

NIN-Like Proteins; interesting Players in Rhizobia-Induced Nitrate Signaling Response during Interaction with Non-Legume Host Arabidopsis thaliana

Casandra Hernández-Reyes, Elisabeth Lichtenberg, Jean Keller, Pierre-Marc

Delaux, Thomas Ott, Sebastian Timo Schenk

▶ To cite this version:

Casandra Hernández-Reyes, Elisabeth Lichtenberg, Jean Keller, Pierre-Marc Delaux, Thomas Ott, et al.. NIN-Like Proteins; interesting Players in Rhizobia-Induced Nitrate Signaling Response during Interaction with Non-Legume Host Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 2021, 10.1094/MPMI-10-21-0261-R . hal-03460841

HAL Id: hal-03460841 https://ut3-toulouseinp.hal.science/hal-03460841

Submitted on 1 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NIN-Like Proteins; interesting Players in Rhizobia-Induced Nitrate 1 Signaling Response during Interaction with Non-Legume Host 2 Arabidopsis thaliana 3 4 Casandra Hernández-Reyes^{1,3}, Elisabeth Lichtenberg¹, Jean Keller², Pierre-Marc Delaux², and 5 Thomas Ott^{1,3}, Sebastian T. Schenk^{1*} 6 7 ¹Cell Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany 8 ²Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales, Université de Toulouse, Centre National de la 9 Recherche Scientifique, Université Paul Sabatier, INP Toulouse, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France 10 ³CIBSS – Centre of Integrative Biological Signalling Studies, University of Freiburg, 79104 11 Freiburg, Germany 12 13 *Corresponding author: Sebastian T. Schenk, 14 Email: Sebastian.Schenk@biologie.uni-freiburg.de 15 Phone: +49 761 203 2855 16 Keywords: NIN-like Proteins (NLPs), NLP-pathway, nitrate-related signaling, Non-legume host 17 18 Arabidopsis, rhizobia-interaction 19 20 Funding: This study was partially supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche 21 Forschungsgemeinschaft) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (CIBSS- EXC-2189 - Project ID 22 39093984) and through a grant to the University of Cambridge by the Bill & Melinda Gates 23 Foundation (OPP1172165) and UK government's Department for International Development 24 (DFID). The Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales (LRSV) laboratory belongs to the

25 TULIP Laboratoire d'Excellence (ANR-10-LABX-41).

26

27 Abstract

28 Nitrogen (N) is an essential macronutrient and a key cellular messenger. Plants have evolved 29 refined molecular systems to sense the cellular nitrogen status. This is exemplified by the root 30 nodule symbiosis between legumes and symbiotic rhizobia, where nitrate availability inhibits this 31 mutualistic interaction. Additionally, nitrate also functions as a metabolic messenger, resulting in 32 nitrate signaling cascades which intensively cross-talk with other physiological pathways. 33 (NODULE INCEPTION)-LIKE PROTEINS (NLPs) are key players in nitrate signaling and regulate 34 nitrate-dependent transcription during legume-rhizobia interactions. Nevertheless. the 35 coordinated interplay between nitrate signaling pathways and rhizobacteria-induced responses 36 remains to be elucidated. In our study, we investigated rhizobia-induced changes in the root 37 system architecture of the non-legume host Arabidopsis under different nitrate conditions. We 38 demonstrate that rhizobium-induced lateral root growth and increased root hair length and density 39 are regulated by a nitrate-related signaling pathway. Key players in this process are AtNLP4 and 40 AtNLP5, since the corresponding mutants failed to respond to rhizobia. At the cellular level, 41 AtNLP4 and AtNLP5 control a rhizobia-induced decrease in cell elongation rates, while additional 42 cell divisions occurred independently of AtNLP4. In summary, our data suggest that root 43 morphological responses to rhizobia are coordinated by a newly considered nitrate-related NLP-44 pathway that is evolutionary linked to regulatory circuits described in legumes.

45

Keywords: NIN-like Proteins (NLPs), NLP-pathway, nitrate-related signaling, Non-legume host
Arabidopsis, rhizobia-interaction

48

50 Introduction

51 Nitrogen, as a plant macronutrient and limiting factor for plant growth, is highly demanded in 52 agriculture. Thus, organic and synthetic nitrogen fertilizers are essential for productive farming 53 (Vitousek et al., 2009). The advancement of fertilizer usage and the need for sustainable solutions 54 drives research in two crucial perspectives (i) the molecular investigation of nitrate 55 sensing/signaling of plants, to improve and understand nitrogen use -efficiency and -processing 56 and (ii) the study of rhizospheric bacteria, that are important for the mineralization of nitrogen in 57 the soil, but could also associate with plants and influence plant nitrogen consumption and uptake. 58 In this context, nitrogen-fixing (diazotrophic) bacteria play a key role in making dinitrogen gas 59 available for plants in the rhizosphere (Carvalho et al., 2014; Rosenblueth et al., 2018). Besides 60 the endosymbiotic nitrogen fixation with rhizobia species and their specialized legume host, there 61 are several free-living, associated, and/or endophytic rhizobacteria that interact with non-legume 62 plant hosts and promote plant growth (Hardoim et al., 2008; Van Deynze et al., 2018). These 63 mutualistic bacterial interactions facilitate biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), and further promote 64 direct N uptake (Carvalho et al., 2014). Within the group of soil-borne beneficial bacteria, several 65 isolates of bacteria are collectively called Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR). Over 66 the last decade a great number of PGPRs have been identified and studied in detail not only for 67 their potential to promote plant growth, but also for their induction of biotic and abiotic stress 68 tolerance (Vacheron et al., 2013; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2015; Verbon and Liberman, 2016; 69 Etesami and Maheshwari, 2018). The mode of action of these PGPRs are mechanistically diverse 70 and far from being completely understood. However, plant growth hormone-signaling, and here 71 specifically auxin and ethylene, are likely to be involved in driving rhizobacterial effects on plant 72 physiology. Accordingly, plant hormones modulate root developmental changes, such as bacteria-73 induced inhibition of primary root length, positive effects on lateral root formation and root hair 74 development, as well as inhibition of cell division and cell elongation within the root apical 75 meristem and differentiation zone (Zamioudis et al., 2013; Wintermans et al., 2016; de Zelicourt

76 et al., 2018). These effects are often achieved by the secretion of plant hormone analogues 77 directly produced by the rhizobacteria or by influencing endogenously plant growth hormone 78 balance and signaling, causing plant developmental effects, as summarized previously 79 (Tsukanova et al., 2017). Moreover, these processes are often co-regulated by nutritional 80 availability and nutritional signaling. For example, the PGPR Enterobacter radicincitans induced 81 growth promotion and colonization increased under high-nitrogen conditions in tomato. 82 Reciprocally, reduced N fertilization led to altered plant hormone signaling and consequently to 83 an inhibition of these PGPR-effects (Berger et al., 2013). In addition, inoculation of Arabidopsis 84 thaliana (Arabidopsis) with a mixture of PGPR Bacillus spp. induced plant growth promotion 85 effects by enhancing N uptake and chlorophyll content, which in turn influences nutritional 86 signaling by a bacterial-induced transcriptional induction of nitrate and ammonium transporter 87 genes (Calvo et al., 2019).

88 Over the last decade key players regulating N- homeostasis and signaling have been identified 89 (Wang et al., 2018; Fredes et al., 2019). These include low- and high- affinity transporter protein families in roots such as the NITRATE-TRANSPORTER 1/PEPTIDE-TRANSPORTER FAMILY 90 91 (NPF, also known as CHL1 and NRT1) and the NITRATE-TRANSPORTER 2 family (NRT2) 92 (Krapp et al., 2014; O'Brien et al., 2016). Members of both protein families are transcriptionally 93 regulated and functionally dependent on the plants' nutritional N-status. For example, the high-94 affinity-transporting-systems NRT2.1 and NRT2.2 are induced after nitrate uptake (Cerezo et al., 95 2001). Examples for root-expressed high nitrate transporters are NRT1.1 (NPF6.3) (dual-affinity 96 transporter) and NRT1.2 (NPF4.6) (Wang et al., 2018). Interestingly, it has been postulated that 97 NRT1.1 is also able to transport auxin and consequently regulates lateral root growth in 98 Arabidopsis (Krouk et al., 2010; Bouguyon et al., 2016; Maghiaoui et al., 2020). Its auxin 99 transporting activity depends on the availability of exogenous nitrate in a concentration-dependent 100 manner. In nitrate-rich patches, auxin accumulates in lateral roots and induces root emergence 101 and elongation, whereas auxin is depleted in sites with low nitrate availability resulting in reduced

overall root growth (Remans et al., 2006; Mounier et al., 2014). Notably, root system architecture,
and here primarily lateral- and primary- root length, are stimulated during moderate but
homogenous nitrate availability, but inhibited under excess conditions (Jia and Wiren, 2020). This
indicates that different signaling cascades are activated in fluctuating nitrate conditions resulting
in adaptive physiological and morphological processes.

107 The most studied nitrogen-signaling cascade is the so called Primary Nitrate Response (PNR). 108 which describes the response to nitrate resupply after depletion (Medici and Krouk, 2014). This 109 signaling pathway is rapidly activated upon nitrate perception and results in transcriptional 110 changes within minutes. The PNR is directly regulated by transcription factors like the RWP-RK 111 transcription factor NIN-like Protein7 (NLP7) (Bellegarde et al., 2017). The Arabidopsis genome 112 contains nine NIN-like Proteins (NLPs), with all of them comprising the RWP-RK motif, enabling 113 DNA binding, and an additional PB- domain (Protein Binding) (Chardin et al., 2014). 114 Transcriptional activation of nitrate-related genes and binding capacity of RWP-RK motif to Nitrate-115 Responsive cis-Elements (NRE) have been shown for several NLPs of Arabidopsis (Konishi and 116 Yanagisawa, 2013). In addition, NLPs comprise a highly conserved nitrate-responsive domain 117 (NRD), which determines nitrate perception and signal transmission (Mu and Luo, 2019). In 118 Arabidopsis, an NLP function is described for AtNLP8 and AtNLP6/AtNLP7 complex. AtNLP8 is a 119 designated master regulator in nitrate-induced seed germination mediating catabolism of abscisic 120 acid (Yan et al., 2016), while AtNLP7 functions as a key regulator in PNR (Castaings et al., 2009). 121 Recently, AtNLP2 is postulated to have a redundant role in nitrate signaling and vegetative growth 122 of Arabidopsis (Konishi et al., 2021). Interestingly, NLP6 and NLP7 form heterodimers via the PB 123 domain and are suggested to interact in complex with the transcription factor TEOSINTE 124 BRANCHED1/CYCLOIDEA/PROLIFERATING CELL FACTOR1-20 (TCP20) in the nucleus and 125 regulate meristem growth under nitrate starvation condition (Guan et al., 2017). Also, other NLPs 126 can form heterodimers that are proposed to play a role in the complexity of nitrate-related signaling

pathways, as exemplified for AtNLP4 and AtNLP5 (Konishi and Yanagisawa, 2019; Hsin et al.,2021).

