



An introduction to cognitive planning

Jorge Luis Fernandez Davila, Dominique Longin, Emiliano Lorini, Frédéric Maris

► To cite this version:

Jorge Luis Fernandez Davila, Dominique Longin, Emiliano Lorini, Frédéric Maris. An introduction to cognitive planning. Doctoral. Tutorial at PFIA 2022, Saint-Etienne, France. 2022, pp.134. hal-03763006

HAL Id: hal-03763006

<https://ut3-toulouseinp.hal.science/hal-03763006>

Submitted on 29 Aug 2022


HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



CNRS - INP - UT3 - UT1 - UT2J

Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse



An introduction to cognitive planning

J. Fernandez, D. Longin, E. Lorini, F. Maris
IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3
{prenom.nom}@irit.fr



From classical and epistemic planning to cognitive planning

- Classical planning
 - Actions: ontic (physical) actions
 - Goal: modifying the environment
- Epistemic planning
 - Actions: epistemic/informative actions
 - Goal: affecting/changing the target's beliefs




From classical and epistemic planning to cognitive planning

■ Cognitive planning

- Actions: **speech acts**
- Goal: affecting/changing the target's **cognitive state**
 - beliefs
 - intentions and behaviour
- It relies on a theory of the influence of beliefs and desires (reasons) on intentions/behaviors
- ANR project CoPains (“Cognitive Planning in Persuasive Multimodal Communication”) 2019-2023:
⇒ Webpage: <https://www.irit.fr/CoPains/>



Cognitive planning





Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence

Influence: inducing an agent to perform a certain action

- 1 by impeding or enabling some of her actions/choices (**regimentation**)
- 2 by changing her payoffs (**incentive/deterrent**)
- 3 by changing her beliefs (**persuasion**)



Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence

Example (Influence through regimentation)

The gouvernement influences people to vaccinate against covid-19 by precluding access to public spaces (restaurants, museums, etc) in the absence of a vaccination certificate.

Example (Influence through incentive creation)


The gouvernement influences people to buy electric cars by offering electric car tax cuts.

Example (Influence through persuasion)


Bob influences Ann to not vaccinate against covid-19 by convincing her that covid-19 mRNA vaccines modify human DNA.



Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence




Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence






Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence




Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence






Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence



Cognitive planning for persuasion and influence





Plan of the tutorial

- **Part 1** Logical framework for cognitive planning
 - Epistemic logic with a semantics exploiting belief bases
 - NP-fragment and reduction to SAT
 - Formalization of cognitive planning problem and complexity results
- **Part 2** QBF encoding of cognitive planning and TouIST platform
- **Part 3** Implementation and applications
 - Recommender system for sport activities
 - Conversational agent for motivational interviewing
- **Part 4** Formal extension and implementation for collaborative gaming
 - Extended logical framework and encoding of the Yōkai game
 - General architecture and implementation

Language:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 : \quad \alpha &::= p \mid \neg\alpha \mid \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \mid \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \mid \Delta_i\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L} : \quad \varphi &::= \alpha \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \mid \Box_i\varphi \mid \Diamond_i\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

with $p \in \mathit{Atm}$ and $i \in \mathit{Agt}$

$\Delta_i\alpha$: agent i **explicitly believes** that α

$\Box_i\varphi$: agent i **implicitly believes** that φ

- E. Lorini (2020). Rethinking Epistemic Logic with Belief Bases. *Artificial Intelligence*, 282.
- E. Lorini (2018). In Praise of Belief Bases: Doing Epistemic Logic without Possible Worlds. In *Proc. of AAIL-18*, AAAI Press, pp. 1915-1922.

Definition (State)

A **state** is a tuple $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n, V)$ where:

- for every $i \in Agt$, $B_i \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$ is agent i 's belief base,
- $V \subseteq Atm$ is the actual environment.

The set of all states is denoted by S .



Definition (Satisfaction relation)

Let $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n, V) \in \mathbf{S}$. Then:

$$B \models p \iff p \in V$$

$$B \models \neg \alpha \iff B \not\models \alpha$$

$$B \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \iff B \models \alpha_1 \text{ and } B \models \alpha_2$$

$$B \models \Delta_i \alpha \iff \alpha \in B_i$$

Definition (Multi-agent belief model)

A **multi-agent belief model** (MAB) is a pair (B, Cxt) , where $B \in \mathbf{S}$ and $Cxt \subseteq \mathbf{S}$. The class of MABs is denoted by \mathbf{M} .

Definition (Epistemic alternatives)

Let $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n, V), B' = (B'_1, \dots, B'_n, V') \in \mathbf{S}$. Then,

$BR_i B'$ if and only if $\forall \alpha \in B_i : B' \models \alpha$.

Definition (Satisfaction relation)

Let $(B, Cxt) \in \mathbf{M}$. Then:

$$(B, Cxt) \models \alpha \iff B \models \alpha$$

$$(B, Cxt) \models \Box_i \varphi \iff \forall B' \in Cxt : \text{if } B \mathcal{R}_i B' \text{ then } (B', Cxt) \models \varphi$$

Theorem

Checking satisfiability of $\mathcal{L}(Atm, Agt)$ formulas in the class \mathbf{M} is a PSPACE-hard problem.

Single-reasoner fragment with $\text{Agt} = \{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{h}\}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}} : \varphi ::= \alpha \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \mid \Box_{\mathbf{m}}\alpha \mid \Diamond_{\mathbf{m}}\alpha$$

where α ranges over \mathcal{L}_0

$$\text{Recall: } \mathcal{L}_0 : \alpha ::= p \mid \neg\alpha \mid \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \mid \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \mid \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}\alpha \mid \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\alpha$$

Agent \mathbf{m} : the ‘machine’

Agent \mathbf{h} : the ‘human’



Polynomial reduction to SAT

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}} \xrightarrow{\text{nnf}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^{\text{NNF}} \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Mod}} \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Prop}}$$

Figure: Summary of reduction process

Theorem

Checking satisfiability of formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}$ in the class \mathbf{M} is an NP-complete problem.



Dynamic extension

Dynamic language:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^+ : \varphi ::= \alpha \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \mid \Box_m \alpha \mid \Diamond_m \alpha \mid [+_i \alpha] \varphi$$

$[+_i \alpha] \varphi$: φ holds after agent i has privately expanded her belief base with α

Definition (Satisfaction relation, cont.)

Let $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n, V) \in \mathbf{S}$ and let $(B, Cxt) \in \mathbf{M}$. Then:

$$(B, Cxt) \models [+_i \alpha] \varphi \iff (B^{+_i \alpha}, Cxt) \models \varphi$$

with $V^{+_i \alpha} = V$, $B_i^{+_i \alpha} = B_i \cup \{\alpha\}$ and $B_j^{+_i \alpha} = B_j$ for all $j \neq i$.



Dynamic extension

The following equivalences are valid in the class **M**:

$$[+_i\alpha]\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } \alpha' = \Delta_i\alpha, \\ \alpha', & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\neg\varphi \leftrightarrow \neg[+_i\alpha]\varphi;$$

$$[+_i\alpha](\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \leftrightarrow [+_i\alpha]\varphi_1 \wedge [+_i\alpha]\varphi_2;$$

$$[+_i\alpha](\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \leftrightarrow [+_i\alpha]\varphi_1 \vee [+_i\alpha]\varphi_2;$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\Box_m\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Box_m(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'), & \text{if } i = m, \\ \Box_m\alpha', & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\Diamond_m\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Diamond_m(\alpha \wedge \alpha'), & \text{if } i = m, \\ \Diamond_m\alpha', & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Dynamic extension


Polynomial reduction of satisfiability for $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^+$ to satisfiability for $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}$ via the previous reduction axioms

Theorem

Checking satisfiability of formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^+$ in the class \mathbf{M} is an NP-complete problem.



