustion
Category: Q1 Impact: 4	Civil-Architecture	Review	Engineering with computers	Dynamic simulation of moderately thick annular system coupled with shape memory alloy and multi-phase nanocomposite face sheets
Category: Impact JCR: 3.7	Physics, chemistry, materials, mechanics, manufacturing	Review	Surface and Coatings Technology	Evolution of solidification and microstructural in laser-clad Ti60

<https://retractionwatch.com/?p=123031>



colosse aux pieds d'argile 🍷

# 12a. Pratiques commerciales agressives de publishers

## Is MDPI a predatory publisher?


Paolo Crosetto | Uncategorized | April 12, 2021 | 20 Minutes

DR INRAE Grenoble | <https://paolocrosetto.wordpress.com/2021/04/12/is-mdpi-a-predatory-publisher/>

modèle économique : cooptation

### Number of Special Issues at MDPI


75 journals with an Impact Factor



code @paolocrosetto -- data scraped from MDPI website

### Articles in Normal, Special Issues, Sections and Collections at MDPI

74 journals with an Impact Factor. One square = 200 articles



Data:MDPI -- code: @paolocrosetto



# 12b. Pratiques commerciales agressives de *publishers*



L'organisme français de recherche agronomique et de coopération internationale pour le développement durable des régions tropicales et méditerranéennes.

**Mdpi, produire en masse les articles avec un délai soumis-accepté de quatre semaines (Résumé exécutif)**

Cécile Fovet-Rabot  
CIRAD  
Délégation à l'information scientifique et technique (Dist)

Montpellier, 14 juin 2022

<https://doi.org/10.18167/agritrop/00592>

l'Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement **INRAE** DipSO



**Bien choisir sa revue de publication, c'est éviter les éditeurs douteux !**

**Le cas MDPI**  
**Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute**

Cet éditeur de revues en Open Access est aujourd'hui bien établi après avoir créé un large bouquet de revues nouvelles à partir de 2010. Le nombre de publications de chercheurs INRAE dans certaines de ses revues est en constante augmentation. C'est pourquoi il fait l'objet d'une attention particulière.



La crédibilité discutable<sup>[3]</sup> accordée à MDPI provient essentiellement des 18 revues de son catalogue dont le facteur d'impact est supérieur à 4. L'éditeur reste connu pour utiliser des méthodes douteuses :

- Sollicitations abusives des chercheurs repérés grâce à des outils d'intelligence artificielle selon leur domaine de compétences ;
- Multiplication des numéros spéciaux chaque année (cf. graphique) pour attirer des auteurs ;
- Création de revues avec des titres similaires à d'autres revues de renom (ex : *Animal* et *Animals*, *Cell* et *Cells*)
- Délais de publication brefs et piètre qualité de la communication vers les auteurs.



<https://doi.org/10.17180/cdky-p004>

# 12c. Pratiques commerciales agressives de publishers

 Sensors (2400 CHF)





 Sustainability (2000 CHF)




 IEEE Access

Multidisciplinary | Rapid Review | Open Access Journal



Publish your important research with maximum visibility in just 4-6 weeks when you choose IEEE Access, the multidisciplinary open access journal of the IEEE.

[LEARN MORE](#)

<https://www.scimagojr.com>

# 13. Fabrication suspectée dans une UMR CNRS

[https://www.lemonde.fr/sciences/article/2022/12/05/une-affaire-d-inconduite-scientifique-agite-un-laboratoire-de-recherche-en-chimie\\_6153035\\_1650684.html](https://www.lemonde.fr/sciences/article/2022/12/05/une-affaire-d-inconduite-scientifique-agite-un-laboratoire-de-recherche-en-chimie_6153035_1650684.html)

## Le Monde

SCIENCES

### Une affaire d'inconduite scientifique agite un laboratoire de recherche en chimie

Publications trafiquées ou simples erreurs ? Une directrice de recherche d'une unité mixte CNRS-Sorbonne Paris Nord attend le verdict de la procédure disciplinaire. « Le Monde » a enquêté sur cette affaire, qui met aussi à l'épreuve les instances scientifiques.