129 Root nodule nitrogen-fixing symbiosis is evolutionary retained in different species of the Nitrogen-130 Fixing- (NFN) clade (Fabales, Rosales, Cucurbitales, Fagales), where it is established between 131 several species and bacteria collectively named rhizobia (in Fabales and the Rosales species 132 Parasponia and ersonii) or Frankia, which enables them to access atmospheric dinitrogen after its 133 fixation and conversion to ammonium by the symbiont (Werner et al., 2015; Griesmann et al., 134 2018; van Velzen et al., 2018). In this process, one of the central transcriptional regulators is the 135 Nodule-INception protein (NIN), which was originally identified in Lotus japonicus (Schauser et al., 136 1999). Different to other NLPs, the Nitrate-responsive domain at the N-terminal region of legume 137 NIN protein is only partially conserved, which explain the loss of direct nitrate responsiveness of 138 NIN and thus may represent an evolutionary adaptation of legumes to maintain this mutualistic 139 interaction (Suzuki et al., 2013; Mu and Luo, 2019). While the binding capacities of NIN and NLPs 140 to NRE sequences are similar (Suzuki et al., 2013), it should be noted that the functionality of root-141 nodule symbiosis is strictly regulated by the availability of nitrate. Furthermore, this mechanism is 142 also regulated via NLP functions as exemplified by the Nitrate unResponsive SYMbiosis 1 143 (NRSYM1) and NRSYM2 in Lotus japonicus (Nishida et al., 2018; Nishida et al., 2021). Recently, 144 these two LiNLPs were shown to have distinct roles in nitrate signaling, and, moreover, influence 145 nitrate induced inhibition of nodulation on levels of symbiotic-gene regulation (Nishida et al., 2021). 146 The LjNLP4 (NRSYM1)- LjNIN interaction complex accumulates in the nucleus in response to high 147 nitrate conditions and regulates transcription of the CLE-RS2 peptide, which in turn negatively 148 controls nodule numbers. Remarkably, expression of the Arabidopsis AtNLP6 and AtNLP7 149 rescued the nodulation phenotype of the *nrsym1* mutant, suggesting a functional conservation of 150 NLPs in legumes and non-legumes plants (Nishida et al., 2018). In Medicago truncatula 151 (Medicago), MtNLP1 was reported to mediate nitrate signaling and to re-localize from the cytosol 152 to the nucleus under high nitrate conditions. Subsequently, complex formation between MtNLP and MtNIN proteins in the nucleus suppresses the activation of the root-nodule symbiosis marker genes *CRE1* and *NF-YA* and thus induces the nitrate-dependent inhibition of nodulation (Lin et al., 2018).

156 Analyses of different natural soil samples indicates that the Arabidopsis rhizosphere also contains 157 about 4% bacteria from the Rhizobiales order (Garrido-Oter et al., 2018) and effects of rhizobia 158 on Arabidopsis root development have already been described. For example, the nodulating 159 Rhizobium sp. IRBG74 inhibits root development of Arabidopsis by impacting auxin signaling via 160 a change in transcriptional activation of auxin responsive genes (Zhao et al., 2017). Similarly, 161 Mesorhizobium loti (M. loti), the natural symbiont of L. japonicus, inhibits Arabidopsis primary root 162 growth in an auxin-dependent manner, whereas an auxin-independent increase in root hair 163 formation was observed (Poitout et al., 2017). Furthermore, the Medicago symbiont Sinorhizobium 164 meliloti (S. meliloti) induces rapid transcriptional reprogramming of defense genes in Arabidopsis 165 cortex cells followed by late responses of stress-related genes in the pericycle, a possible stress 166 adaptation response resulting in an increased number of lateral roots (Walker et al., 2017).

167 Here, we investigated alterations of the Arabidopsis root system architecture upon inoculation with 168 the widely used and well-characterized M. loti and S. meliloti with respect to nitrate availability and 169 nitrate-related signaling. For our investigations we selected these two model rhizobia to adhere 170 with the bacterial point of view as close as possible to symbiotic abilities on plant infection 171 processes. We demonstrate that rhizobial effects on the Arabidopsis root architecture are initiated 172 by a nitrate-related signaling pathway, specifically involving NIN-like proteins. Our study highlights 173 the importance of nutrient-dependent signaling during bacterial-plant interactions and shed further 174 light on the complex interplay between plant endogenous signaling and bacterial connectivity.

175

177 **Results**

178 Rhizobia associate with the root surface of Arabidopsis seedlings

179 Following the findings that rhizobia and other diazotrophic bacteria are able to colonize the 180 endosphere of non-legume roots by entering via natural openings such as sites of lateral root 181 emergence (de Zelicourt et al., 2018; Schneijderberg et al., 2018), we assessed the colonization 182 patterns of rhizobia on Arabidopsis roots. For this, Arabidopsis plants were grown vertically in vitro 183 and inoculated with fluorescently labelled *M. loti* for three days. Bacterial biofilms formed along 184 the root epidermis (Fig. 1a) with enrichments at the root cap and the root elongation zone (Fig. 185 1d). Similar colonization patterns of bacteria were observed on plants growing on high-and low-186 nitrate medium (Fig. 1b, c). Furthermore, rhizobia accumulated at sites of lateral root emergence 187 (Fig. 1e) and invaded the space of these natural epidermal openings (Fig. 1f). However, clear 188 signs of intercellular colonization in further tissues were not observed. Thus, rhizobia associated 189 with the non-legume Arabidopsis by establishing an interaction on the outside of the plant root, 190 independent of nitrate-availability conditions.

191

192 Rhizobia affect plant root development

193 As several soil-borne bacteria are known to alter the plant root architecture, thus enabling plants 194 to better access nutrients directly or indirectly, we tested whether M. loti and S. meliloti -effects 195 are influenced by the availability of exogenous nitrate. For this, we transferred 10-days old 196 Arabidopsis seedlings onto plant medium with either high nitrate (5mM KNO₃) or nitrate starvation 197 (0.1mM KNO₃) conditions and additionally inoculated these plants with either S. meliloti or M. loti 198 for 3 days. The number and length of lateral roots significantly increased when inoculating plants 199 grown under high nitrate conditions with rhizobia compared to the non-inoculated control (Fig. 2a-200 e) while the primary root length was unaffected by this treatment (Fig. 2f). Nitrate deprivation fully 201 abolished the rhizobial effect on lateral root growth (Fig. 2d, e) and resulted in a moderate increase

in the primary root length, which was independent of the presence of bacteria (Fig. 2f). These interaction responses are in contrast to observations in legumes, where a functional root-nodule symbiosis is strictly established only in limiting nitrate conditions.

205 Among other cells, root hairs can serve as primary contact sites and can be altered by 206 rhizobacteria (Poitout et al., 2017; de Zelicourt et al., 2018). Thus, we investigated root hair 207 development along young segments of the primary root. Here, we decided to inoculate rhizobia 208 as early as 7 days post germination, since root hairs develop faster than lateral roots. Furthermore, 209 we included a low-nitrate condition (0.25 mM) to further assess nutrient-limiting conditions rather 210 than nutrient stress responses. As expected, nitrate starvation led to a significant increase in root 211 hair length (Fig. 3a-b, h) and number (Fig. 3g) even in the absence of rhizobia, as published earlier 212 (Vatter et al., 2015). While the presence of S. meliloti moderately affected root hair patterns (Fig. 213 3c-d, g-h), a significant induction of root hair growth was observed upon inoculation with M. loti 214 under high (5 mM) and low (0.25 mM) nitrate conditions. No rhizobial effects on root hairs were 215 found under nitrate-starvation conditions (0.1mM nitrate) in comparison to non-treated plants (Fig. 216 3e-h). Therefore, we continued experiments on rhizobial effects on high-(5mM) and low-(0.25mM) 217 nitrate conditions rather than observing nutrient stress conditions at 0.1mM nitrate. To further test 218 the impact of rhizobia on root hair growth, we examined root hair-specific marker gene expression 219 in roots treated with rhizobia under different nitrate conditions. Indeed, rhizobia tended to induce 220 the morphogenetic root-hair genes RHD6-LIKE4 (RSL4), and EXTENSIN11 (EXT11), but not 221 ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE6 (RHD6) (Fig. 3). Overall, our results show that M. loti treatments were 222 particularly pronounced under high-nitrate conditions. These data demonstrate that Arabidopsis 223 root architecture and morphology are affected by rhizobia in a similar way as nitrate impacts root 224 modifications.

226 Rhizobia influence nitrate-related gene expression

227 To continue analyzing rhizobia-induced root modifications and its impact on nitrate-dependent 228 signaling responses, we investigated nitrate-related gene expression in roots treated with rhizobia. 229 Due to the fact that nitrate conditions impact distinct set of genes, we studied NRT transceptors 230 that have been reported to be up-regulated also in low-nitrate conditions and improve nitrate use 231 efficiency (Bouguyon et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2019). Although NRT2.1 and NRT1.1 were induced 232 under low-nitrate conditions, their expression was further increased in samples treated with 233 rhizobia, for NRT1.1 even in high-nitrate conditions (Fig 4a, b). By contrast, expression of the 234 starvation marker gene NRT2.4 that was reported to be transcriptionally induced in low-nitrate 235 conditions (Kiba et al., 2012) was not modified by rhizobia (Fig. 4c).

236 Transcriptionally activated NIN-like proteins are known to play a role in nitrate signaling during 237 rhizobia-legume interactions. These proteins suppress gene expression by either directly binding 238 to NIN-proteins or by competitive binding to promotors of symbiosis-responsive genes (Lin et al., 239 2018; Nishida et al., 2018). Large-scale phylogenetic analysis of the NLP family revealed their 240 conservation in land plants (Schauser et al., 2005). Furthermore, different duplication events led 241 to three NLP-clades that evolved prior to the divergence of seed plants (Fig. S2 and S3). As NLP7 242 (NIN -like protein 7) was identified as key regulator during the primary nitrate response in 243 Arabidopsis and accumulates as a heteromeric complex with NLP6 and TCP20 in the nucleus 244 during nitrate-starvation responses (Guan, 2017), we decided to analyze transcriptional activation 245 of NLPs in our experimental conditions (Fig. 4d-f), (Fig. S4). While NLPs are not transcriptionally 246 up-regulated during nutritional signaling per se (Chardin et al., 2014), we detected a significant 247 induction of NLP4 and NLP5 expression in roots inoculated with M. loti in high-nitrate and S. 248 *meliloti* in low-nitrate conditions (Fig. 4e, f). However, other NLPs were not influenced by the 249 presence of rhizobia (Fig. S4). Additionally, genes involved in nitrate metabolism such as NIA1 250 and NIA2 (nitrate reductase), and NIR1 (nitrite reductase), which are regulated during nitrate 251 responses, were also found to be induced upon rhizobial inoculation of plants grown under highnitrate, but not under low nitrate conditions (Fig. 4g-i). These results suggest that rhizobia
intervene nitrate-dependent signaling by differentially regulating nitrate-related marker genes in
distinct nitrate responses.