General architecture





General architecture

■ Interrogative phase

- Agent m gathers information about agent h 's cognitive state
- Sequence of questions by m to h
- After h 's answer to m 's question, m 's expands/revises its beliefs

■ Informative phase

- Core of the persuasion/influence process
- Sequence of assertions by m aimed at modifying h 's cognitive state



Cognitive planning problems

⇒ **Agent m 's set of informative actions:**

$$Act_m = \{+_m\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$$

with elements $\epsilon, \epsilon', \dots$

⇒ **Agent m 's set of yes-no questions:**

$$Que_m = \{?_{m,h}\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$$

with elements λ, λ', \dots



Cognitive planning problems

⇒ **Answer function:**

$$\mathcal{A} : Que_m \longrightarrow 2^{Act_m}$$

with $\mathcal{A}(?_{m,h}\alpha) = \{ +_m \Delta_h \alpha, +_m \neg \Delta_h \alpha \}$
and default answer $da(?_{m,h}\alpha) = +_m \Delta_h \alpha$

⇒ **Necessary consequence of a question:**

$$[\lambda]\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)} [\rho]\varphi$$



Cognitive planning problems

⇒ **Set of events:** $Evt_m = Act_m \cup Que_m$ with elements γ, γ', \dots

⇒ **Executability precondition function:**

$$\mathcal{P} : Evt_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Frag}$$

⇒ **Necessary consequence of an event:**

$$\langle\langle \gamma \rangle\rangle \varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P}(\gamma) \wedge [\gamma] \varphi$$

$\langle\langle \gamma \rangle\rangle \varphi$: event γ can take place and φ holds after its occurrence



Cognitive planning problems

Definition (Informative planning problem)

An interrogative planning problem is a tuple $\langle \Sigma, Op_{\text{inf}}, \alpha_G \rangle$ where:

- $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ is a finite set of agent m 's available information,
- $Op_{\text{inf}} \subset Act_m$ is a finite set of agent m 's informative actions,
- $\alpha_G \in \mathcal{L}_0$ is agent m 's goal.

A **solution plan** to the informative planning problem is a sequence of informative actions $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$ from Op_{inf} for some k such that

$$\Sigma \models_M \langle\langle \epsilon_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \epsilon_k \rangle\rangle \Box_m \alpha_G$$

Definition (Interrogative planning problem)

An interrogative planning problem is a tuple

$\langle \Sigma, Op_{\text{inf}}, Op_{\text{quest}}, \alpha_G \rangle$ where:

- $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ is a finite set of agent m 's available information,
- $Op_{\text{inf}} \subset Act_m$ is a finite set of agent m 's informative actions,
- $Op_{\text{quest}} \subset Que_m$ is a finite set of agent m 's questions,
- $\alpha_G \in \mathcal{L}_0$ is agent m 's goal.



Cognitive planning problems

A **strong solution plan** to the interrogative planning problem is a sequence of questions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ from Op_{quest} such that

$$\Sigma \models_{\mathbf{M}} \langle\langle \lambda_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \lambda_m \rangle\rangle \top$$

and $\forall \rho_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda_1), \dots, \forall \rho_m \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda_m), \exists \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in Op_{\text{inf}}$ such that

$$\Sigma \models_{\mathbf{M}} [\rho_1] \dots [\rho_m] \langle\langle \tau_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \tau_k \rangle\rangle \Box_{\mathbf{m}} \alpha_G$$



Cognitive planning problems

A **weak solution plan** to the interrogative planning problem is a sequence of questions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ from Op_{quest} such that

$$\Sigma \models_{\mathbf{M}} \langle\langle \lambda_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \lambda_m \rangle\rangle \top$$

and $\exists \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in Op_{\text{inf}}$ such that

$$\Sigma \models_{\mathbf{M}} [da(\lambda_1)] \dots [da(\lambda_m)] \langle\langle \tau_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \tau_k \rangle\rangle \Box_{\mathbf{m}} \alpha_G$$



Cognitive planning problems

Problems:

- ES-INF: checking existence of a solution for an informative planning problem
- EWS-INT: checking existence of a weak solution for an interrogative planning problem
- ESS-INT: checking existence of a strong solution for an interrogative planning problem

Theorem

The ES-INF problem and EWS-INT problem are $\text{NP}^{\text{NP}} = \Sigma_2^{\text{P}}$ -complete.



Belief revision

- Propositional language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PROP}}$ built from the following set of atomic formulas:

$$Atm^+ = Atm \cup \{p_{\Delta_i \alpha} : \Delta_i \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$$

- Translation $tr_{\text{PROP}} : \mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{PROP}}$:

$$tr_{\text{PROP}}(p) = p$$

$$tr_{\text{PROP}}(\neg \alpha) = \neg tr_{\text{PROP}}(\alpha)$$

$$tr_{\text{PROP}}(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = tr_{\text{PROP}}(\alpha_1) \wedge tr_{\text{PROP}}(\alpha_2)$$

$$tr_{\text{PROP}}(\Delta_i \alpha) = p_{\Delta_i \alpha}$$

- For $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$, $tr_{\text{PROP}}(X) = \{tr_{\text{PROP}}(\alpha) : \alpha \in X\}$
- X is propositionally consistent if and only if $\perp \notin Cn(tr_{\text{PROP}}(X))$



Belief revision

- Agent m 's core (or, immutable) information set $\Sigma_{core} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$
- Agent m 's volatile (or, mutable) information set $\Sigma_{mut} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$
- Agent m 's input set $\Sigma_{input} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$



Belief revision

Revision of $(\Sigma_{core}, \Sigma_{mut})$ by Σ_{input} :

- 1 if $\Sigma_{core} \cup \Sigma_{input}$ is not propositionally consistent then
 $Rev(\Sigma_{core}, \Sigma_{mut}, \Sigma_{input}) = (\Sigma_{core}, \Sigma_{mut})$
- 2 otherwise, $Rev(\Sigma_{core}, \Sigma_{mut}, \Sigma_{input}) = (\Sigma'_{core}, \Sigma'_{mut})$ with

$$\Sigma'_{core} = \Sigma_{core}$$

$$\Sigma'_{mut} = \bigcap_{X \in MCS(\Sigma_{core} \cup \Sigma_{mut}, \Sigma_{input})} X$$

where $X \in MCS(\Sigma_{core} \cup \Sigma_{mut}, \Sigma_{input})$ if and only if:

- $X \subseteq \Sigma_{mut} \cup \Sigma_{input}$
- $\Sigma_{input} \subseteq X$
- $X \cup \Sigma_{core}$ is propositionally consistent
- there is no $X' \subseteq \Sigma_{mut} \cup \Sigma_{input}$ such that $X \subset X'$ and $X' \cup \Sigma_{core}$ is propositionally consistent



Plan of the tutorial

- 1 Logical framework for cognitive planning (Emiliano)
- 2 **QBF encoding of cognitive planning and ToulST platform (Frédéric) NOW!**
 - $\exists\forall$ optimal encoding of simple cognitive planning
 - ToulST: Toulouse Integrated Satisfiability Tool
- 3 Implementation and applications (Jorge)
- 4 Formal extension and implementation for collaborative gaming (Dominique & Jorge)



Part 2 - QBF encoding of cognitive planning and TouIST platform

≡ optimal encoding



ES-INF planning problem (recall)

A **solution plan** to an ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ is a sequence of operators $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$ from Op such that $\Sigma \models_M \langle \epsilon_1 \rangle \dots \langle \epsilon_k \rangle \Box_m \alpha_G$

Theorem

An ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ has a solution plan if and only if it has a poly-size solution plan $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$ with $k \leq |Op|$ and $\epsilon_i \neq \epsilon_j$ for all $i < j$.

Theorem

Checking plan existence for an ES-INF planning problem is Σ_2^P -complete.



$\exists\forall$ encoding of ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^+$ and let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ be finite.

Then, $\Sigma \models_{\text{M}} \varphi$ if and only if $\models_{\text{M}} \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_{\text{m}} \alpha \rightarrow \varphi$.