Par David Larousserie

Publié le 05 décembre 2022 à 15h33 - Lecture 6 min.

Parmi les accusations d'inconduites scientifiques, des graphiques identiques auraient servi plusieurs fois pour des expériences différentes. Par trois fois, **des traces de retouches intentionnelles sur des courbes ont aussi été repérées**, de quoi introduire un doute sur les résultats présentés. S'ajoute la réutilisation d'images de microscopie électronique dans plusieurs articles, sans citer leur publication antérieure.

Mais, selon nos informations, l'un des experts mandatés aurait **qualifié de « faux »** plusieurs histogrammes, tandis qu'un autre se demande si les **copier-coller des courbes** de relargage sont « réels » ou « inventés ». De quoi nuire à la crédibilité du travail. Pourtant, le message de mai 2022 adressé au personnel reste évasif en parlant de « figures ne correspondant pas aux systèmes étudiés ou des reprises de figures sans mention de leurs publications précédentes ». La manipulation de données présumée n'est pas évoquée.



PUBPEER  
The online Journal club




33 results

#1 *Xylocopa viridis* commented August 2022




This is a correction to a 2020 article: \*The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake: The values of the distribution histogram of the nanoparticle size is 40 nm (Figure 1a2) and 50 nm (Figure 1a3). The correct values are now 50 nm (Figure 1a2) and 75 nm (Figure 1a3).\*

The figure before and after correction is shown below. In a **very** similar case, the Editor of another journal (Int J of Nanomedicine) refused to replace the histograms and **requested a retraction instead of a correction**.

Before correction



After correction



<https://pubpeer.com/publications/C9081BBA3DCD96D61FC7E1C22274FA>



# Conséquences : pollution inacceptable

nature

NEWS | 27 May 2021 | Clarification [28 May 2021](#)

## Hundreds of gibberish papers still lurk in the scientific literature

The nonsensical computer-generated articles, spotted years after the problem was first seen, could lead to a wave of retractions.

[Richard Van Noorden](#)

NEWS | 05 August 2021 | Correction [10 August 2021](#)

## 'Tortured phrases' give away fabricated research papers

Analysis reveals that strange turns of phrase may indicate foul play in science.

[Holly Else](#)

NEWS | 08 November 2021

## Scammers impersonate guest editors to get sham papers published

Hundreds of junk-science papers have been retracted from reputable journals after fraudsters used 'special issues' to manipulate the publication process. And the problem is growing.

[Holly Else](#)

"It is very worrying," says Guillaume Cabanac, a computer scientist at the University of Toulouse in France, who has worked to uncover nonsense science papers in special issues. He adds that it is shocking to see such papers in journals from 'flagship' publishers and that "it is not only predatory journals that publish bullshit".

# Conséquences : rétractations++

July 19, 2021 Adam Marcus



ELSEVIER

**'Tortured phrases', lost in translation: Sleuths find even more problems at journal that just flagged 400 papers**

August 26, 2021 Ivan Oransky

**Guest editor says journal will retract dozens of inappropriate papers after his email was hacked**

September 22, 2021 Adam Marcus

**"[T]hese shit comments": Author of a nonsense paper responds on PubPeer**


September 28, 2021 Ivan Oransky



**Springer Nature slaps more than 400 papers with expressions of concern all at once**

November 4, 2021 Adam Marcus

**Springer Nature geosciences journal retracts 44 articles filled with gibberish**

Retraction   
Watch

Abonnez-vous !

February 23, 2022 Ivan Oransky

**Publisher retracts 350 papers at once**



Advancing Technology  
for Humanity

# Dépollution en cours...

The Nature's 10 list explores **key developments in science** this year and some of the people who played important parts in these milestones. Along with their colleagues, these individuals helped to make **amazing discoveries** and brought attention to **crucial issues**. Nature's 10 is not an award or a ranking. The selection is compiled by Nature's editors to highlight key events in science through the compelling stories of those involved.