255

M. loti-induced root morphology changes are controlled by NLP4 and NLP5

257 As expression patterns of nitrate-related genes in rhizobia treated root samples indicate a distinct nitrate-dependent signaling during rhizobium-induced root modifications, we further tested the 258 259 genetic dependency of our observations on root development using different nitrate signaling 260 mutants. Here, the most obvious *M. loti* -induced phenotype, the impact on root hair development 261 at the primary root, was selected as experimental readout. Due to the importance of transceptors 262 (NRTs) during nitrate -transport, -sensing and -signaling in roots, we studied the impact of M. loti 263 inoculation on nrt1.1-5 (chl1-5) and nrt2.1 mutant backgrounds (Fig. S5). The nrt2.1 mutant 264 exhibited wild-type responses towards the presence of rhizobia (Fig. S5c-d). This was different in 265 the *nrt1-1* mutant that already showed a nitrate-independent reduced root hair formation 266 phenotype under control conditions that was not rescued by the application of rhizobia (Fig. S5a-267 b). We then investigated downstream roles of the NLP master regulators during rhizobia-268 Arabidopsis interactions. For this, we obtained independent T-DNA insertion mutant alleles for 269 NLP6 and NLP7 (Castaings et al., 2009) and analyzed root hair development in these mutant 270 plants. Under control conditions (uninoculated, high-nitrate condition) nlp6 and nlp7 mutants 271 already developed more root hairs compared to the wild-type, while mutants responded to the 272 presence of rhizobia in a wild-type-like and nitrate-independent manner (Fig. 5a-b). Due to the 273 striking importance of the Nodule-Inception Protein NIN during root nodule symbioses and in line 274 with the *M. loti*-induced expression of *AtNLP4* and *AtNLP5* expression in Arabidopsis (Fig. 4), we 275 investigated the NLP-clade NLP1-NLP5 (closely related to the clade containing NIN from legumes 276 such as Medicago) (Fig. S3). Mutants of this NIN-related gene family in Arabidopsis, specifically 277 *nlp1*, *nlp4* and *nlp5*, responded to nitrate limitation by increased root hair formation as observed

278 for the wild-type control (Fig. S6, Fig. 5c-d, Fig. S7a-b). However, and in contrast to the nlp1 279 mutant, *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutants failed to induce pronounced root hair development upon application 280 of M. loti (Fig. 5c-d, Fig. S7a-b). Furthermore, the rhizobial effects of induced lateral root 281 emergence and overall lateral root length were also lost in *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutants (Fig. S7c-d). To 282 further clarify the involvement of NLP4 and NLP5, we generated two constitutive over expression 283 lines of genomic NLP4 and NLP5 into the respective mutant backgrounds. Two independent lines 284 of genotype clearly showed rhizobia effects and were able to complement the nlp4 and nlp5 285 mutations (Fig. 5e, f, Fig. S7d). Following up on the significant phenotypes of *nlp4* and *nlp5* we 286 further examined rhizobia-induced gene expression in these mutants (Fig. S8). Interestingly, the 287 elevated transcription of nitrate-related genes in rhizobia treated samples as observed in wild-type 288 plants (Fig. 4) was lost in nlp4 and nlp5 mutants (Fig. S8a-b). However, root hair development 289 marker gene expression of RSL4 and EXT11 were still partially elevated in nlp4 and nlp5, 290 respectively (Fig. S8c-d). In summary, the root-development response to nitrate is not impaired in 291 these mutants, while *nlp4* and *nlp5* fail to induce distinct rhizobia-induced nitrate-related signaling 292 responses. Thus, these data suggest the presence of an NLP4/5-dependent signaling pathway in 293 Rhizobia-Arabidopsis interactions, similar to nitrate-dependent regulation of symbioses.

294

295 *M. loti* alters cell proliferation and elongation patterns in the root tip

296 Besides nitrate being a signaling component during root development it also influences cell 297 divisions at the root apical meristem and subsequent cell cycle progression (Guan et al., 2017; 298 Gigli-Bisceglia et al., 2018). Similar effects were also described for treatments with PGPRs, which 299 can influence root architecture via hormonal cross-talks (Verbon and Liberman, 2016). Since root 300 hair development at the primary root is determined by the cell fate at the apical meristem 301 (Vissenberg et al., 2020), we decided to test possible impacts of *M. loti* on Arabidopsis root cell 302 patterning. For this, we transferred 7 days-old Arabidopsis seedlings on plant medium with high-303 or low- nitrate, inoculated them with M. loti for 3 days and stained root cell membranes with the

304 dye FM4-64 to visualize cell morphology and to quantify cell numbers (Fig. 6a-d). In wild-type 305 Arabidopsis plants, the average length of the first five cortex cells above the root transition zone 306 significantly decreased under high-but not under low-nitrate conditions in the presence of M. loti 307 (Fig. 6e), whereas this effect was not observed in *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutant plants (Fig. 6f, g). This 308 shorted elongation zone implies a bacteria-induced and NLP4/5-dependent early differentiation in 309 high-nitrate conditions, and could explain the observed effects on root hair density on the primary 310 root tip (Fig. 3h and 5c, d). To quantify the meristem size, we counted the cell number from the 311 quiescent center until the transition zone (first doubling of cell size) in the cortex cell layer along a 312 longitudinal axis. Cortical cell numbers were found to be increased by M. loti in both wild-type and 313 nlp4 mutant plants, while this rhizobial effect was lost in nlp5 mutants (Fig. 6h-j). This was 314 accompanied by increased transcription of the cell cycle-related cyclin D3 (CYCD3;1) (Fig. 6h), 315 but not of CYCB1:1 (Fig. 6i). Since CYCD3:1 is expressed during the meiotic G1/S phase of the 316 cell cycle, while CYCB1;1 serves as a marker for a prolonged G2 phase, we conclude that cortical 317 cell division rates are induced by M. loti. Overall, we demonstrate that rhizobium-induced signaling 318 influences cell cycle progression and root cell elongation in a NLP5-dependent manner.

319

320 Discussion

321 Gene regulatory networks control plant responses to different nutrient conditions, leading to 322 modifications of the root architecture. In natural soils, plant roots are additionally challenged by a 323 great diversity of beneficial and pathogenic microbes and the plants' overall nutrient status 324 determines the responsiveness to these microbes (Shahzad and Amtmann, 2017; Singh et al., 325 2019). Among them are rhizobia, which have been mostly investigated in frame of the root-nodule 326 symbiosis, a process that ultimately results in the ability of these bacteria to fix atmospheric 327 dinitrogen gas. This mutualistic interaction strictly depends on the unavailability of nitrate and is 328 immediately terminated upon resupply of inorganic nitrate to the host plant (van Noorden et al., 329 2016). While the root-nodule symbioses interaction mostly relies on a highly strain-specific

interaction with a narrow host range, microbiome studies revealed the presence of rhizobia in the
rhizosphere or as endophytes in roots of non-legume plants, as well as nitrate serving as a
regulating factor mediating the microbial composition on Arabidopsis roots (Konishi et al., 2017;
Garrido-Oter et al., 2018).

334 In this manuscript, we investigated rhizobium-induced root morphology changes and their 335 dependence on nitrate availability and nitrate-related signaling cascades in Arabidopsis. We 336 demonstrate that rhizobia affect lateral root emergence and -elongation (Fig. 2) and increase root 337 hair density and length (Fig. 3, 5). Interestingly, these effects were found to be pronounced in high 338 nitrate conditions and reduced or even abolished in low-nitrate conditions (Fig. 2, 3). In contrast 339 to the inhibitory effects of nitrate on root-nodule symbiosis, our findings imply a supporting nitrate-340 dependent signaling and suggest an underlying complex crosstalk between nutrient-related 341 signaling and rhizobia-induced responses on non-legume plants.

342 It is well documented that nitrate starvation is accompanied by stimulated lateral root emergence 343 and primary root elongation, while excess nitrate leads to root growth inhibition (Jia and Wiren, 344 2020). In line with this, the presence of PGPRs on Arabidopsis roots stimulates a low-nitrate 345 response. This can be exemplified by the PGPR *Phyllobacterium* STM196 that positively 346 influences root architecture under high-nitrate conditions. These rhizobacteria did not directly alter 347 the N-status in leaves or root nitrate uptake, but induced the expression of nitrate starvation 348 marker genes such as AtNRT2.5 and AtNRT2.6 (Mantelin et al., 2006) that are also postulated to 349 play a central role in bacterial-induced growth promotion (Kechid et al., 2013). This is in agreement 350 with our results on nitrate-influenced M. loti-induced root hair development (Fig. 3, 5, S7). Indeed, 351 one interesting aspect is the impact of rhizobia on nitrate starvation signaling (Fig. 4a-c), similarly 352 to nitrate-induced inhibition circuits in legume symbiosis. However, our data indicate a rather 353 specific rhizobium-induced high-nitrate signaling in plants, comprising NRT1.1, and nitrate 354 metabolic gene regulations (Fig. 4a, g-i). Furthermore, the effectiveness of *M. loti* influenced root 355 modifications (Fig. 3) can be explained by this specific rhizobium-induced high-nitrate

356 transcriptional response, which specifically involves differential expression of the transcription 357 factors NLP4 and NLP5 (Fig. 4e, f, Fig. 5e, f) and directs to a positive regulatory mechanism of an 358 NLP-dependent pathway (Fig. 7). In line with this, the corresponding *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutants failed 359 to morphologically respond to the presence of rhizobia at the primary root tip (Fig. 5, S7) and lose 360 rhizobia-induced nitrate-related gene expression (Fig. S8a, b). These results are of particular 361 interest, considering the evolutionary proximity of AtNLP4 and AtNLP5 to the legume gene NIN, 362 and their co-orthologs legume genes MtNLP1, LiNLP4 and LiNLP1 that are essential for controlling 363 nitrate-dependent root nodule symbiosis (Schauser et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2018; Nishida et al., 364 2018; Mu and Luo, 2019; Nishida et al., 2021). Thus, the response to rhizobia could be trait shared 365 within the NLP clade (NLP1-5, angiosperms) and the Eudicots duplication leading to NLP4/5 and 366 NLP1/2/3 could have later allowed the possible neofunctionalization of NIN for root nodule 367 symbiosis (Fig. S2, S3). Additionally, we demonstrated a NLP4/NLP5-dependent and rhizobium-368 induced stalling of cell elongation but increased cell division rates at the proximal part of the root 369 elongation zone (Fig. 6), which suggests an NLP-dependent (nitrate related) -signaling in the root 370 elongation zone and directs to an earlier differentiation of roots treated with rhizobia (Fig. 7). In 371 line with this hypothesis, cell wall integrity and meristem cell progression are postulated to depend 372 on nitrate reductase function and endogenous cytokinin homeostasis in roots tips (Gigli-Bisceglia 373 et al., 2018). In addition, morphological changes also coincided with an enhanced activation of the 374 cell-cycle marker gene CYCB1;1 (Trinh et al., 2018). Reciprocally, the application of 375 Pseudomonas putida WCS417 on Arabidopsis resulted in an inhibition of root growth, which was 376 likely caused by reduced cell elongation and driven by an observed activation of CYCB1;1 in 377 inoculated samples (Zamioudis et al., 2013). While M. loti induced similar effects on cell 378 proliferation we observed an induced expression of CYCD1:3 but not of CYCB1:1 indicative for 379 an accelerated cell progression into the G1 phase (Fig. 6). Such induction of D-like cyclins (here: 380 CYCD1, D2 and D3) was also reported in rice seedlings when treated with S. meliloti (Wu et al., 381 2018).