A plan candidate $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$ is a solution plan for $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ if and only if the following formula is valid:

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_{\text{m}} \alpha \right) \rightarrow \langle\langle \epsilon_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \epsilon_{k-1} \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \epsilon_k \rangle\rangle \Box_{\text{m}} \alpha_G$$

which can be also written as:

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_{\text{m}} \alpha \right) \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} [+_{\text{m}} \alpha_{\epsilon_1}] \dots [+_{\text{m}} \alpha_{\epsilon_{i-1}}] \mathcal{P}(\epsilon_i) \right) \wedge [+_{\text{m}} \alpha_{\epsilon_1}] \dots [+_{\text{m}} \alpha_{\epsilon_{k-1}}] [+_{\text{m}} \alpha_{\epsilon_k}] \Box_{\text{m}} \alpha_G$$



$\exists\forall$ encoding of ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$

- Global selector variables $V_s = \{s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} : \epsilon, \epsilon' \in Op\} \subseteq Atm$
- φ_{V_s} denotes the conjunction of the following axioms:

$$\bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} \left(\neg s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} \neg s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \wedge \neg s_{\epsilon' \preceq \epsilon} \right) \quad (S1)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} \bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} \left(\neg s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \vee \neg s_{\epsilon' \preceq \epsilon} \right) \quad (S2)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} \bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} \bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon'' \in Op \\ \epsilon' \neq \epsilon'' \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon''}} \left(s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \wedge s_{\epsilon' \preceq \epsilon''} \rightarrow s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon''} \right) \quad (S3)$$



$\exists\forall$ encoding of ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$

■ φ_{V_s} denotes the conjunction of the following axioms:

$$\bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} \bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} \left(s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon} \wedge s_{\epsilon' \preceq \epsilon'} \rightarrow s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \vee s_{\epsilon' \preceq \epsilon} \right) \quad (S4)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} \bigwedge_{\epsilon' \in Op} \left(s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \leftrightarrow \Box_m s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \right) \quad (S5)$$



Dynamic extension (recall)

The following equivalences are valid in the class **M**:

$$[+_i\alpha]\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } \alpha' = \Delta_i\alpha, \\ \alpha', & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\neg\varphi \leftrightarrow \neg[+_i\alpha]\varphi;$$

$$[+_i\alpha](\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \leftrightarrow [+_i\alpha]\varphi_1 \wedge [+_i\alpha]\varphi_2;$$

$$[+_i\alpha](\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \leftrightarrow [+_i\alpha]\varphi_1 \vee [+_i\alpha]\varphi_2;$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\Box_m\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Box_m(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'), & \text{if } i = m, \\ \Box_m\alpha', & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$[+_i\alpha]\Diamond_m\alpha' \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Diamond_m(\alpha \wedge \alpha'), & \text{if } i = m, \\ \Diamond_m\alpha', & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



$\exists\forall$ encoding of ES-INF planning problem

■ For $\epsilon \in Op$, we define the function $\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon} : \mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}$.

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(p) = p,$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} s_{\epsilon'\preceq\epsilon} \vee \Delta_m \alpha_{\epsilon'}, & \text{if } \exists \epsilon' \neq \epsilon : \alpha = \Delta_m \alpha_{\epsilon'}, \\ \alpha, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\neg\varphi) = \neg\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi),$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_1) \wedge \Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_2),$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) = \Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_1) \vee \Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\varphi_2),$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\Box_m \alpha) = \Box_m \left(\left(\bigvee_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} s_{\epsilon'\preceq\epsilon} \wedge \neg\alpha_{\epsilon'} \right) \vee \alpha \right)$$

$$\Pi_{\preceq\epsilon}(\Diamond_m \alpha) = \Diamond_m \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon' \in Op \\ \epsilon \neq \epsilon'}} (\neg s_{\epsilon'\preceq\epsilon} \vee \alpha_{\epsilon'}) \wedge \alpha \right)$$



$\exists\forall$ encoding of ES-INF planning problem

Let $F_{\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle}$ be the reduction in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Prop}}$ of the following formula of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}$:

$$\varphi_{V_s} \wedge \left(\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_m \alpha \right) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\epsilon \in Op} (s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon} \rightarrow \Pi_{\preceq \epsilon}(\mathcal{P}(\epsilon))) \wedge \varphi_P \right)$$

Theorem

The ES-INF planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ has a solution plan iff the following quantified boolean formula is true:

$$Q = \exists_{s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \in V_s} \quad \forall_{p \in \text{Vars}(F_{\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle}) \setminus V_s} \quad F_{\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle}$$



Part 2 - QBF encoding of cognitive planning and TouIST platform

TouIST Platform



Motivation for a new tool

Remark 1: existing languages are not intuitive

- ⊕ SAT solvers are very efficient
- ⊖ but with a “low level” input language

Formula	Solver input (DIMACS)
$a \rightarrow$	p cnf 5 4
$b \vee \neg (a \rightarrow c)$	-1 5 3 0
$\vee (c \rightarrow \neg a)$	-1 -5 4 1 0
	-1 -5 4 -2 0
	-1 -3 -2 -1 0



Motivation for a new tool

Remark 2: existing languages are not compact

- ⊕ SMT-LIB is much more expressive than DIMACS
- ⊖ but with a lack of compacity

Formula

$$\bigwedge_{i \in [1..1000]} x_i$$

SMT-LIB example

```
(assert
  (and x1
    (and x2
      (and x3
        (and x4
          (and x5
            (and x6
              ... x1000))))))))
```



Motivation for a new tool

Technical goal:

- ⊕ an intuitive language for modeling
- ⊕ a user-friendly graphical interface

Academic goal:

- ⊕ teaching of logic
- ⊕ research (comparison of solvers, encodings)



Principle of use for reasoning on a little example

Example of the politician

If growth increases, then unemployment decreases ;

Now, growth decreases.

Then unemployment increases !

Problem


Is the conclusion justified ?

$$\{\text{growth} \rightarrow \neg \text{unemployment}, \neg \text{growth}\} \models \text{unemployment}$$



Principle of use for reasoning on a little example

$\{\text{growth} \rightarrow \neg \text{unemployment}, \neg \text{growth}\} \models \text{unemployment}$





Principle of use for reasoning on a little example

Touist (english) v3.5.1

Results ☒ Tr... ☒ False ☒ Others [Back to edition](#)

Name ▲	Value
growth	False
unemployment	False

[Previous](#) [Next](#) [Export](#)

A model is found, then the reasoning **is not valid !**

$\{\text{growth} \rightarrow \neg \text{unemployment}, \neg \text{growth}\} \not\models \text{unemployment}$



Implementation aspects



TouIST (Toulouse Integrated Satisfiability Tool)

Graphical interface for writing logical formulas
in a **compact language** and using different **external solvers**.

- under MIT license, sources : github.com/touist/touist
- graphical interface (**Java**)
- translation to solver languages by a compiler (**OCaml**)
- compatible with **SAT, SMT and QBF**



Implementation aspects

Compatible solvers :

Solver	Formula	ToulST input language
SAT	$a \wedge b \rightarrow c$	<code>a and b => c</code>
SMT (QF-LIA)	$(x + y - z \geq 4) \vee b$	<code>(x + y - z >= 4) or a</code>
SMT (QF-LRA)	$(x + y - z > 4.0) \rightarrow c$	<code>(x + y - z > 4.0) => c</code>
SMT (QF-IDL)	$(x - y > 2) \wedge c$	<code>(x - y > 2) and c</code>
SMT (QF-RDL)	$(x - y > 1.0) \wedge c$	<code>(x - y > 1.0) and c</code>
QBF	$\forall b. a \wedge b$	<code>forall b: a and b</code>

QF-LIA = Quantifier-Free Linear Integer Arithmetic

QF-LRA = Quantifier-Free Linear Rational Arithmetic

QF-IDL = Quantifier-Free Integer Difference Logic

QF-RDL = Quantifier-Free Rational Difference Logic



Implementation aspects

ToulST input language :

■ variables and sets

```
$N = 5  
$Rows = [1..$N]  
$Countries = [France, Italy, TheNetherlands]
```

■ generalized connectors


```
in(France) and in(Italy) and in(TheNetherlands)
```

```
bigand $c in $Countries:  
  in($c)  
end
```




Solving Puzzles with ToulIST (SAT)