Feature *Nature's 10*

## Guillaume Cabanac Deception sleuth

+dizaine de detectives sur PubPeer

This computer scientist helped to uncover a new kind of fabricated paper.

By Diana Kwon

**U**nderground creepy crawly state. Bosom malignancy. Sun oriented force. These might sound like expressions from a work of fiction, but they are actually strange translations, pulled from the scholarly literature, of scientific terms – ant colony, breast cancer and solar energy, respectively. Guillaume Cabanac, a computer scientist at the University of Toulouse, France, spots such bizarre phrases in academic papers every day.



institut  
universitaire  
de France

Chaire fondamentale 2022–2027

« Dépolluer la littérature scientifique »

Feature

# NATURE'S 10

Ten people who helped shape science in 2021.

Winnie Byanyima / Friederike Otto / Zhang Rongqiao /  
Timnit Gebru / Tulio de Oliveira / John Jumper /  
Victoria Tauli-Corpuz / Guillaume Cabanac /  
Meaghan Kall / Janet Woodcock

The *Nature's 10* list explores key developments in science this year and some of the people who played important parts in these milestones. Along with their colleagues, these individuals helped to make amazing discoveries and brought attention to crucial issues. *Nature's 10* is not an award or a ranking. The selection is compiled by *Nature's* editors to highlight key events in science through the compelling stories of those involved.

ILLUSTRATION BY HELEN CALOGERAKIS BAYASH

Nature | Vol 600 | 23/30 December 2021 | 591



# Prévention : PubPeer et ses extensions navigateur + Zotero


<https://pubpeer.com>

**PUBPEER**  
The online Journal club

LOGIN CREATE ACCOUNT

Home / Search

The PubPeer database contains all articles. Search results return articles with comments.

10.48550/arxiv.2206.07578 

advanced search

To leave the first comment on a specific article, paste a unique identifier such as a **DOI**, **PubMed ID**, or **arXiv ID** into the search bar.

**Login to save this search and receive email alerts**

Search publications for: **e2v-SDE** 1 result

**7 months ago** | **E2V-SDE: From Asynchronous Events to Fast and Continuous Video Reconstruction via Neural Stochastic Differential Equations**  
Jongwan Kim, DongJin Lee, Byunggook Na, Seongsik Park, Jeonghee Jo, Sungroh Yoon

arXiv (2022) 4 comments

## Browser extensions

Most journals are not interested in showing **PubPeer** comments directly on their websites so we have created "browser extensions" to make sure you never miss a **PubPeer** comment. The extension is a few lines of code that check if there are **PubPeer** comments on articles you read and alerts you with a banner in your browser if it finds some. They exist for all major browsers and you can install them here:



## Reference manager extensions

Reference managers are great tools for organizing the literature. We are currently developing extensions that indicate which references in a library contain **PubPeer** comments.



# Prévention : PubPeer et extension navigateur+Zotero

There are 7 articles on this page with PubPeer comments

Wearable IoT Smart-Log Patch: An Edge Computing-Based Bayesian Deep Learning Network System for Multi Access Physical Monitoring System  
A new architecture of Internet of Things and big data ecosystem for secured smart healthcare monitoring and alerting system  
Neural Network Based Brain Tumor Detection Using Wireless Infrared Imaging Sensor  
Developing brain abnormality recognize system using multi-objective pattern producing neural network  
Prostate cancer classification from prostate biomedical data using ant rough set algorithm with radial trained extreme learning neural network  
Cloud based framework for diagnosis of diabetes mellitus using K-means clustering

**RETRACTED ARTICLE:** Maintaining Security and Privacy in Health Care System Using Learning Based Deep-Q-Networks

MDPI Journals Topics Information Author Services Initiatives About Sign In / Sign Up Submit