382 The importance of nitrate-regulated transcription factors during plant root development has been 383 extensively described. For example, the bZIP transcription factors TGA1 and TGA4 control nitrate-384 induced lateral root formation (Alvarez et al., 2014) and root hair cell fate, latter by regulating the 385 root hair cell-specific gene CAPRICE (CPC) (Canales et al., 2017). Furthermore, a meta-analysis 386 indicates nitrate regulation of root hair growth by bZIP and G2- transcription factors coordinated 387 auxin signaling response (Canales et al., 2014). Interestingly, the NRT1.1-TGA1/4 nitrate 388 signaling complex additionally controls root hair density and trichoblast cell length (Canales et al., 389 2017). Besides rhizobia-induced NRT1.1 regulation (Fig. 4a), we also assume that NRT1.1 390 controls rhizobia-induced changes in trichoblast patterning (Fig.S5). However, mutation in the 391 NRT1.1 locus affects already root hair development in high and low nitrate conditions (control 392 conditions) and may thus mask rhizobial responses. By contrast, nlp4 and/or nlp5 mutants are still 393 responsive to nitrate limitation and lose the rhizobial impact on root morphogenesis (Fig. 5 and 394 S7). Overall, these results reveal new promising NLP candidates and potential transcription factors 395 regulating rhizobia-induced nitrate-related signaling responses in Arabidopsis. Still, specific 396 functions of NLP4 and NLP5, including protein complex formation in the nucleus and the activation 397 of target genes, within the crosstalk signaling of nitrate availability adaptation processes and 398 rhizobia-induced responses need to be further investigated, however our results clearly point to a 399 so far uncovered regulatory circuit.

Taken together, we understand the investigated bacterial-plant interaction as a complex signaling pathway between nutritional sensing followed by metabolic progressing in crosstalk with bacteria interaction and stress-induced responses, which finally results in adaptive developmental processes. Being fully aware of the well-documented crosstalk between nitrate signaling and growth hormones (Takei et al., 2004; Vidal et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2014; Naulin et al., 2020), we consider the observed rhizobia-induced nitrate responses as early signaling events after bacterial perception, which finally lead to downstream fine-tuning of growth hormone effects (Fig. 7). Future

- 407 research in this line will contribute to the development of bacterial inoculums as effective and408 sustainable solutions in agriculture.
- 409

410 Materials and Methods

411 Plant growth conditions and root observations

412 Arabidopsis thaliana wild type ecotype Columbia (Col-0), Wassilewskija (WS) and knockout 413 mutant seeds were surface sterilized with 50% ethanol/0.5% Tritron X-100 and briefly washed with 414 95% ethanol. Seeds for mutant plants nlp4 (N563595), nlp5 (N527488), and nlp1 (N584809) were 415 provided by The Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC) and homozygosity for T-DNA 416 insertion was validated by PCR with primers designed by SALK Institute Genomic Analysis 417 Laboratory. Seeds were germinated on half-strength Murashige-Skoog- medium (1/2 MS) after 418 stratification at 10°C for 3 days and grown horizontally for 7-days/10-days (as indicated) at 22°C 419 with 150µmol/m²/s light in a 12/12-h day/night photoperiod. After germination seedlings were 420 transferred and inoculated with bacteria on nitrate-variable plant medium containing 421 5mM/0.25mM/0.1mM (as indicated) KNO₃ or 5mM KCI (in low-nitrate conditions KCI was used to 422 buffer ion concentrations), 1mM KH₂PO₄, 0.5 mM CaSO₄, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM MES, pH 5.8, 423 0.25% (w/v) sucrose and 0,1% micronutrients (Scheible et al., 2004) including 1% ferric citrate, 424 supplemented with 0.9% Phyto-Agar and grown vertically for 3 days. Root modifications were 425 quantified on images photographed with scale bar and analyzed on Image J Software. For root 426 hair quantifications pictures were taken using a stereomicroscope and root hairs were measured 427 and counted in 5 mm from the start of the differentiation zone (first root hair appearance). Data 428 were averaged from at least 7 root samples and experiments were performed at least 3 times 429 independently.

431 Bacteria growth conditions

432 Sinorhizobium meliloti strain Sm2011, tetracycline resistant with mCherry as fluorescent marker, 433 and Mesorhizobium loti strain MAFF, gentamycin resistant with dsRED as fluorophore, were used 434 for bacterial treatments. For each experiment bacteria were freshly plated on TY-medium and 435 incubated for 3 days at 28°C. Afterwards the inoculum culture was grown in 5 ml liquid TY-medium 436 for 48h. For inoculation, bacteria were pelleted, resuspended in sterile H₂O and diluted to a final 437 OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. Ten µl of bacteria solution per root were used to treat Arabidopsis seedling and 438 primary root length was marked after inoculation. For reproducibility the timing of bacterial pre-439 culture growth is essential for an adequate-equal inoculum preparation.

440

441 Quantitative RT-PCR

442 All quantitative RT-PCR were performed with 7-day old seedlings grown for 3 days in high- (5mM) 443 and low- (0.25mM) nitrate conditions. Total RNA was extracted from Arabidopsis root samples 444 using an RNA extraction kit (Sigma Aldrich) and guality control was performed photometrically 445 using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific) device and on agarose gel. To remove traces of 446 genomic DNA, 1 µg of total RNA from each sample was treated with DNase-I and genomic-DNA 447 contamination was excluded via quantitative RT-PCR. Reverse transcription was accomplished 448 from purified RNA with SuperScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta BioScience). Efficiency of cDNA 449 synthesis was verified by semi-quantitative PCR on housekeeping actin2 transcripts. All primers 450 used for gRT-PCR are listed in (Table S1). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using an Applied 451 Biosystems real time PCR system and a Sybr green Mix. Ct-values were normalized to the 452 housekeeping gene EF1a4 or UBQ4, as indicated. Data were averaged from at least 3 453 independent experiments.

454

455 Characterization of mutants and over-expression lines

456 For genotyping of the T-DNA insertion mutants *nlp4* and *nlp5*, the recommended PCR set up from 457 Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory (SALK) with left- and right border primers and the 458 LBb1.3 primer was used. Genomic DNA was extracted from soil grown wild type Col-0 and mutant 459 plants and subjected to PCR analysis. Homozygous plants were then selected for further 460 experiments. To proof that the respective T-DNA is inserted in an exonic region of the gene of 461 interest, semi-quantitative PCR was carried out on cDNA samples (as described in "Quantitative 462 RT-PCR") using a primer pair covering the T-DNA flanking sequence. For transcript quantification 463 gRT-PCR was performed on cDNA extracted from plant roots of non-treated samples (Fig. S1). 464 All primers used for characterization are listed in (Table S1).

465

466 Cloning method and selection of transgenic lines

467 The cloning procedure used for constructing complementation vectors was the Golden Gate 468 cloning method (Binder et al., 2014). Genomic DNA from Arabidopsis plants was extracted and 469 the full-length genomic NLP4 and NLP5 was amplified with proofreading DNA polymerase PCR 470 using corresponding Golden Gate primers. To keep Golden Gate compatibility silent mutations 471 were performed on Bpil and Bsal restriction sites. All primers used for cloning are listed in Table 472 S1. Level II constructs were assembled with vectors containing the promoter sequence of 473 AtUBQ10, the fluorescent tag eGFP, and for transformant selection the herbicide resistance 474 BASTA as well as a screenable marker FAST (Shimada et al., 2010). The resulting constructs 475 were quality controlled by full-length sequencing. For plant transformation Agrobacterium 476 tumefaciens (AGL1) was transformed with described construct and flower buds of soil grown 5-477 weeks old Arabidopsis mutant plants *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutant plants were infected with appropriate 478 bacterial solution according the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Infection was repeated 479 after one week. T0 seeds were harvested and positive transformations were selected via FAST 480 method (Shimada et al., 2010). Positive seeds were germinated on 10mM BASTA 1/2 MS plates

481 and plants were propagated until T3. For homozygosity of transformants segregation tests were

482 proceed in T2 and resistant plant lines were selected in T3 for following experiments.

483

484 FM4-64 staining and image analyzes of root tip samples

Treated seedlings were incubated in 5 μ M FMTM4-64 dissolved in liquid *nitrate-variable plant medium* for 15 min. After 5 min washing in liquid plant medium primary root tips were mounted on a microscopy slide. Images of 3880x3880 resolution were taken with SP8-Leica confocal microscope using a 25x water objective and a white laser, emission of 554 to 591 nm.

489

490 Phylogenetic analysis

491 NLP sequences were retrieved by the tBLASTn algorithm v2.9.0+ (Camacho et al., 2009) using 492 the NIN protein from the model species *Medicago truncatula* as guery, a database containing 124 493 land plants genomes from the SymDB database (http://www.polebio.lrsv.ups-tlse.fr/symdb/web/) 494 and no e-value greater than 1e-10. Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v7.407 (Katoh and 495 Standley, 2013) with default parameters. Alignments were cleaned using trimAl v1.4 rev22 496 (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009; Guindon et al., 2010) to remove positions with more than 50% of gaps. Cleaned alignments were subjected to Maximum Likelihood analysis using IQ-TREE v1.6.7 497 498 (Nguyen et al., 2015) after testing the best-fitting evolutionary model using ModelFinder 499 (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017). Branch support was tested with 10,000 SH-aLRT (Guindon et al., 500 2010). Trees were visualized and annotated using the iTOL platform v4.4.2 (Letunic and Bork, 501 2019). For readability reasons, presented phylogeny in (Fig. S3) has been reduced to 7 species 502 with maintained topology of the 124 species- main tree (Fig. S2).

504 Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found under the following Arabidopsis Genome Initiative
accession numbers: NRT2.1 (AT1G08090), NRT1.1 (AT1G12110), NIA1 (AT1G77760), NIR1
(AT2G15620), RSL4 (AT1G27740), EXT11 (AT5G49080), RHD6 (AT1G66470), CYCD3;1
(AT4G34160), CYCB1;1 (AT4G37490), UBQ4 (AT5G25760), NLP1 (AT2G17150), NLP4
(AT1G20640), NLP5 (AT1G76350), NLP6 (AT1G64530), NLP7 (AT4G24020)

510

511 Acknowledgements

512 Authors thank Liên BACH for mutant plants chl1-5 (nrt1.1) and Anne Krapp for kindly providing 513 seeds for *nrt2.1-1*, *nlp6.2* and *nlp7.1*. The project was partially funded by the Deutsche 514 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy grant CIBSS – EXC-2189 515 Project ID 39093984 and central University funds. We thank the staff of the Life Imaging Center 516 (LIC) in the Centre for Integrative Signalling Analysis (CISA) of the Albert-Ludwigs-University of 517 Freiburg for help with their confocal microscopy resources, and the excellent support in image 518 recording. The Leica SP8 confocal microscope was funded by the DFG project number 519 414136422. Especially, we thank all members of the OttLab team for the active discussions and 520 supporting ideas that helped to develop the project.