	2				4	8	
1			8		2	3	7
				9	3	2	1
	4	8					1
			6	1	8		
7						9	3
	1	5	9	4			
4		2	3		6		9
	3	7					5



```

ToulIST (english) v3.5.2 - /Users/maris/ownCloud/toulit/sudoku2019_9x9.toulit

32:7
;; at least one value per cell
22 bigand $i in [1..$N]:
23   bigand $j in [1..$N]:
24     bigor $k in [1..$N]:
25       p($i,$j,$k)
26     end
27   end
28 end
29
30 ;; at most one value per cell
31 bigand $i in [1..$N]:
32   bigand $j in [1..$N]:
33     bigand $k1 in [1..$N]:
34       bigand $k2 in [1..$N] when $k1 != $k2:
35         not p($i,$j,$k1) or not p($i,$j,$k2)
36       end
37     end
38   end
39 end
40
41 ;; at most once the same value per row
42 bigand $i in [1..$N]:
43   bigand $j1 in [1..$N]:
44     bigand $j2 in [1..$N] when $j1 != $j2:
45       bigand $k in [1..$N]:
46         not p($i,$j1,$k) or not p($i,$j2,$k)
47       end
48     end
49   end
50 end
51
52 ;; at most once the same value per column
53 bigand $i1 in [1..$N]:
54   bigand $i2 in [1..$N] when $i1 != $i2:
55     bigand $j in [1..$N]:
56       not p($i1,$j,$i1) or not p($i2,$j,$i1)
57     end
58   end
59 end
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230
2231
2232
2233
2234
2235
2236
2237
2238
2239
2240
2241
2242
2243
2244
2245
2246
2247
2248
2249
2250
2251
2252
2253
2254
2255
2256
2257
2258
2259
2260
2261
2262
2263
2264
2265
2266
2267
2268
2269
2270
2271
2272
2273
2274
2275
2276
2277
2278
2279
2280
2281
2282
2283
2284
2285
2286
2287
2288
2289
2290
2291
2292
2293
2294
2295
2296
2297
2298
2299
2300
2301
2302
2303
2304
2305
2306
2307
2308
2309
2310
2311
2312
2313
2314
2315
2316
2317
2318
2319
2320
2321
2322
2323
2324
2325
2326
2327
2328
2329
2330
2331
2332
2333
2334
2335
2336
2337
2338
2339
2340
2341
2342
2343
2344
2345
2346
2347
2348
2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2357
2358
2359
2360
2361
2362
2363
2364
2365
2366
2367
2368
2369
2370
2371
2372
2373
2374
2375
2376
2377
2378
2379
2380
2381
2382
2383
2384
2385
2386
2387
2388
2389
2390
2391
2392
2393
2394
2395
2396
2397
2398
2399
2400
2401
2402
2403
2404
2405
2406
2407
2408
2409
2410
2411
2412
2413
2414
2415
2416
2417
2418
2419
2420
2421
2422
2423
2424
2425
2426
2427
2428
2429
2430
2431
2432
2433
2434
2435
2436
2437
2438
2439
2440
2441
2442
2443
2444
2445
2446
2447
2448
2449
2450
2451
2452
2453
2454
2455
2456
245
```



Solving Puzzles with TouIST (SMT)

	1		0
		0	
	0		
1	1		0

0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
0	0	1	1
1	1	0	0

A compact SMT encoding
with atoms of QF_LIA
(linear arithmetic on integers)

```

26 ;; There is an equal number of 1s and 0s in each row
27 bigand $i in $N:
28   (x($i,1)+x($i,2)+x($i,3)+x($i,4)=2)
29   and (x(1,$i)+x(2,$i)+x(3,$i)+x(4,$i)=2)
30 end
31
32 ;; There is no more than two of either number adjacent
33 bigand $i,$j in [1..$NR-2],[1..$NC]:
34   x($i,$j)!=x($i+1,$j) or x($i+1,$j)!=x($i+2,$j)
35 end
36 bigand $i,$j in [1..$NR],[1..$NC-2]:
37   x($j,$i)!=x($j,$i+1) or x($j,$i+1)!=x($j,$i+2)
38 end
39
40 ;; There can be no identical rows or columns
41 bigand $i,$j in $N,$N when $i!=$j:
42   bigor $k in $N:
43     (x($i,$k)!=x($j,$k))
44   end
45   and
46   bigor $k in $N:
47     (x($k,$i)!=x($k,$j))
48   end
49 end
50
51

```

Generated formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} (x_{i,j} = \text{value}) \\
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} ((x_{i,j} = 0) \vee (x_{i,j} = 1)) \\
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} ((x_{i,1} + x_{i,2} + x_{i,3} + x_{i,4} = 2) \wedge (x_{1,i} + x_{2,i} + x_{3,i} + x_{4,i} = 2)) \\
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} (x_{i,j} \neq x_{i+1,j} \vee x_{i,j} \neq x_{i,j+1}) \\
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} (x_{j,i} \neq x_{j,i+1} \vee x_{j,i} \neq x_{j,i+2}) \\
 & \bigwedge_{i,j \in [1..4]} \left(\bigvee_{k \in [1..4]} (x_{i,k} \neq x_{j,k}) \wedge \bigvee_{k \in [1..4]} (x_{k,i} \neq x_{k,j}) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



Solving an ES-INF planning problem with TouIST

- **SAT solver:** to verify the validity of a plan candidate

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_m \alpha \right) \rightarrow \langle\langle \epsilon_1 \rangle\rangle \dots \langle\langle \epsilon_{k-1} \rangle\rangle \langle\langle \epsilon_k \rangle\rangle \Box_m \alpha_G$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}} \xrightarrow{nnf} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Frag}}^{\text{NNF}} \xrightarrow{tr_1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Mod}} \xrightarrow{tr_2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Prop}}$$

- **QBF solver:** to extract a solution plan from $\exists \forall$ encoding

$$Q = \bigvee_{s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \in V_s} s_{\epsilon \preceq \epsilon'} \quad \bigwedge_{p \in \text{Vars}(F_{\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle}) \setminus V_s} p \quad F_{\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle}$$



Plan of the tutorial

- 1 Logical framework for cognitive planning (Emiliano)
- 2 QBF encoding of cognitive planning and ToulST platform (Frédéric)
- 3 **Implementation and applications (Jorge) NOW!**
 - Recommender system for sport activities
 - Conversational agent for motivational interviewing
- 4 Formal extension and implementation for collaborative gaming (Dominique & Jorge)




Part 3 - Implementation and applications

Introduction




System Architecture






Deduction process

We consider a conversational agent that has to interact with a human user in order to support her activity and to take care of her well-being. Specifically, HAL is an artificial companion which takes care of an elderly person called Bob and keeps him company. Bob has to do regular physical activity to be in good health.





Checking satisfiability of formulas in \mathcal{L}_{Frag}





Part 3 - Implementation and applications

Recommender system for sport activities



Example 1: Artificial assistant

- Agent h : human user who has to choose a sport to practice
- Agent m : artificial assistant
- Agent m 's **goal**: agent h forms the intention to practice a sport
- **Solution plan**: sequence of speech acts by m





Example 1: Artificial assistant(cont.)

<i>Opt</i>	<i>Var</i>					
	<i>env</i>	<i>loc</i>	<i>soc</i>	<i>cost</i>	<i>dan</i>	<i>intens</i>
sw	<i>water</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>single</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>low</i>	<i>high</i>
ru	<i>land</i>	<i>outdoor</i>	<i>single</i>	<i>low</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>high</i>
hr	<i>land</i>	<i>outdoor</i>	<i>single</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>low</i>
te	<i>land</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>med</i>
so	<i>land</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>team</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>med</i>
yo	<i>land</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>single</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>low</i>	<i>low</i>
di	<i>water</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>single</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>low</i>
sq	<i>land</i>	<i>indoor</i>	<i>mixed</i>	<i>high</i>	<i>med</i>	<i>med</i>

Table: For every option $o \in Opt$ and variable $x \in Var$, we denote by $v_{o,x}$ the corresponding entry in the table.



Example 1: Artificial assistant(cont.)

$$\alpha_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\substack{o \in Opt \\ x \in Var \\ v, v' \in Val_x: v \neq v'}} (\text{val}(o, x \mapsto v) \rightarrow \neg \text{val}(o, x \mapsto v')),$$

$$\alpha_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\substack{o \in Opt \\ x \in Var \\ v, v' \in Val_x: v \neq v'}} (\Delta_h \text{val}(o, x \mapsto v) \rightarrow \Delta_h \neg \text{val}(o, x \mapsto v')),$$


$$\alpha_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\Gamma, \Gamma' \in 2^{Des*}: \Gamma \neq \Gamma'} (\text{des}(h, \Gamma) \rightarrow \neg \text{des}(h, \Gamma')),$$

$$\alpha_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{\Gamma \in 2^{Des*}} \text{des}(h, \Gamma),$$

$$\alpha_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{o \in Opt} (\text{ideal}(h, o) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\Gamma \in 2^{Des*}} (\text{des}(h, \Gamma) \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_{comp}(o, \gamma))),$$


$$\alpha_6 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{o \in Opt} (\text{justif}(h, o) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\Gamma \in 2^{Des*}} (\text{des}(h, \Gamma) \wedge \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_{comp}^h(o, \gamma))).$$

$$\alpha_7^{o,x} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{val}(o, x \mapsto v_{o,x}).$$





Example 1: Artificial assistant(cont.)



$$\Gamma_h = \{\text{env} \mapsto \text{land}, \text{intens} \mapsto \text{med}, \sim \text{loc} \mapsto \text{indoor}, \\ [\text{cost} \mapsto \text{high}] \rightsquigarrow \text{soc} \mapsto \text{mixed}\}.$$

Potential intention: $\text{potIntend}(h, o) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta_{h\text{ideal}}(h, o) \wedge \text{justif}(h, o)$



Example 1: Artificial assistant(cont.)