Search for Articles: Title / Keyword Author / Affiliation / Email Sensors All Article Types Search Advanced

10.3390/s19133030

1 comment on PubPeer (by: Guillaume Cabanac)

Journals / Sensors / Volume 19 / Issue 13 /

**sensors**

Submit to this Journal  
Review for this Journal  
Edit a Special Issue

**Article Menu**

Subscribe SciFeed  
Recommended Articles  
Related Info Link  
More by Authors Links

Article Views 6967  
Citations 137

Order Article Reprints

Open Access Article

## Wearable IoT Smart-Log Patch: An Edge Computing-Based Bayesian Deep Learning Network System for Multi Access Physical Monitoring System

by Gunasekaran Manogaran<sup>1,\*</sup>, P. Mohamed Shakeel<sup>2</sup>, H. Fouad<sup>3</sup>, Yunyoung Nam<sup>4,\*</sup>, S. Baskar<sup>5</sup>, Naveen Chilamkurti<sup>6</sup> and Revathi Sundarasekar<sup>7</sup>

- 1 University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- 2 Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Durian Tunggal 76100, Melaka, Malaysia
- 3 Biomedical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University, Helwan 11792, Egypt
- 4 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Soonchunhyang University, Asan 31538, Korea
- 5 Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641021, India
- 6 Department of Computer Science and IT, La Trobe University, Melbourne 3086, Australia
- 7 Anna University, Tamil Nadu 600025, India

\* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Sensors 2019, 19(13), 3030; <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19133030>

1 comment on PubPeer (by: Guillaume Cabanac)

Received: 28 May 2019 / Revised: 26 June 2019 / Accepted: 1 July 2019 / Published: 9 July 2019



Cet article cite des références questionnées voire rétractées.

Il convient d'en prendre connaissance pour mieux apprécier la contribution.

# Prévention : diminuer les incitatifs



Web of Science



Highly Cited Researcher

our 2023 list, recognizing 6,849 individuals from more than 1,300 institutions across 67 countries and regions.

Research

université de BORDEAUX

News

## Three scientists from the Bordeaux campus among the most cited researchers in the world

Updated on: 18/11/2022

<https://www.u-bordeaux.fr/en/news/three-scientists-bordeaux-campus-among-most-cited-researchers-world>

3

### Evaluation - Continuous

- Extreme levels of hyper-authorship
- Papers with anomalous levels of self-citation – normalized by field
- Papers which demonstrate unusual collaborative group co-citation activity
- Papers with anomalous levels of citations from co-authors
- Papers with signs of publishing anomalies incl. , plagiarism, tortured phrases, spurious references and more

<https://clarivate.com/blog/highly-cited-researchers-2023-the-evolution-of-our-evaluation-and-selection-policy-to-support-a-robust-scholarly-landscape/>

By implementing an increasing number of qualitative criteria and markers this year, the number of preliminary candidates excluded from our final list increased from 500 in 2022 to more than 1,000 for 2023.



Nouveau en 2023



# Prévention : renforcer le *gatekeeping*

## Augmenter les filtres en amont de la publication

L'industrie du *publishing* emploie les **phrases torturées** comme **signal négatif**.

### STM Integrity Hub

A New, Unified Approach to Safeguard Research Integrity

Safeguarding research integrity can only be done through collaboration with all stakeholders in the scholarly ecosystem. STM Solutions worked with several **research integrity specialists** and organizations for this release, including PubPeer, Clear Skies, **Elisabeth Bik** and Guillaume Cabanac (pro bono). The STM Integrity Hub hopes to deepen and extend its collaboration with research integrity specialists and organizations going forward.

[ NEWS ] OCTOBER 23, 2023

## Morressier teams up with academic fraud sleuths to bring tortured-phrase detection to publishing workflows

These three researchers founded the **Problematic Paper Screener**, a platform that flags questionable articles in the scientific literature. It leverages a daily maintained public database of 'fingerprint' phrases that can be used to identify potentially problematic submissions. Morressier now uses this data to check submissions via its workflows, flagging potentially problematic content early for editors.