521

522 Author Contribution

523 STS and TO conceived and designed the experiments; STS, CHR, EL, JK performed the 524 experiments; STS, CHR, JK, P-MD, analyzed and interpreted the data; and STS, CHR and TO 525 wrote the manuscript.

526

527 Literature Cited

Alvarez, J.M., Riveras, E., Vidal, E.A., Gras, D.E., Contreras-Lopez, O., Tamayo, K.P., Aceituno, F., Gomez, I., Ruffel, S., Lejay, L., Jordana, X., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2014. Systems

- 530 approach identifies TGA1 and TGA4 transcription factors as important regulatory 531 components of the nitrate response of Arabidopsis thaliana roots. Plant J 80:1-13.
- Bellegarde, F., Gojon, A., and Martin, A. 2017. Signals and players in the transcriptional regulation
 of root responses by local and systemic N signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot
 68:2553-2565.
- Berger, B., Brock, A.K., and Ruppel, S. 2013. Nitrogen supply influences plant growth and transcriptional responses induced by Enterobacter radicincitans in Solanum lycopersicum.
 Plant Soil 370:641-652.
- Binder, A., Lambert, J., Morbitzer, R., Popp, C., Ott, T., Lahaye, T., and Parniske, M. 2014. A
 modular plasmid assembly kit for multigene expression, gene silencing and silencing
 rescue in plants. PLoS One 9:e88218.
- Bouguyon, E., Perrine-Walker, F., Pervent, M., Rochette, J., Cuesta, C., Benkova, E., Martiniere,
 A., Bach, L., Krouk, G., Gojon, A., and Nacry, P. 2016. Nitrate Controls Root Development
 through Posttranscriptional Regulation of the NRT1.1/NPF6.3 Transporter/Sensor. Plant
 Physiol 172:1237-1248.
- 545 Calvo, P., Zebelo, S., McNear, D., Kloepper, J., and Fadamiro, H. 2019. Plant growth-promoting
 546 rhizobacteria induce changes in Arabidopsis thaliana gene expression of nitrate and
 547 ammonium uptake genes. J Plant Interact 14:224-231.
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden, T.L.
 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.
- Canales, J., Moyano, T.C., Villarroel, E., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2014. Systems analysis of
 transcriptome data provides new hypotheses about Arabidopsis root response to nitrate
 treatments. Front Plant Sci 5:22.
- Canales, J., Contreras-Lopez, O., Alvarez, J.M., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2017. Nitrate induction of
 root hair density is mediated by TGA1/TGA4 and CPC transcription factors in Arabidopsis
 thaliana. Plant J 92:305-316.
- 556 Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J.M., and Gabaldon, T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated 557 alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25:1972-1973.
- Carvalho, T.L., Balsemao-Pires, E., Saraiva, R.M., Ferreira, P.C., and Hemerly, A.S. 2014.
 Nitrogen signalling in plant interactions with associative and endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. J Exp Bot 65:5631-5642.
- Castaings, L., Camargo, A., Pocholle, D., Gaudon, V., Texier, Y., Boutet-Mercey, S., Taconnat,
 L., Renou, J.P., Daniel-Vedele, F., Fernandez, E., Meyer, C., and Krapp, A. 2009. The
 nodule inception-like protein 7 modulates nitrate sensing and metabolism in Arabidopsis.
 Plant J 57:426-435.
- 565 Cerezo, M., Tillard, P., Filleur, S., Munos, S., Daniel-Vedele, F., and Gojon, A. 2001. Major
 566 alterations of the regulation of root NO3- uptake are associated with the mutation of Nrt2.1
 567 and Nrt2.2 genes in arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 127:262-271.
- Chardin, C., Girin, T., Roudier, F., Meyer, C., and Krapp, A. 2014. The plant RWP-RK transcription
 factors: key regulators of nitrogen responses and of gametophyte development. J Exp Bot
 65:5577-5587.
- 571 Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. 1998. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated 572 transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16:735-743.
- de Zelicourt, A., Synek, L., Saad, M.M., Alzubaidy, H., Jalal, R., Xie, Y., Andres-Barrao, C., Rolli,
 E., Guerard, F., Mariappan, K.G., Daur, I., Colcombet, J., Benhamed, M., Depaepe, T.,
 Van Der Straeten, D., and Hirt, H. 2018. Ethylene induced plant stress tolerance by
 Enterobacter sp. SA187 is mediated by 2-keto-4-methylthiobutyric acid production. PLoS
 Genet 14:e1007273.
- Etesami, H., and Maheshwari, D.K. 2018. Use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs)
 with multiple plant growth promoting traits in stress agriculture: Action mechanisms and
 future prospects. Ecotox Environ Safe 156:225-246.

- 581 Fredes, I., Moreno, S., Diaz, F.P., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2019. Nitrate signaling and the control of 582 Arabidopsis growth and development. Curr Opin Plant Biol 47:112-118.
- 583 Garrido-Oter, R., Nakano, R.T., Dombrowski, N., Ma, K.W., AgBiome, T., McHardy, A.C., and
 584 Schulze-Lefert, P. 2018. Modular Traits of the Rhizobiales Root Microbiota and Their
 585 Evolutionary Relationship with Symbiotic Rhizobia. Cell Host Microbe 24:155-167 e155.
- Gigli-Bisceglia, N., Engelsdorf, T., Strnad, M., Vaahtera, L., Khan, G.A., Yamoune, A., Alipanah,
 L., Novak, O., Persson, S., Hejatko, J., and Hamann, T. 2018. Cell wall integrity modulates
 Arabidopsis thaliana cell cycle gene expression in a cytokinin- and nitrate reductase dependent manner. Development 145.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Sathya, A., Vijayabharathi, R., Varshney, R.K., Gowda, C.L.L., and
 Krishnamurthy, L. 2015. Plant growth promoting rhizobia: challenges and opportunities. 3
 Biotech 5:355-377.
- 593 Griesmann, M., Chang, Y., Liu, X., Song, Y., Haberer, G., Crook, M.B., Billault-Penneteau, B., 594 Lauressergues, D., Keller, J., Imanishi, L., Roswanjaya, Y.P., Kohlen, W., Pujic, P., Battenberg, K., Alloisio, N., Liang, Y., Hilhorst, H., Salgado, M.G., Hocher, V., Gherbi, H., 595 596 Svistoonoff, S., Doyle, J.J., He, S., Xu, Y., Xu, S., Qu, J., Gao, Q., Fang, X., Fu, Y., 597 Normand, P., Berry, A.M., Wall, L.G., Ane, J.M., Pawlowski, K., Xu, X., Yang, H., Spannagl, 598 M., Mayer, K.F.X., Wong, G.K., Parniske, M., Delaux, P.M., and Cheng, S. 2018. 599 Phylogenomics reveals multiple losses of nitrogen-fixing root nodule symbiosis. Science 600 361.
- 601 Guan, P. 2017. Dancing with Hormones: A Current Perspective of Nitrate Signaling and 602 Regulation in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 8:1697.
- Guan, P., Ripoll, J.J., Wang, R., Vuong, L., Bailey-Steinitz, L.J., Ye, D., and Crawford, N.M. 2017.
 Interacting TCP and NLP transcription factors control plant responses to nitrate availability.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:2419-2424.
- 606 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. 2010. New 607 algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the 608 performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307-321.
- Hardoim, P.R., van Overbeek, L.S., and van Elsas, J.D. 2008. Properties of bacterial endophytes
 and their proposed role in plant growth. Trends Microbiol 16:463-471.
- Hsin, K.T., Yang, T.J., Lee, Y.H., and Cheng, Y.S. 2021. Phylogenetic and Structural Analysis of
 NIN-Like Proteins With a Type I/II PB1 Domain That Regulates Oligomerization for Nitrate
 Response. Front Plant Sci 12:672035.
- Jia, Z., and Wiren, N.V. 2020. Signaling pathways underlying nitrogen-dependent changes in root
 system architecture: from model to crop species. J Exp Bot 25;71(15):4393-4404.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L.S. 2017.
 ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods 14:587-589.
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
 improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772-780.
- Kechid, M., Desbrosses, G., Rokhsi, W., Varoquaux, F., Djekoun, A., and Touraine, B. 2013. The
 NRT2.5 and NRT2.6 genes are involved in growth promotion of Arabidopsis by the plant
 growth-promoting rhizobacterium (PGPR) strain Phyllobacterium brassicacearum
 STM196. New Phytol 198:514-524.
- Kiba, T., Feria-Bourrellier, A.B., Lafouge, F., Lezhneva, L., Boutet-Mercey, S., Orsel, M., Brehaut,
 V., Miller, A., Daniel-Vedele, F., Sakakibara, H., and Krapp, A. 2012. The Arabidopsis
 nitrate transporter NRT2.4 plays a double role in roots and shoots of nitrogen-starved
 plants. Plant Cell 24:245-258.
- 629 Konishi, M., and Yanagisawa, S. 2013. Arabidopsis NIN-like transcription factors have a central 630 role in nitrate signalling. Nat Commun 4:1617.