Operators:

$$Op = \{ inform(m, h, val(o, a)) : o \in Opt \text{ and } a \in Assign \} \cup \\ \{ inform(m, h, ideal(h, o)) : o \in Opt \}$$

Executability preconditions:

$$\mathcal{P}\left(inform(m, h, val(o, a))\right) = \Box_m \left(val(o, a) \wedge \bigwedge_{v \in Val_{dan}} (val(o, dan \mapsto v) \rightarrow \Delta_h val(o, dan \mapsto v)) \right)$$

if $a \notin Assign_{dan}$,

$$\mathcal{P}\left(inform(m, h, val(o, a))\right) = \Box_m val(o, a)$$

if $a \in Assign_{dan}$,

$$\mathcal{P}\left(inform(m, h, ideal(h, o))\right) = \Box_m (ideal(h, o) \wedge justif(h, o)).$$



Example 1: Artificial assistant(cont.)

Planning goal: $\alpha_G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{o \in Opt} \text{potIntend}(\mathfrak{h}, o)$

$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6$ is a solution for the planning problem $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ where:
 $\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8\} \cup \{\alpha_7^{o,x} : o \in Opt \text{ and } x \in Var\}$ and

$$\epsilon_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{val}(\text{te}, \mathbf{dan} \mapsto \text{med})),$$


$$\epsilon_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{val}(\text{te}, \mathbf{env} \mapsto \text{land})),$$

$$\epsilon_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{val}(\text{te}, \mathbf{intens} \mapsto \text{med})),$$

$$\epsilon_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{val}(\text{te}, \mathbf{loc} \mapsto \text{mixed})),$$


$$\epsilon_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{val}(\text{te}, \mathbf{soc} \mapsto \text{mixed})),$$

$$\epsilon_6 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inform}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{ideal}(\mathfrak{h}, \text{te})).$$



- Source code:
<https://www.irit.fr/CoPains/software/>

Web interface




- Web avatar developed in collaboration with the company DAVI:

<https://cognitive-planning.schm.fr/>

Experiments

Processing time used by the brute force approach:





Experiments(cont.)


Processing time (in seconds) used by the brute force approach:

Plan size	Number of options (sports)					
	3	4	5	6	7	8
3	0.059	0.067	0.063	0.066	0.068	0.070
4	0.438	0.482	0.494	0.506	0.539	0.567
5	1.355	1.433	1.505	1.608	1.668	1.731
6	3.274	3.217	3.353	3.696	3.747	4.045



Experiments(cont.)

Comparison in performance between the brute force and the QBF approaches:





Experiments(cont.)

Comparison in performance between the brute force and the QBF approaches:

Size of the plan	Planning module processing time (seconds)	
	Brute force	QBF
4	0.464	10.015
5	2.059	10.936
6	12.610	12.343
7	61.920	12.837



Conclusions

- Our implementation demonstrates that our NP-complete fragment and the cognitive planning problem formulated in this logic are suitable for real-world applications in the domain of HMI.
- We intend to include a setting parameter in the artificial agent in order to let the system select the most convenient approach (SAT or QBF) depending on the scenario.
- We plan to extend the implemented system by speech acts of type question to capture both sides of interaction, from agent m to agent h (handled by the actual implementation) and from agent h to agent m .



Part 3 - Implementation and applications

Conversational agent for motivational interviewing



Example 2: Virtual coaching

Agent m is a virtual coaching agent which has to motivate agent h to practice a physical activity.

⇒ Agent h has to become aware of the inconsistency between her current behavior and her desires

Desires : <i>DesAtm</i>	Conditions : <i>CondAtm</i>	Actions : <i>ActAtm</i>
<i>dr</i> : "h has dietary restrictions" <i>pw</i> : "h puts on weight" <i>lw</i> : "h loses weight" <i>at</i> : "h is attractive" <i>gh</i> : "h is in good health" <i>st</i> : "h is stressed"	<i>ow</i> : "h has an office work" <i>sl</i> : "h has a sedentary life style" <i>co</i> : "h is a commuter/time in traffic"	does(h, ps^*)

**ps* : "h practices sport"



Example 2: Virtual coaching(cont.)

Agent m's model of agent h's cognitive state:

$$\alpha_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{l \in Lit} \Delta_h \text{nec}(\{l\}, l),$$

$$\alpha_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{l \in Lit} (\Delta_h \text{nec}(\emptyset, l) \leftrightarrow \Delta_h l),$$

$$\alpha_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{l \in Lit, X, X' \in LitSet: X' \subseteq X} \left(\Delta_h \text{nec}(X, l) \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{l' \in X'} \Delta_h l' \rightarrow \Delta_h \text{nec}(X \setminus X', l) \right) \right),$$

$$\alpha_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{l \in Lit, X, X' \in LitSet: X \subseteq X'} (\Delta_h \text{nec}(X, l) \rightarrow \Delta_h \text{nec}(X', l)),$$

$$\alpha_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{l \in Lit} \left((\text{des}(h, l) \leftrightarrow \Delta_h \text{des}(h, l)) \wedge (\neg \text{des}(h, l) \leftrightarrow \Delta_h \neg \text{des}(h, l)) \right),$$

$$\alpha_6 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{a \in Act} \left((\text{does}(h, a) \leftrightarrow \Delta_h \text{does}(h, a)) \wedge (\neg \text{does}(h, a) \leftrightarrow \Delta_h \neg \text{does}(h, a)) \right),$$



Example 2: Virtual coaching(cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_7 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \text{nec}(\{\neg dr, \neg pw, sl\}, \text{does}(h, ps)) \wedge \\ & \text{nec}(\{at, \neg dr\}, \text{does}(h, ps)) \wedge \\ & \text{nec}(\{sl, gh\}, \text{does}(h, ps)) \wedge \\ & \text{nec}(\{gh\}, \neg st) \wedge \\ & \text{nec}(\{co, ow\}, sl).\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg \text{does}(h, ps) \rightarrow \text{AwareIncon}(h, \neg \text{does}(h, ps)).$$

Plan computed by agent m :

Step	Informative planning problem	Interrogative planning problem
0		$?_{m,h} \text{does}(h, ps)$
1		$?_{m,h} \text{des}(h, gh), ?_{m,h} co, ?_{m,h} ow$
2	$!_{m,h}(\emptyset, \{co, ow\}, sl), !_{m,h}(\{gh\}, \{sl\}, \text{does}(h, ps))$	





Example 2: Virtual coaching(cont.)

Speaker	Utterance	Speech act
m	Do you practice a sport regularly?	? _{m,h} <i>does</i> (<i>h,ps</i>)
h	I don't	+ _m ¬ Δ_h <i>does</i> (<i>h,ps</i>)
m	Do you wish to be in good health?	? _{m,h} <i>des</i> (<i>h,gh</i>)
h	Yes	+ _m Δ_h <i>des</i> (<i>h,gh</i>)
m	Do you spend quite some time in the traffic everyday as a commuter?	? _{m,h} <i>co</i>
h	Yes	+ _m Δ_h <i>co</i>
m	Do you have an office work?	? _{m,h} <i>ow</i>
h	Yes	+ _m Δ_h <i>ow</i>
m	You spend quite some time in the traffic everyday as a commuter and you have an office work. Therefore, your life style is sedentary!	! _{m,h} ($\emptyset, \{co, ow\}, sl$)
m	Your life style is sedentary. Therefore, you will not satisfy your desire to be in good health unless you practice a sport regularly!	! _{m,h} ($\{gh\}, \{sl\}, does(h,ps)$)



Plan of the tutorial

- 1 Logical framework for cognitive planning (Emiliano)
- 2 QBF encoding of cognitive planning and ToulST platform (Frédéric)
- 3 Implementation and applications (Jorge)
- 4 **Formal extension and implementation for collaborative gaming (Dominique & Jorge) NOW!**
 - Extended logical framework and encoding of the Yōkai game
 - General architecture and implementation



Part 4 - Application to the Yōkai game

Introduction



Why Yōkai game?