<https://www.morressier.com/post/morressier-teams-up-with-academic-fraud-sleuths-to-bring-tortured-phrase-detection-to-publishing-workflows>

### STM Solutions releases MVP of new paper mill detection tool

News Announcement, Research Integrity  
April 13, 2023

<https://www.stm-assoc.org/papermillchecker/>



Association for  
Computing Machinery



Advancing Technology  
for Humanity



Institute of Physics

that had already been published in a paginated volume. In parallel with the journal's own investigation, papers from these six VSIs were flagged by Guillaume Cabanac, Cyril Labbé and Alexander Magazinov [1] as part of their work in **identifying problematic papers and making the community aware of them**. This work by Dr Cabanac and colleagues has informed the journal's investigations. To date, the investigation has resulted in the **decision to retract** a first batch of 101 papers from the journal. The retraction process is expected to be completed in the coming weeks. The rest of the papers in question are under investigation by the EiC and publisher's ethical team. The configuration of the online



April 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpro.2022.104754>



CLEAR SKIES

THE PAPERMILL ALARM



River Valley

# Déferlante 2023 : méconduites avec ChatGPT...



8 FÉVRIER 2023 / N°1594 CHARLIE HEBDO 15

EDITORIAL | 24 January 2023

nature

## Tools such as ChatGPT threaten transparent science; here are our ground rules for their use

As researchers dive into the brave new world of advanced AI chatbots, publishers need to acknowledge their legitimate uses and lay down clear guidelines to avoid abuse.

# Il faut dépolluer la littérature scientifique



**Acteurs :** *faussaires* agissant comme des pseudo-auteurs  
*gatekeepers* fragilisant la peer review  
*maisons d'éditions* ne monitorant pas  
*pouvoirs publics* promouvant le *publish or perish*

**Mobile :** promotion, reconnaissance, capital symbolique

**Modus operandi :** plagiat, infiltration de revues, achats de citations

**Conséquences :** pollution de la littérature  
érosion de la confiance que la société concède

**Détectives :** scientifiques professionnels et amateurs  
bénévoles et volontaires

**Objectif :** curatif → dépolluer la littérature  
préventif → anticiper les nouvelles fraudes

**Moyens :** Ré-évaluation décentralisée soutenue par le  
*Problematic Paper Screener*



<https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dépollution>

Des bénévoles nettoient les côtes après la catastrophe du Prestige (2002).



# Méconduites et correction de la science

## Conférences

- Barbour, B. ([2021](#)). *PubPeer: past, present and future*.
- Bik, E.M. ([2021](#)). *The Dark Side of Science: Misconduct in Biomedical Research*.
- Bouter, L. ([2022](#)). *Why research integrity matters*.
- Byrne, J.A. ([2023](#)). *Paper mills*.
- Cabanac, G. ([2022](#)). *Pollution de la littérature scientifique : détection participative d'expressions torturées révélatrices d'articles frauduleux*.
- Wise, N.H. ([2022](#)). *An Introduction to Publication Fraud*.

## Articles


- Barbour, B., & Stell, B. M. ([2020](#)). *PubPeer: Scientific assessment without metrics*. In M. Biagioli & A. Lippman (Eds.), *Gaming the metrics: Misconduct and manipulation in academic research* (pp. 149–155). Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press.
- Cabanac, G. ([2022](#)). Decontamination of the scientific literature. *arXiv:2210.15912*
- Cabanac, G. ([2021](#)). This digital-hygiene routine will protect your scholarship [World View]. *Nature*, 598(7882), 541. [adaptation [en français](#) pour l'HCERES-Ofis]



# Annexes

# Passer la littérature au peigne fin des phrases torturées

Méthode de recherche de signatures :