- Konishi, M., and Yanagisawa, S. 2019. The role of protein-protein interactions mediated by the
 PB1 domain of NLP transcription factors in nitrate-inducible gene expression. BMC Plant
 Biol 19:90.
- Konishi, M., Okitsu, T., and Yanagisawa, S. 2021. Nitrate-responsive NIN-like protein transcription
 factors perform unique and redundant roles in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 72:5735-5750.
- Konishi, N., Okubo, T., Yamaya, T., Hayakawa, T., and Minamisawa, K. 2017. Nitrate Supply Dependent Shifts in Communities of Root-Associated Bacteria in Arabidopsis. Microbes
 Environ 32:314-323.
- Krapp, A., David, L.C., Chardin, C., Girin, T., Marmagne, A., Leprince, A.S., Chaillou, S., Ferrario Mery, S., Meyer, C., and Daniel-Vedele, F. 2014. Nitrate transport and signalling in
 Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 65:789-798.
- Krouk, G., Lacombe, B., Bielach, A., Perrine-Walker, F., Malinska, K., Mounier, E., Hoyerova, K.,
 Tillard, P., Leon, S., Ljung, K., Zazimalova, E., Benkova, E., Nacry, P., and Gojon, A. 2010.
 Nitrate-Regulated Auxin Transport by NRT1.1 Defines a Mechanism for Nutrient Sensing
 in Plants. Dev Cell 18:927-937.
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256-W259.
- Lin, J.S., Li, X., Luo, Z., Mysore, K.S., Wen, J., and Xie, F. 2018. NIN interacts with NLPs to mediate nitrate inhibition of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. Nat Plants 4:942-952.
- Ma, W.Y., Li, J.J., Qu, B.Y., He, X., Zhao, X.Q., Li, B., Fu, X.D., and Tong, Y.P. 2014. Auxin
 biosynthetic gene TAR2 is involved in low nitrogen-mediated reprogramming of root
 architecture in Arabidopsis. Plant J 78:70-79.
- Maghiaoui, A., Bouguyon, E., Cuesta, C., Perrine-Walker, F., Alcon, C., Krouk, G., Benkova, E.,
 Nacry, P., Gojon, A., and Bach, L. 2020. The Arabidopsis NRT1.1 transceptor coordinately
 controls auxin biosynthesis and transport to regulate root branching in response to nitrate.
 J Exp Bot 71:4480-4494.
- Mantelin, S., Desbrosses, G., Larcher, M., Tranbarger, T.J., Cleyet-Marel, J.C., and Touraine, B.
 2006. Nitrate-dependent control of root architecture and N nutrition are altered by a plant
 growth-promoting Phyllobacterium sp. Planta 223:591-603.
- 660 Medici, A., and Krouk, G. 2014. The Primary Nitrate Response: a multifaceted signalling pathway. 661 J Exp Bot 65:5567-5576.
- Mounier, E., Pervent, M., Ljung, K., Gojon, A., and Nacry, P. 2014. Auxin-mediated nitrate
 signalling by NRT1.1 participates in the adaptive response of Arabidopsis root architecture
 to the spatial heterogeneity of nitrate availability. Plant Cell Environ 37:162-174.
- 665 Mu, X., and Luo, J. 2019. Evolutionary analyses of NIN-like proteins in plants and their roles in 666 nitrate signaling. Cell Mol Life Sci 76:3753-3764.
- Naulin, P.A., Armijo, G.I., Vega, A.S., Tamayo, K.P., Gras, D.E., de la Cruz, J., and Gutierrez,
 R.A. 2020. Nitrate Induction of Primary Root Growth Requires Cytokinin Signaling in
 Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 61:342-352.
- Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32:268 274.
- Nishida, H., Tanaka, S., Handa, Y., Ito, M., Sakamoto, Y., Matsunaga, S., Betsuyaku, S., Miura,
 K., Soyano, T., Kawaguchi, M., and Suzaki, T. 2018. A NIN-LIKE PROTEIN mediates
 nitrate-induced control of root nodule symbiosis in Lotus japonicus. Nat Commun 9:499.
- Nishida, H., Nosaki, S., Suzuki, T., Ito, M., Miyakawa, T., Nomoto, M., Tada, Y., Miura, K.,
 Tanokura, M., Kawaguchi, M., and Suzaki, T. 2021. Different DNA-binding specificities of
 NLP and NIN transcription factors underlie nitrate-induced control of root nodulation. Plant
 Cell.
- O'Brien, J.A., Vega, A., Bouguyon, E., Krouk, G., Gojon, A., Coruzzi, G., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2016.
 Nitrate Transport, Sensing, and Responses in Plants. Mol Plant 9:837-856.

- Poitout, A., Martiniere, A., Kucharczyk, B., Queruel, N., Silva-Andia, J., Mashkoor, S., Gamet, L.,
 Varoquaux, F., Paris, N., Sentenac, H., Touraine, B., and Desbrosses, G. 2017. Local
 signalling pathways regulate the Arabidopsis root developmental response to
 Mesorhizobium loti inoculation. J Exp Bot 68:1199-1211.
- Remans, T., Nacry, P., Pervent, M., Filleur, S., Diatloff, E., Mounier, E., Tillard, P., Forde, B.G.,
 and Gojon, A. 2006. The Arabidopsis NRT1.1 transporter participates in the signaling
 pathway triggering root colonization of nitrate-rich patches. P Natl Acad Sci USA
 103:19206-19211.
- Rosenblueth, M., Ormeno-Orrillo, E., Lopez-Lopez, A., Rogel, M.A., Reyes-Hernandez, B.J.,
 Martinez-Romero, J.C., Reddy, P.M., and Martinez-Romero, E. 2018. Nitrogen Fixation in
 Cereals. Front Microbiol 9:1794.
- Schauser, L., Wieloch, W., and Stougaard, J. 2005. Evolution of NIN-Like proteins in Arabidopsis,
 rice, and Lotus japonicus. J Mol Evol 60:229-237.
- 695 Schauser, L., Roussis, A., Stiller, J., and Stougaard, J. 1999. A plant regulator controlling 696 development of symbiotic root nodules. Nature 402:191-195.
- Scheible, W.R., Morcuende, R., Czechowski, T., Fritz, C., Osuna, D., Palacios-Rojas, N.,
 Schindelasch, D., Thimm, O., Udvardi, M.K., and Stitt, M. 2004. Genome-wide
 reprogramming of primary and secondary metabolism, protein synthesis, cellular growth
 processes, and the regulatory infrastructure of Arabidopsis in response to nitrogen. Plant
 Physiol 136:2483-2499.
- Schneijderberg, M., Schmitz, L., Cheng, X., Polman, S., Franken, C., Geurts, R., and Bisseling,
 T. 2018. A genetically and functionally diverse group of non-diazotrophic Bradyrhizobium
 spp. colonizes the root endophytic compartment of Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Plant Biol
 18:61.
- Shahzad, Z., and Amtmann, A. 2017. Food for thought: how nutrients regulate root system
 architecture. Curr Opin Plant Biol 39:80-87.
- Shimada, T.L., Shimada, T., and Hara-Nishimura, I. 2010. A rapid and non-destructive screenable
 marker, FAST, for identifying transformed seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 61:519 528.
- Singh, B.N., Dwivedi, P., Sarma, B.K., Singh, G.S., and Singh, H.B. 2019. A novel function of N signaling in plants with special reference to Trichoderma interaction influencing plant
 growth, nitrogen use efficiency, and cross talk with plant hormones. 3 Biotech 9.
- Suzuki, W., Konishi, M., and Yanagisawa, S. 2013. The evolutionary events necessary for the
 emergence of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in legumes may involve a loss of nitrate
 responsiveness of the NIN transcription factor. Plant Signal Behav 8(10):e25975.
- Takei, K., Ueda, N., Aoki, K., Kuromori, T., Hirayama, T., Shinozaki, K., Yamaya, T., and
 Sakakibara, H. 2004. AtIPT3 is a key determinant of nitrate-dependent cytokinin
 biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol 45:1053-1062.
- Trinh, C.S., Lee, H., Lee, W.J., Lee, S.J., Chung, N., Han, J., Kim, J., Hong, S.W., and Lee, H.
 2018. Evaluation of the plant growth-promoting activity of Pseudomonas nitroreducens in
 Arabidopsis thaliana and Lactuca sativa. Plant Cell Rep 37:873-885.
- Tsukanova, K.A., Chebotar, V.K., Meyer, J.J.M., and Bibikova, T.N. 2017. Effect of plant growthpromoting Rhizobacteria on plant hormone homeostasis. S Afr J Bot 113:91-102.
- Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M.L., Touraine, B., Moenne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D.,
 Legendre, L., Wisniewski-Dye, F., and Prigent-Combaret, C. 2013. Plant growth-promoting
 rhizobacteria and root system functioning. Front Plant Sci 17;4:356.
- Van Deynze, A., Zamora, P., Delaux, P.M., Heitmann, C., Jayaraman, D., Rajasekar, S., Graham,
 D., Maeda, J., Gibson, D., Schwartz, K.D., Berry, A.M., Bhatnagar, S., Jospin, G., Darling,
 A., Jeannotte, R., Lopez, J., Weimer, B.C., Eisen, J.A., Shapiro, H.Y., Ane, J.M., and
 Bennett, A.B. 2018. Nitrogen fixation in a landrace of maize is supported by a mucilageassociated diazotrophic microbiota. PLoS Biol 16:e2006352.

- van Noorden, G.E., Verbeek, R., Dinh, Q.D., Jin, J., Green, A., Ng, J.L., and Mathesius, U. 2016.
 Molecular Signals Controlling the Inhibition of Nodulation by Nitrate in Medicago truncatula.
 Int J Mol Sci 17.
- van Velzen, R., Holmer, R., Bu, F., Rutten, L., van Zeijl, A., Liu, W., Santuari, L., Cao, Q., Sharma,
 T., Shen, D., Roswanjaya, Y., Wardhani, T.A.K., Kalhor, M.S., Jansen, J., van den Hoogen,
 J., Gungor, B., Hartog, M., Hontelez, J., Verver, J., Yang, W.C., Schijlen, E., Repin, R.,
 Schilthuizen, M., Schranz, M.E., Heidstra, R., Miyata, K., Fedorova, E., Kohlen, W.,
 Bisseling, T., Smit, S., and Geurts, R. 2018. Comparative genomics of the nonlegume
 Parasponia reveals insights into evolution of nitrogen-fixing rhizobium symbioses. P Natl
 Acad Sci USA 115:E4700-E4709.
- Vatter, T., Neuhauser, B., Stetter, M., and Ludewig, U. 2015. Regulation of length and density of
 Arabidopsis root hairs by ammonium and nitrate. J Plant Res 128:839-848.
- Verbon, E.H., and Liberman, L.M. 2016. Beneficial Microbes Affect Endogenous Mechanisms
 Controlling Root Development. Trends Plant Sci 21:218-229.
- Vidal, E.A., Araus, V., Lu, C., Parry, G., Green, P.J., Coruzzi, G.M., and Gutierrez, R.A. 2010.
 Nitrate-responsive miR393/AFB3 regulatory module controls root system architecture in
 Arabidopsis thaliana. P Natl Acad Sci USA 107:4477-4482.
- Vissenberg, K., Claeijs, N., Balcerowicz, D., and Schoenaers, S. 2020. Hormonal regulation of
 root hair growth and responses to the environment in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 71:2412 2427.
- Vitousek, P.M., Naylor, R., Crews, T., David, M.B., Drinkwater, L.E., Holland, E., Johnes, P.J.,
 Katzenberger, J., Martinelli, L.A., Matson, P.A., Nziguheba, G., Ojima, D., Palm, C.A.,
 Robertson, G.P., Sanchez, P.A., Townsend, A.R., and Zhang, F.S. 2009. Agriculture.
 Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. Science 324:1519-1520.
- Walker, L., Boddington, C., Jenkins, D., Wang, Y., Gronlund, J.T., Hulsmans, J., Kumar, S., Patel,
 D., Moore, J.D., Carter, A., Samavedam, S., Bonomo, G., Hersh, D.S., Coruzzi, G.M.,
 Burroughs, N.J., and Gifford, M.L. 2017. Changes in Gene Expression in Space and Time
 Orchestrate Environmentally Mediated Shaping of Root Architecture. Plant Cell 29:23932412.
- Wang, Y.Y., Cheng, Y.H., Chen, K.E., and Tsay, Y.F. 2018. Nitrate Transport, Signaling, and Use
 Efficiency. Annu Rev Plant Biol 69:85-122.
- Werner, G.D., Cornwell, W.K., Cornelissen, J.H., and Kiers, E.T. 2015. Evolutionary signals of
 symbiotic persistence in the legume-rhizobia mutualism. P Natl Acad Sci USA 112:10262 10269.
- Wintermans, P.C.A., Bakker, P.A.H.M., and Pieterse, C.M.J. 2016. Natural genetic variation in
 Arabidopsis for responsiveness to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Plant Mol Biol
 90:623-634.
- Wu, Q., Peng, X., Yang, M., Zhang, W., Dazzo, F.B., Uphoff, N., Jing, Y., and Shen, S. 2018.
 Rhizobia promote the growth of rice shoots by targeting cell signaling, division and expansion. Plant Mol Biol 97:507-523.
- Yan, D., Easwaran, V., Chau, V., Okamoto, M., Ierullo, M., Kimura, M., Endo, A., Yano, R., Pasha,
 A., Gong, Y., Bi, Y.M., Provart, N., Guttman, D., Krapp, A., Rothstein, S.J., and Nambara,
 E. 2016. NIN-like protein 8 is a master regulator of nitrate-promoted seed germination in
 Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 7:13179.
- Ye, J.Y., Tian, W.H., and Jin, C.W. 2019. A reevaluation of the contribution of NRT1.1 to nitrate
 uptake in Arabidopsis under low-nitrate supply. FEBS Lett 593:2051-2059.
- Zamioudis, C., Mastranesti, P., Dhonukshe, P., Blilou, I., and Pieterse, C.M.J. 2013. Unraveling
 Root Developmental Programs Initiated by Beneficial Pseudomonas spp. Bacteria. Plant
 Physiol 162:304-318.
- Zhao, C.Z., Huang, J., Gyaneshwar, P., and Zhao, D. 2017. Rhizobium sp. IRBG74 Alters
 Arabidopsis Root Development by Affecting Auxin Signaling. Front Microbiol 8:2556.