Because this game includes:

- reasoning about mental states (theory of mind),
- temporal reasoning,
- spatial reasoning.






Rules of Yōkai game [Griffon, 2019]

- 4 card colors and 4 cards of each color,
- 7 hints,
- initial state: shuffled and grouped cards face down,
- target end state (goal): group cards of the same color,
- 4 kinds of action:
 - to observe (the color of) a card,
 - to move a card (face down),
 - to active a hint,
 - to mark a card with a hint,
- constraint: communication outside of actions is not allowed.



Example of a winning final configuration





A round of play

At each round of play, each player execute 4 actions (no order constraint) :

- (to observe 1 card) $\times 2$;
- to move 1 card (without creating a *separation*) ;
- to active 1 hint (x) or to mark 1 card with a hint about its color (\Rightarrow marked cards cannot be moved anymore).




What is a *separation* (between groups of cards)?

Definition (separation)

There are at least two cards that cannot be linked by a “path”

Definition (path)

A set of positions occupied by cards which are accessed only from their sides (NOT diagonally).







- an hint is a card containing 1 to 3 colors,
- “to mark a card” is to place a hint on this card,
- a card can only be marked with a hint including the color of the card,
- once marked, a card can no longer be observed, moved or marked.



Example of game in progress (m plays against h)



Cards of which m knows the color



Cards that m believes h knows the color of



End of game

- 2 ways to complete the game:
 - either because a player declares the end of the game,
 - or because there is no more hint to activate or to mark a card.

Reminder

- Each round, a hint must be activated or played (to mark a card),
- there are 7 hints,
- there are a maximum of 14 rounds of play (7 for each player).



Part 4 - Application to the Yōkai game

Formal framework extension



Temporal extension of explicit beliefs

We first define the language $\mathcal{L}_0(Atm)$ by:

$$\alpha ::= \underbrace{p^t \mid \Delta_h^t \alpha \mid now^{\geq t}}_{\mathcal{L}_0^T(Atm)} \mid \neg \alpha \mid \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \mid \Delta_m \alpha$$

where :

- p ranges over Atm ,
- p^t is read “atomic proposition p is true at time t ”,
- $now^{\geq t}$ is read “the actual time of the game play is at least t ”.



Implicit beliefs (no change)

Language $\mathcal{L}(Atm)$ is defined by:

$$\varphi ::= \alpha \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \Box_m \alpha$$

Note: only formulas from \mathcal{L}_0 can be in the scope of an \Box_m operator.



Semantics (reminder & new things)

- State: $S = (B, V)$ with
 - $B \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$ is agent m 's belief base ,
 - $V \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0^T$ is the actual situation,
- for every $t, t' \in \mathbb{N}$:
 - $now^{\geq 0} \in V$,
 - if $now^{\geq t} \in V$ and $t' \leq t$ then $now^{\geq t'} \in V$,
 - $now^{\geq t} \in V$ iff $now^{\geq t} \in B$.



Semantics (new things)

Let $S = (B, V) \in \mathbf{S}$. Then, for every $x \in \mathcal{L}_0^T(Atm)$:

$$S \models x \iff x \in V,$$

$$S \models \neg\alpha \iff S \not\models \alpha,$$

$$S \models \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \iff S \models \alpha_1 \text{ and } S \models \alpha_2,$$

$$S \models \Delta_m \alpha \iff \alpha \in B.$$



Dynamic extension

- Language $\mathcal{L}^+(Atm)$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi ::= \alpha \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid \Box_m \alpha \mid [+^t_m \alpha]\varphi,$$

- $[+^t_m \alpha]\varphi$ is read “ φ holds after agent m has privately learned that α and that the current time is at least t ”.
- $(S, Cxt) \models [+^t_m \alpha]\varphi \iff (S^{+^t_m \alpha}, Cxt) \models \varphi$ with

$$S^{+^t_m \alpha} = (B^{+^t_m \alpha}, V^{+^t_m \alpha}),$$

$$V^{+^t_m \alpha} = V \cup \{now^{\geq t'} : t' \leq t\},$$

$$B^{+^t_m \alpha} = B \cup \{\alpha\} \cup \{now^{\geq t'} : t' \leq t\}.$$



Theorems

- Checking satisfiability of $\mathcal{L}(Atm)$ formulas in the class \mathbf{M} is an NP-complete problem.
- Checking satisfiability of $\mathcal{L}^+(Atm)$ formulas in the class \mathbf{M} is an NP-complete problem.
- Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^+(Atm)$ and let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_0(Atm)$ be finite. Then
 $\Sigma \models_{\mathbf{M}} \varphi$ if and only if $\models_{\mathbf{M}} \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Box_m \alpha \rightarrow \varphi$.

(Logical consequence problem with a finite set of premises can be reduced to the satisfiability problem.)



Action selection (rappel)

- **Belief expansion events:** $Act_m = \{+^t_m \alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_0, t \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- **Executability preconditions:** $\mathcal{P}(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \in Act_m$
- **Successful occurrence of an event:** $\langle\langle +^t_m \alpha \rangle\rangle \varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P}(+^t_m \alpha) \wedge [+^t_m \alpha] \varphi$
- **Action selection problem (ASP):** $\langle \Sigma, Op, \alpha_G \rangle$ where:
 - $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_0(Atm)$ (m's belief base),
 - $Op \subset Act_m$ (m's action repertoire),
 - $\alpha_G \in \mathcal{L}_0(Atm)$ (m's goal).
- **Solution to ASP:** $\epsilon \in Op$ such that $\Sigma \models_M \langle\langle \epsilon \rangle\rangle \Box_m \alpha_G$.





Update, then revision

- Belief update : m 's explicit beliefs evolve from a time t to $t + 1$.
- Belief revision : m learns a new fact and adds it to its belief base.





Beliefs update

- $Upd(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_h, \Sigma_f) = (\Sigma'_c, \Sigma'_h, \Sigma'_f)$ if and only if:
 - $\Sigma'_c = \Sigma_c$,
 - $\Sigma'_h = incrt(\Sigma_h)$,
 - $\Sigma'_f = incrt(\Sigma_f) \cup \{now^{\geq 0}\}$.
- where for each finite $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}_0$, $incrt(X) = \{incrt(\alpha) : \alpha \in X\}$ such that:
 - $incrt(p^t) = p^{t+1}$
 - $incrt(\Delta_h^t \alpha) = \Delta_h^{t+1} incrt(\alpha)$
 - $incrt(\Delta_m \alpha) = \Delta_m incrt(\alpha)$
 - $incrt(now^{\geq t}) = now^{\geq t+1}$
 - $incrt(\neg \alpha) = \neg incrt(\alpha)$
 - $incrt(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = incrt(\alpha_1) \wedge incrt(\alpha_2)$.



Belief revision (reminder)

- $Rev(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_h, \Sigma_f, \Sigma_{input}) =$
 - $(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_h, \Sigma_f)$ if $\Sigma_c \cup \Sigma_{input}$ is not propositionally consistent ;
 - $(\Sigma'_c, \Sigma'_h, \Sigma'_f)$ otherwise, with $\Sigma'_c = \Sigma_c$, $\Sigma'_f = \bigcap_{X \in MCS(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_f, \Sigma_{input})} X$,
 $\Sigma'_h = \bigcap_{X \in MCS(\Sigma_c \cup \Sigma'_f, \Sigma_h, \emptyset)} X$.
- So:
 - Σ_c is not modified,
 - Σ_{input} is added to Σ_f (iff Σ_{input} and Σ_c are consistent),
 - belief revision satisfies minimal change for factual information.