**JASIST**  
Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology

RESEARCH ARTICLE | Open Access | © | i

**Prevalence of nonsensical algorithmically generated papers in the scientific literature**

Guillaume Cabanac | Cyril Labbé

First published: 25 May 2021 | <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24495>


Signatures/empreintes utilisées par le *Problematic Paper Screener*

Fingerprint	Tortured Phrase	Expected Phrase
1	counterfeit consciousness	artificial intelligence
2	man-made brainpower	artificial intelligence
3	hereditary calculation	genetic algorithm
...		
46	<b>innocent</b> Bayes	naive Bayes
47	mean square <b>mistake/blunder</b>	mean square error
48	calculated relapse	logistic regression
49	<b>flag to commotion</b>	signal to noise
50	<b>p-esteem</b>	p-value
...		
4088	bosom malignancy	breast cancer
4089	kidney <b>disappointment</b>	kidney failure
4090	Protein/mRNA <b>articulation</b>	Protein/mRNA expression



```
search publications in full_data for "{fingerprint-query}"
where year >= 2005
and type in [ "article", "chapter", "preprint", "proceeding" ]
return publications [id + year + type + doi + title + journal + proceedings_title+
publisher + book_title + times_cited + altmetric]

limit 1000
```





## L'opportunité d'une discussion scientifique plus ouverte ?

Créé en 2017 comme département du **Hcéres, l'Office Français de l'Intégrité Scientifique (OFIS)** assure une mission nationale de promotion et de coordination des politiques en faveur de l'intégrité scientifique. Ses actions s'organisent selon trois axes : observatoire, ressources, réflexion et prospective. L'OFIS est dirigé par Stéphanie Ruphy. Le **Conseil Français de l'Intégrité Scientifique (CoFIS)**, présidé par Olivier Le Gall, oriente et supervise ses travaux.

Fin avril dernier, les Professeurs Didier Raoult et Eric Chabrière annonçaient avoir porté plainte pour harcèlement, tentative de chantage et d'extorsion contre Elisabeth Bik, microbiologiste aujourd'hui spécialisée dans le commentaire critique d'articles scientifiques déjà publiés, et pour complicité contre Boris Barbour, neurobiologiste au CNRS et administrateur du site **PubPeer** qui accueille de tels commentaires, souvent anonymes. Les commentaires peuvent renvoyer à des **questions d'éthique** (non-respect des réglementations en vigueur concernant la protection des personnes), de **déontologie** (absence de déclaration de conflits d'intérêt) ou encore **d'intégrité scientifique** (manipulation d'images). Il n'entre pas dans les prérogatives de l'OFIS de se prononcer sur des cas individuels, puisque le traitement d'allégations particulières de manquements à l'intégrité scientifique relève au premier chef de la responsabilité des opérateurs de recherche concernés. En revanche, **cette affaire rend visible de nouveaux enjeux majeurs en matière de bonnes pratiques scientifiques.**

[...]

Sur un plan plus **institutionnel se pose la question de la pleine intégration à l'écosystème de la recherche des fonctions de vigilance et de correction post-publication assurées aujourd'hui par ces blogs et plateformes spécialisés.** Dès lors que le bénéfice de ces fonctions est établi pour la qualité et la fiabilité des productions scientifiques, **pourquoi ne pas envisager leur reconnaissance voire leur prise en charge par les institutions scientifiques ?** Cela permettrait au monde académique de s'approprier pleinement ces nouvelles modalités de discussion critique et d'en définir collectivement les bonnes pratiques comme il l'a fait pour les autres processus déjà existants d'auto-correction. Il pourrait par exemple considérer les **activités de commentaires post-publication comme relevant des activités ordinaires du chercheur,** au même titre que les activités traditionnelles d'évaluation par les pairs. Ou encore, soutenir les interventions pertinentes d'acteurs qui ne sont pas ou plus des chercheurs en exercice, reconnaissant ainsi leur légitimité et leur utilité.