785 Figure Legends

Figure 1.: Rhizobia attachment on roots of non-legume host plant *Arabidopsis thaliana.*

788 Ten-days old Arabidopsis seedlings inoculated with transgenic rhizobia (*M. loti*) tagged with dsRed 789 fluorophore grown on plant media with 5mM nitrate. (a-c) Bacterial biofilm formation on growing 790 Arabidopsis primary root (a) white arrows indicate bacterial enrichments (hot spots) (b) inoculated 791 plants grown in high-nitrate conditions (5mM) and (c) in low-nitrate conditions (0.25mM). (d) 792 Bacteria accumulation on root tip and root elongation/differentiation zone. (e) and (f) M. loti 793 accumulation at lateral root emergence sites and intercellular space invasion, validated by z-stack 794 evaluation. Samples were observed under Zeiss stereo fluorescence microscope (a-e) and a 795 confocal-microscope (f).

796

Figure 2.: Rhizobia-induced modifications on Arabidopsis root architecture dependon nitrate availability.

799 Ten-days old seedlings were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM KNO₃) or nitrate-800 starvation plant medium (0.1mM KNO₃) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with rhizobia (S. 801 *meliloti*) or (*M. loti*). (a) control seedlings grown for 3 days on high-nitrate plant medium. (b) S. 802 meliloti and (c) M. loti inoculated seedlings grown on high-nitrate plant medium scale bar presents 803 1 cm (starting root length, before inoculation, was marked with blue marker pen). (d)-(f) 804 Quantifications of number of lateral roots, lateral root length, and primary root length on different 805 nitrate conditions and indicated rhizobia treatment. Statistical significance was determined by 806 students *t*-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.

Figure 3.: Rhizobia-induced root hair formation depends on high-nitrate availability. 808 809 Seven-days old seedlings were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium or nitrate-starvation plant 810 medium and roots were inoculated for 3 days with rhizobia (S. meliloti) or (M. loti). Primary root tip 811 of control samples after 3 days on plant medium (a) on high nitrate condition and (b) starvation 812 condition (0.1 mM), (c), (d) S. meliloti treated samples, and (e), (f) M. loti treated samples, scale 813 bar presents 100 µm. (g) and (h) quantification of root hair formation on primary root (average of 814 first 5 mm) in nitrate conditions as indicated. (i)-(k) Transcript levels of indicated root hair-related 815 genes, specifically ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE6 (RHD6), RHD6-LIKE4 (RSL4), and EXTENSIN11 816 (EXT11), guantified by gRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression 817 level of UBQ4. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; ***=p<0.001 818 and n.s. = not significant.

819

820 Figure 4.: Rhizobia-induced expression of nitrate-regulated genes.

Transcript levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobia *S. meliloti*, *M. loti* or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5mM) or low- (0.25mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of *UBQ4*. Statistical significance was determined by students *t*-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.

826

Figure 5.: Specific NIN-like Proteins are involved in *M. loti* induced root hair effect. Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0), mutant plants (as indicated), or over-expression lines were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM KNO₃) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM KNO₃) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti*. Quantification of root hair formation on primary root tip is presented. Down site representative close-up image of Col-0, and root differentiation zone treated with *M. loti* for 3 days of Col-0, *nlp*-mutant (*nlp5*). and

- 833 *nlp5/pAtUBQ10:NLP5* line (OENLP5). Statistical significance was determined by one-factorial
 834 ANOVA α=0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test.
- 835

Figure 6.: *M. loti* impacts cell -proliferation and -elongation.

837 Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) or indicated mutant plants were transferred on high-838 nitrate plant medium or low-nitrate plant medium and roots were inoculated for 3 days with M. loti 839 (control = no inoculation). (a)-(j) FM4-64 staining of primary root tip and visualization using 840 confocal microscopy. (a) and (b) representative pictures of the first cells in the root elongation 841 zone (white arrowhead indicates first root hair cell), (c) and (d) pictures of primary root apical 842 meristem (white arrowhead indicates end of meristem), (a, c) control and (b, d) M. loti on high-843 nitrate samples, white arrowheads showing cell size changes. Scale bar presents 100 µm. (e) -844 (q) length of the first 5 elongated cells, of primary root samples with or without *M. loti* grown on 845 high- or low- nitrate plant medium. (h) – (j) quantification of meristem cortex cell number at the 846 primary root tip. (k) and (l) transcript levels of indicated genes guantified by gRT-PCR and 847 calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of UBQ4. Statistical significance 848 was determined by students *t*-test **=p<0.01; ***=p<0.001.

849

Figure 7.: Model of the rhizobium-induced NLP-pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots.

Results obtained indicate rhizobia-induced local root developmental effects on the non-legume host *Arabidopsis thaliana*. We demonstrate an involvement of key NIN-like proteins and show an induction of nitrate signaling during rhizobia responses, which finally impacts on cell cycle progression and morphological modifications. Furthermore, described effects are pronounced in high nitrate conditions and suggest a complex crosstalk between bacterial- and nitrate-related signaling pathways.

858

859 Supporting Information

- Fig. S1 Characterization of *NLP4* and *NLP5* mutations and over-expressions (OE) Graphical presentation of *NLP4* and *NLP5* sequence with predicted T-DNA insertion sites (a). PCR-based validation of *NLP4* and *NLP5* mutation on genomic DNA using SALK-designed primers, including t-DNA specific Primer LBb1.3 (b). Check for transcript levels by semiquantitative PCR using primers covering the exon of insertion (c) and gRT-PCR (d) on mutants
- 865 *nlp4* and *nlp5* and over-expression (OE) lines, as indicated.
- 866

Fig. S2 Phylogenetic tree of NIN-like Proteins (main tree).

868 Maximum Likelihood tree of the NLP family (model: GTR+F+R10; log-likelihood: -598245.4704).

869 Tree is rooted on the non-vascular plants. Clades are colored and named according the

870 *Arabidopsis thaliana* nomenclature. Only significant branch support values (>80%) are shown.

871

Fig. S3 Reduced phylogenetic tree of NIN-like Proteins.

NLP sequences were retrieved using the tBALSTn algorithm v2.9.0+ by the NIN protein from the
model species *Medicago truncatula* as query. Reduced likelihood tree of the NLP family. Clades
are colored and named according the *Arabidopsis thaliana* nomenclature. Only significant branch
support values (>80%) are shown.

877

Fig. S4 Expression of other *NIN*-like proteins in response to rhizobia treatment

levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobia *S. meliloti*, *M. loti* or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5mM) or low- (0.25mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of *UBQ4*. Statistical significance was determined by students *t*-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.

884

Fig. S5 Dual-affinity-transceptor NRT1.1 is needed for M. loti-induced impact onroot morphology, but not NRT2.1.

Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) and Wassilewskija (WS) or mutant plants (as indicated) were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti*. Quantification of root hair formation and root hair length on primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistical significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test.

892

Fig. S6 A *NLP1* mutation is not influencing rhizobial effects on root hair development.

Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) or mutant plants (as indicated) were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti*. Quantification of root hair formation and root hair length on primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistic significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test

Fig. S7 AtNLP4 and AtNLP5 are involved in *M. loti* induced root modifications. 901 902 Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0), mutant plants (as indicated) or over-expression 903 lines were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) 904 and roots were inoculated for 3 days with M. loti. (a) and (b) quantification of root hair length on 905 primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistic significance was determined by one-factorial 906 ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test. (c) and (d) quantifications of lateral root 907 numbers and lateral root length are presented. Statistical significance was determined by students 908 t-test *=p<0.05; ***=p<0.001.

909

Fig. S8 Varying regulation of rhizobia-induced genes in *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutant roots. Transcript levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobium *M. loti* or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5 mM) or low- (0.25 mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of *UBQ4*. Statistical significance was determined by students *t*-test *=p<0.05.

916

qPCR Primer sequence Hernandez-Reyes et al.

RSL4_F	AAUUTIGIGUUAAAUGGGAU
RSL4_R	CCAGGCCGTTGTAAGCCAAT
EXT11_F	GGCAGTTTTTGGTTTATGTCGTG
EXT11_R	GATGGCTGGGAAAATTGTATTGTG
RHD6_F	GCCCTAGATCCACCGAAACTCC
RHD6_R	TGGCTGCTAGGCTTTGTGG
NRT1.1_F	GCACATTGGCATTAGGCTTT
NRT1.1_R	CTCAATCCCCACCTCAGCTA
NRT2.1_F	AGTCGCTTGCACGTTACCTG
NRT2.1_R	ACCCTCTGACTTGGCGTTCTC
NIA1_R	ACGGAGCATGGATGAGTT
NIA1_F	ATCGTCAAAGAAACCGAAGTC
NIR1_F	TGCTGATGACGTTCTTCCACTCTGC
NIR1_R	CTGAGGGTTGACTCCGAAATAGTCTC
CYCB1;1_F	TCAGCTCATGGACTGTGCAA
CYCB1;1_R	GATCAAAGCCACAGCGAAGC
CYCD3;1_F	CTTCAGCTCGTTTCTGTCGC
CYCD3;1_R	TGTCTCCTCCACTTGAAAGTCT
AtNLP4_F	TCA CAA CAA GCC AAG ACC AC
AtNLP4_R	GGG TTT CAC TGG AGC AAC AT
AtNLP5_F	ATT CAC CAC CTG CAA AGT CC
AtNLP5_R	TAT CCG TGG GAT CTT CCT TG
AtNLP1_F	TCA AGG AGC CTC TTG TTC TTG
AtNLP1 R	ATG TCG CTT TCA CTT TGA TGG
AtNLP2 F	TGG TAC TGG CAC TTC CTT CA
AtNLP2 R	TGA TGG TGG TGA CTT TGG AG
AtNLP3 F	TGT TTG GAG ATT CGA TGC TG
AtNLP3 R	CGC TTT GCG ATC TCT CTT CT
AtNLP6 F	CTC ACC AGA GTC GGT AAG CA
AtNLP6 R	CGG AGA CCC ATT CTC TAT CG
AtNLP7 F	CGA ACC ATC AGG CTC AGA A
AtNLP7 R	CGT TCC TGC ATC AAC TTT CA
AtNLP8_F	CAG CGA GAG TGG ATC AAC AA
AtNLP8_R	CAA CTG ATG GCT CGA ACT TG
AtNLP9_F	AAT CGA GCG AGG TAA TGG AA
AtNLP9 R	GAA CTT CCC AGC AAA ACT GC
_	

cloning Primers used in Hernandez-Reyes et al.