Part 4 - Application to the Yōkai game

Logical modeling of Yōkai



Useful sets

Let be the following sets:

$$TIME = \{0, 1, \dots, end\} \text{ where } end = 56$$

$$TIME^* = TIME \setminus \{0\}$$

$$GRID = \{1, \dots, 32\} \times \{1, \dots, 32\},$$

$$IPOS = \{(l, c) \in GRID : l, c \in \{15, \dots, 18\}\},$$

$$COLORS = \{r, g, b, y\},$$

$$HINTS = 2^{COLORS} \setminus \{\{\}, \{r, g, b, y\}\},$$

$$CARDS = \{1, \dots, 16\}$$

$$CARDS^n = \{X \in 2^{CARDS} : |X| = n\} \text{ with } n \in \mathbb{N}$$



Atomic propositions

- $col_{x,c}^t$: card x is of color c at time t ,
- $pos_{x,p}^t$: card x is at position p at time t ,
- $active_h^t$: hint h is enabled at time t ,
- $mark_{x,h}^t$: card x is marked with hint h at time t ,
- $legMov_{x,p}^t$: to move card x to position p at time t is legal.



Initial factual belief base

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_f^W &= \{now^{\geq 0}\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in CARDS} \{pos_{x,\sigma(x)}^0\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ c \in COLORS}} \{\neg \Delta_m col_{x,c}^0\} \cup \\
 &\quad \bigcup_{h \in HINTS} \{\neg active_h^0\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ h \in HINTS}} \{\neg mark_{x,h}^0\} \\
 \Sigma_f^h &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_f^W} \{\Delta_h^0 \alpha\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ c \in COLORS}} \{\neg \Delta_h^0 col_{x,c}^0\} \\
 \Sigma_f &= \Sigma_f^W \cup \Sigma_f^h
 \end{aligned}$$



Initial hypothetical belief base

$$\Sigma_h = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ h \in HINTS \\ c \in COLORS \setminus h}} \{ mark_{x,h}^0 \rightarrow \neg col_{x,c}^0, \Delta_h^0 mark_{x,h}^0 \rightarrow \Delta_h^0 \neg col_{x,c}^0 \}$$

Example

1) $\Sigma_h \supseteq \{ mark_{1,\{g,r\}}^0 \rightarrow \neg col_{1,b}^0, mark_{1,\{g,r\}}^0 \rightarrow \neg col_{1,y}^0 \}$.

2) $mark_{1,\{g,r\}}^0 \in \Sigma_f$.

So, we can deduce that $\Box_m ((col_{1,g}^0 \vee col_{1,r}^0) \wedge \neg col_{1,b}^0 \wedge \neg col_{1,y}^0)$ holds.



Core belief base (integrity constraints)

■ Rules of the game:

$$\Sigma_c^{ic} = \bigcup_{t \in TIME} \left\{ \bigwedge_{x \in CARDS} \bigvee_{p \in GRID} pos_{x,p}^t, \right. \quad (ICP1)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ p, p' \in GRID: p \neq p'}} \neg(pos_{x,p}^t \wedge pos_{x,p'}^t), \quad (ICP2)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{p \in GRID \\ x, x' \in CARDS: x \neq x'}} \neg(pos_{x,p}^t \wedge pos_{x',p}^t), \quad (ICP3)$$

$$\dots \}$$



Core belief base (frame axioms)

■ Description of what does not change:

$$\text{posFA}_X^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\substack{x \in \text{CARDS} \setminus X \\ p \in \text{GRID}}} (\text{pos}_{x,p}^t \leftrightarrow \text{pos}_{x,p}^{t-1})$$

$$\text{colFA}_X^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{\substack{x \in \text{CARDS} \setminus X \\ c \in \text{COLORS}}} \left((\text{col}_{x,c}^t \leftrightarrow \text{col}_{x,c}^{t-1}) \wedge (\neg \Delta_m \text{col}_{x,c}^t \leftrightarrow \neg \Delta_m \text{col}_{x,c}^{t-1}) \right)$$

$$\text{hintFA}_H^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{h \in \text{HINTS} \setminus H} (\text{active}_h^t \leftrightarrow \text{active}_h^{t-1}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{x \in \text{CARDS} \\ h \in \text{HINTS} \setminus H}} (\text{mark}_{x,h}^t \leftrightarrow \text{mark}_{x,h}^{t-1})$$



Core belief base (successor state axioms)

■ Computation of a future state:

$$\Sigma_c^{ssa} = \bigcup_{t \in TIME^*} \left\{ \bigwedge_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ p \in GRID}} (pos_{x,p}^t \wedge \neg pos_{x,p}^{t-1} \rightarrow posFA_{\{x\}}^t \wedge colFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge hintFA_{\emptyset}^t), \right. \quad (SSA4)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ c \in COLORS}} (col_{x,c}^t \wedge \neg \Delta_m col_{x,c}^{t-1} \rightarrow posFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge colFA_{\{x\}}^t \wedge hintFA_{\emptyset}^t), \quad (SSA5)$$

$$\bigwedge_{h \in HINTS} (active_h^t \wedge \neg active_h^{t-1} \rightarrow posFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge colFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge hintFA_{\{h\}}^t), \quad (SSA6)$$

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{x \in CARDS \\ h \in HINTS}} (mark_{x,h}^t \wedge \neg mark_{x,h}^{t-1} \rightarrow posFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge colFA_{\emptyset}^t \wedge hintFA_{\{h\}}^t) \left. \right\} \quad (SSA7)$$



Core belief base

■ Finally:

$$\Sigma_c = \Sigma_c^{ic} \cup \Sigma_c^{ssa}$$





Action repertoire

■ $ACT \subseteq EVT$ of agent m is defined as follows:

$$+_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{col}_{x,c}^t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} +_{\mathbf{m}}^t \left(\text{col}_{x,c}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \text{col}_{x,c}^t \wedge \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \bigvee_{c' \in \text{COLORS}} \Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \text{col}_{x,c'}^t \right) \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{now}^{\geq t} \right)$$

$$+_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{pos}_{x,p}^t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} +_{\mathbf{m}}^t \left(\text{pos}_{x,p}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \text{pos}_{x,p}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{pos}_{x,p}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{now}^{\geq t} \right)$$

$$+_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{actHint}_h^t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} +_{\mathbf{m}}^t \left(\text{active}_h^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \text{active}_h^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{active}_h^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{now}^{\geq t} \right)$$

$$+_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{markHint}_{x,h}^t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} +_{\mathbf{m}}^t \left(\text{mark}_{x,h}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{m}} \text{mark}_{x,h}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{mark}_{x,h}^t \wedge \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}^t \text{now}^{\geq t} \right)$$



Actions preconditions

$$\mathcal{P}(+_m^{\text{col}_{x,c}^{t+1}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{now}^=t \wedge \Box_m \bigwedge_{h \in \text{HINTS}} \neg \text{mark}_{x,h}^t$$

$$\mathcal{P}(+_m^{\text{pos}_{x,p}^{t+1}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{now}^=t \wedge \Box_m \bigwedge_{h \in \text{HINTS}} \neg \text{mark}_{x,h}^t \wedge \Box_m \text{legMov}_{x,p}^t$$

$$\mathcal{P}(+_m^{\text{actHint}_h^{t+1}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{now}^=t$$

$$\mathcal{P}(+_m^{\text{markHint}_{x,h}^{t+1}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{now}^=t \wedge \Box_m \text{active}_h^t \wedge \bigvee_{c \in h} \Box_m \text{col}_{x,c}^t \wedge \Box_m \bigwedge_{\substack{h' \in \text{HINTS} \\ h' \neq h}} \neg \text{mark}_{x,h'}^t$$



Goals modeling (observe a card around X)

- goal = motivational attitude for action,
- $X \subseteq CARDS : 1 \leq |X| \leq 3$, a set of cards of the same color,

$$\alpha_{obsArroundCards(X)}^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{\substack{x' \in CARDS \\ x' \notin X}} \left(\left(\bigwedge_{c \in COLORS} \neg \Delta_m col_{x',c}^t \right) \wedge \right. \\ \left. nbgCards_{X \cup \{x'\}}^t \wedge \left(\bigvee_{c \in COLORS} \Delta_m col_{x',c}^{t+1} \right) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_g(\alpha_{obsArroundCards(X)}^t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} nbgCards_X^t$$



Goals modeling (observe a card whose color is unknown)

Let $x \in CARDS$:

$$\alpha_{obsUnknownColorCard(x)}^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{c \in COLORS} \Delta_m col_{x,c}^{t+1}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_g(\alpha_{obsUnknownColorCard(x)}^t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{c \in COLORS} \neg \Delta_m col_{x,c}^t$$





Goals modeling (observe a card randomly)

- Default goal for moving ($x \in CARDS$):

$$\alpha_{obsRandomly(x)}^t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{c \in COLORS} \Delta_m col_{x,c}^{t+1}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_g(\alpha_{obsRandomly(x)}^t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \top$$





How to manage the different goal?