-	
GG-NLP4-fwd1	ATGAAGACTTTACGGGTCTCACACCatgGTCCTATCACGACCTTCTGTTTG
GG-NLP4-rev1	ATGAAGACTTCAGAGGTCTCTCCTTGTGCCATAAACTGTATTCAGTAGCT
Mut_NLP4_Bsal_fwd2	TA <u>GAAGAC</u> AAaCCAACTCAAGATACATCAGCTCT
Mut_NLP4_Bsal_rev2	TAGAAGACAATGGtGACCACATGAAGGAAGT
Mut_NLP4_Bpil_fwd3	TAGAAGACAAgAGACGAGCAGCGAAATCGAG
Mut_NLP4_Bpil_rev3	TAGAAGACAATCTcCTTCAATGCACCTCCTAC
NLP4_Bpil_fwd4	TAGAAGACAAaACAATGAATGGGTTTTGTTGACTTGC
NLP4_Bpil_rev4	TAGAAGACAATGTtTTCGTCTAAGTACTTTAGATC
GG-NLP5-fwd1	ATGAAGACTTTACGGGTCTCACACCatggaaaacaattctcttcctatgg
GG-NLP5-rev1	ATGAAGACTTCAGAGGTCTCTCCTTtgaaagacatccactgacag
Mut_NLP5_Bsal_fwd2	TAGAAGACAAGccaacgcaagacacatc
Mut_NLP5_Bsal_rev2	TA <u>GAAGAC</u> AAtggCgaccacatgaagg
Mut_NLP5_Bsal_fwd3	TAGAAGACAAGtcatgtctcaaacaaagc

Mut_NLP5_Bsal_rev3	TAGAAGACAAtgaCacccatgtttgagc
NLP5_Bpil_fwd4	TA <u>GAAGAC</u> AAAacaatgaatgggttttg
NLP5_Bpil_rev4	TA <u>GAAGAC</u> AAtgtTttcatctaagtatttcagatcg

genotyping of nlp4 and	d nlp5 mutants used in Hernandez-Reyes et al.
LBb1.3-SALK	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
NLP4-LP	GATCTCATGAAGCTCGAAACG
NLP4-RP	CTCTAGCCAATGTTGCTCCAG
NLP5-LP	AGCTCAAACATGGGTCTCATG
NLP5-RP	TTGTAGGGATGATGCTTCTGG
NLP4fwdEXON	GACGAGCAGCGAAATCGAGC
NLP4revEXON	GCCATAAACTGTATTCAGTAGC
NLP5fwdEXON	CACTGGCTCAGTTGATTTCG
NLP5revEXON	CTTGGCAGCATCTTTGAGAC



Figure 1.: Rhizobia attachment on roots of non-legume host plant Arabidopsis thaliana.Ten-days old Arabidopsis seedlings inoculated with transgenic rhizobia (M. loti) tagged with dsRed fluorophore grown on plant media with 5mM nitrate. (a-c) Bacterial biofilm formation on growing Arabidopsis primary root (a) white arrows indicate bacterial enrichments (hot spots) (b) inoculated plants grown in high-nitrate conditions (5mM) and (c) in low-nitrate conditions (0.25mM). (d) Bacteria accumulation on root tip and root elongation/differentiation zone. (e) and (f) M. loti accumulation at lateral root emergence sites and intercellular space invasion, validated by z-stack evaluation. Samples were observed under Zeiss stereo fluorescence microscope (a-e) and a confocal-microscope (f).



Figure 2.: Rhizobia-induced modifications on Arabidopsis root architecture depend on nitrate availability. Ten-days old seedlings were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM KNO3) or nitrate-starvation plant medium (0.1mM KNO3) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with rhizobia (S. meliloti) or (M. loti). (a) control seedlings grown for 3 days on high-nitrate plant medium. (b) S. meliloti and (c) M. loti inoculated seedlings grown on high-nitrate plant medium scale bar presents 1 cm (starting root length, before inoculation, was marked with blue marker pen). (d)-(f) Quantifications of number of lateral roots, lateral root length, and primary root length on different nitrate conditions and indicated rhizobia treatment. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.



Figure 3.: Rhizobia-induced root hair formation depends on high-nitrate availability.
Seven-days old seedlings were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium or nitrate-starvation plant medium and roots were inoculated for 3 days with rhizobia (S. meliloti) or (M. loti). Primary root tip of control samples after 3 days on plant medium (a) on high nitrate condition and (b) starvation condition (0.1 mM), (c), (d) S. meliloti treated samples, and (e), (f) M. loti treated samples, scale bar presents 100 μm. (g) and (h) quantification of root hair formation on primary root (average of first 5 mm) in nitrate conditions as indicated. (i)-(k) Transcript levels of indicated root hair-related genes, specifically ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE6 (RHD6), RHD6-LIKE4 (RSL4), and EXTENSIN11 (EXT11), quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of UBQ4. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; ***=p<0.001 and n.s. = not significant.



Figure 4.: Rhizobia-induced expression of nitrate-regulated genes.

Transcript levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobia S. meliloti, M. loti or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5mM) or low- (0.25mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of UBQ4. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.



Figure 5.: Specific NIN-like Proteins are involved in M. loti induced root hair effect. Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0), mutant plants (as indicated), or over-expression lines were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM KNO3) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM KNO3) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with M. loti. Quantification of root hair formation on primary root tip is presented. Down site representative close-up image of Col-0, and root differentiation zone treated with M. loti for 3 days of Col-0, nlp-mutant (nlp5). and nlp5/pAtUBQ10:NLP5 line (OENLP5). Statistical significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA a=0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test.



Figure 6.: M. loti impacts cell -proliferation and -elongation.

Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) or indicated mutant plants were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium or low-nitrate plant medium and roots were inoculated for 3 days with M. loti (control = no inoculation). (a)-(j) FM4-64 staining of primary root tip and visualization using confocal microscopy. (a) and (b) representative pictures of the first cells in the root elongation zone (white arrowhead indicates first root hair cell), (c) and (d) pictures of primary root apical meristem (white arrowhead indicates end of meristem), (a, c) control and (b, d) M. loti on high-nitrate samples, white arrowheads showing cell size changes. Scale bar presents 100 μ m. (e) - (g) length of the first 5 elongated cells, of primary root samples with or without M. loti grown on high- or low- nitrate plant medium. (h) – (j) quantification of meristem cortex cell number at the primary root tip. (k) and (l) transcript levels of indicated genes quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of UBQ4. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test **=p<0.01; ***=p<0.001.



Figure 7.: Model of the rhizobium-induced NLP-pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana roots. Results obtained indicate rhizobia-induced local root developmental effects on the non-legume host Arabidopsis thaliana. We demonstrate an involvement of key NIN-like proteins and show an induction of nitrate signaling during rhizobia responses, which finally impacts on cell cycle progression and morphological modifications. Furthermore, described effects are pronounced in high nitrate conditions and suggest a complex crosstalk between bacterial- and nitrate-related signaling pathways.



Fig. S1 Characterization of NLP4 and NLP5 mutations and over-expressions (OE)

Graphical presentation of *NLP4* and *NLP5* sequence with predicted T-DNA insertion sites (a). PCR-based validation of *NLP4* and *NLP5* mutation on genomic DNA using SALK-designed primers, including t-DNA specific Primer LBb1.3 (b). Check for transcript levels by semi-quantitative PCR using primers covering the exon of insertion (c) and qRT-PCR (d) on mutants *nlp4* and *nlp5* and over-expression (OE) lines, as indicated.



Fig. S2 Phylogenetic tree of NIN-like Proteins (main tree).

Maximum Likelihood tree of the NLP family (model: GTR+F+R10; log-likelihood: -598245.4704). Tree is rooted on the non-vascular plants. Clades are colored and named according the Arabidopsis thaliana nomenclature. Only significant branch support values (>80%) are shown.



Fig. S3 Reduced phylogenetic tree of NIN-like Proteins.

NLP sequences were retrieved using the tBALSTn algorithm v2.9.0+ by the NIN protein from the model species Medicago truncatula as query. Reduced likelihood tree of the NLP family. Clades are colored and named according the Arabidopsis thaliana nomenclature. Only significant branch support values (>80%) are shown.



Fig. S4 Expression of other NIN-like proteins in response to rhizobia treatment.

levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobia *S. meliloti, M. loti* or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5mM) or low- (0.25mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of *UBQ4*. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; **=p<0.01.



Fig. S5 Dual-affinity-transceptor NRT1.1 is needed for M. loti-induced impact on root morphology, but not NRT2.1.

Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) and Wassilewskija (WS) or mutant plants (as indicated) were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti.* Quantification of root hair formation and root hair length on primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistical significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test.



Fig. S6 A *NLP1* mutation is not influencing rhizobial effects on root hair development.

Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0) or mutant plants (as indicated) were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti*. Quantification of root hair formation and root hair length on primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistic significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé post-hoc test



Fig. S7 AtNLP4 and AtNLP5 are involved in M. loti induced root modifications. Seven-days old seedlings of wild-type (Col-0), mutant plants (as indicated) or overexpression lines were transferred on high-nitrate plant medium (5mM) or low-nitrate plant medium (0.25mM) and roots were inoculated for 3 days with *M. loti.* (a) and (b) quantification of root hair length on primary root tip (first 5 mm) is presented. Statistic significance was determined by one-factorial ANOVA α =0.05 followed by Scheffé posthoc test. (c) and (d) quantifications of lateral root numbers and lateral root length are presented. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05; ***=p<0.001.



Fig. S8 Varying regulation of rhizobia-induced genes in *nlp4* and *nlp5* mutant roots.

Transcript levels of indicated genes in 7-day old Arabidopsis root samples treated for 3-days with rhizobium *M. loti* or in 10-day old control samples grown on high- (5mM) or low- (0.25mM) nitrate plant medium conditions. Quantified by qRT-PCR and calculated relative to the housekeeping gene expression level of *UBQ4*. Statistical significance was determined by students t-test *=p<0.05.