- With meta algorithms generating an ordered list of goals
- $\text{getSubsetsGtLeq}(X, \tau_m, \tau_M) = \{X' \in 2^X : \tau_m < |X'| \leq \tau_M\}$

```

1: function tryToObserve( $S, \alpha_g^t$ )
2:    $success \leftarrow \text{false}$ 
3:   while  $S \neq \emptyset$  &&  $\neg success$  do
4:      $x \leftarrow \text{pop}(S)$ 
5:     if  $\mathcal{P}_g(\alpha_{g(x)}^t)$  then
6:        $success \leftarrow \text{checkPlan}(\alpha_{g(x)}^t)$ 
7:     end if
8:   end while
9:   return  $success$ 

```

10: **end function**

```

1:  $\triangleright$  Parameters computation for  $\alpha_{obsArroundCards}^t(X)$ 
2:  $S \leftarrow \{\}$ 
3: for all  $c \in COLORS$  do
4:    $X_c \leftarrow \{x \in CARDS : \Delta_m col_{x,c}^t \in \Sigma_f\}$ 
5:    $S \leftarrow S \cup \text{getSubsetsGtLeq}(X_c, 0, |X_c|)$ 
6: end for
7:  $S' \leftarrow \text{sortByDecreasingSize}(S)$ 
8:    $\triangleright \forall X_i, X_{i+1} \in S', |X_i| \geq |X_{i+1}|$ 

```



How to manage the different goal?

- 1: **if** !tryToObserve($S', \alpha_{obsArroundCards}^t$) **then**
- 2: $X \leftarrow \{x \in CARDS : \exists c \in COLORS, \Delta_m col_{x,c}^t \in \Sigma_f\}$
- 3: $X' \leftarrow CARDS \setminus X$ ▷ The set of cards whose color is unknown
- 4: **if** !tryToObserve($X', \alpha_{obsUnknownColorCard}^t$) **then**
- 5: tryToObserve($CARDS, \alpha_{obsRandomly}^t$)
- 6: **end if**
- 7: **end if**




Part 4 - Application to the Yōkai game

Implementation




System Architecture






Cards distribution



■ Source code:
<https://github.com/iritlab/yokai>



Agent m 's first round




- 1: $col_1_R_t4$ and $tm_col_1_R_t4$ and ($th_tm_col_1_G_t4$ or $th_tm_col_1_Y_t4$ or $th_tm_col_1_B_t4$ or $th_tm_col_1_R_t4$)
- 2: $col_2_B_t4$ and $tm_col_2_B_t4$ and ($th_tm_col_2_G_t4$ or $th_tm_col_2_Y_t4$ or $th_tm_col_2_B_t4$ or $th_tm_col_2_R_t4$)
- 3: $pos_3_p_11_12_t4$ and $tm_pos_3_p_11_12_t4$ and $th_pos_3_p_11_12_t4$
- 4: act_1_t4 and $tm_act_1_t4$ and $th_act_1_t4$

Σ_m at time point 4




Agent h's first round


time = 5




time = 6



time = 7



time = 8




5: (th_col_15_R_t8 or th_col_15_G_t8 or th_col_15_Y_t8 or th_col_15_B_t8)
 6: (th_col_16_R_t8 or th_col_16_G_t8 or th_col_16_Y_t8 or th_col_16_B_t8)
 7: pos_16_p_14_16_t8 and tm_pos_16_p_14_16_t8 and th_pos_16_p_14_16_t8
 8: act_6_t8 and tm_act_6_t8 and th_act_6_t8

Σ_m at time point 8



Grouping cards



2: col_2_B_t10 and tm_col_2_B_t10 and (th_tm_col_2_G_t10 or th_tm_col_2_Y_t10 or th_tm_col_2_B_t10 or th_tm_col_2_R_t10)

9: col_3_G_t10 and tm_col_3_G_t10 and (th_tm_col_3_G_t10 or th_tm_col_3_Y_t10 or th_tm_col_3_B_t10 or th_tm_col_3_R_t10)

10: col_4_B_t10 and tm_col_4_B_t10 and (th_tm_col_4_G_t10 or th_tm_col_4_Y_t10 or th_tm_col_4_B_t10 or th_tm_col_4_R_t10)

Σ at time point 10



Action selection

```
(not ([m](
  not tm_col_5_G_t10 and
  not tm_col_6_Y_t10 and
  .
  col_1_R_t10 and tm_col_1_R_t10 and (th_tm_col_1_G_t10 or th_tm_col_1_Y_t10 or th_tm_col_1_B_t10 or th_tm_col_1_R_t10) and
  col_2_B_t10 and tm_col_2_B_t10 and (th_tm_col_2_G_t10 or th_tm_col_2_Y_t10 or th_tm_col_2_B_t10 or th_tm_col_2_R_t10) and
  act_1_t10 and tm_act_1_t10 and th_act_1_t10 and
  .
  ;;SSA11
  ((pos_2_p_11_15_t11 and not pos_2_p_11_15_t10) =>
  ((pos_1_p_12_12_t11 <=> pos_1_p_12_12_t10) and ((pos_3_p_11_12_t11 <=> pos_3_p_11_12_t10) and
  ((pos_4_p_12_15_t11 <=> pos_4_p_12_15_t10) and ((pos_5_p_13_12_t11 <=> pos_5_p_13_12_t10) and
  ((pos_6_p_13_13_t11 <=> pos_6_p_13_13_t10))))))
  .
=> ([m] now_t10 and [m] (not mark_2_1_t10 and not mark_2_2_t10 and
  not mark_2_3_t10 and not mark_2_4_t10 and not mark_2_5_t10 and
  not mark_2_6_t10 and not mark_2_7_t10) and
  plus(pos_2_p_11_15_t11 and tm_pos_2_p_11_15_t11 and th_pos_2_p_11_15_t11,
  [m]((pos_2_p_11_15_t11 and pos_4_p_12_15_t11) or (pos_2_p_12_14_t11 and pos_4_p_12_15_t11) or
  (pos_2_p_12_16_t11 and pos_4_p_12_15_t11) or (pos_4_p_11_13_t11 and pos_2_p_12_13_t11) or
  (pos_4_p_12_14_t11 and pos_2_p_12_13_t11))))))
```

Planning formula for grouping blue cards 2 and 4



Belief revision

Integrity constraint ICP2


```

.
.
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_12_11_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_10_12_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_11_11_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_11_13_t11) and
.
.
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_11_15_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_12_14_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_12_16_t11) and
(not (pos_2_p_12_13_t11 and pos_2_p_13_11_t11) and

```

Initial position

Final position







Hierarchy of goals

Sets of cards known by the machine :



1	B	2	4	14
2	R	1	8	
3	G	3	5	
4	B	4	14	
5	B	2	4	
6	B	2	14	
7	Y	6		



Human round at time t




Machine round at time t+3



- m

- h



Experiments



Case 01 - Failed game



Case 02 - Failed game

Figure: Two different scenarios when players lost the game



Experiments(cont.)

Experiment set	Game	h-m		h-h	
		# 4-y-groups	Score	# 4-y-groups	Score
1	1	1	-	1	-
	2	1	-	2	-
	3	4	8	2	-
	4	1	-	2	-
	5	3	-	1	-
	6	4	8	4	9
	7	4	7	3	-
	8	2	-	2	-
	9	1	-	1	-
	10	1	-	0	-
Total:		22		18	

Table: Total # 4-y-groups in experiment set 1



Experiments(cont.)

Config. type	Experiment set									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
h-m	22	28	33	32	28	30	19	26	23	26
h-h	18	23	21	23	24	25	17	19	21	25

Table: Total # 4-y-groups by experiment set



Experiments(cont.)




Figure: Total # 4-y-groups by experiment set



Griffon, J. (2019).

Règles du jeu de Yōkai.

https://www.play-in.com/pdf/rules_games/yokai_regles_fr.pdf.